

CHAPTER

Introduction

Practice Exercises

1.1 This chapter has described several major advantages of a database system. What are two disadvantages?

Two disadvantages asso
iated with database systems are listed below.

- a. Setup of the database system requires more knowledge, money, skills, and time.
- b. The complexity of the database may result in poor performance.
- 1.2 List five ways in which the type declaration system of a language such as Java or C_{++} differs from the data definition language used in a database.

Answer:

- a. Executing an action in the DDL results in the creation of an object in the database; in ontrast, a programming language type de
laration is simply an abstra
tion used in the program.
- b. Database DDLs allow consistency constraints to be specified, which programming language type systems generally do not allow. These in
lude domain onstraints and referential integrity onstraints.
- c. Database DDLs support authorization, giving different access rights to different users. Programming language type systems do not provide such protection (at best, they protect attributes in a class from being accessed by methods in another lass).
- d. Programming language type systems are usually mu
h ri
her than the SQL type system. Most databases support only basic types such as different types of numbers and strings, although some databases do support some omplex types su
h as arrays and obje
ts.

2 Chapter 1 Introduction

- e. A database DDL is focused on specifying types of attributes of relations; in contrast, a programming language allows objects and collections of objects to be created.
- 1.3 List six major steps that you would take in setting up a database for a particular enterprise.

Answer:

Six major steps in setting up a database for a particular enterprise are:

- Dene the high-level requirements of the enterprise (this step generates a document known as the system requirements specification.)
- ontaining all appropriate the model and data relationships and data relationships and data relationships of th ships.
- onstraints on the integrity on the integrity on the data. In the data of the data of the data of the data. In the data of the data. In the data of the
- Define the physical level.
- For ea
h known problem to be solved on a regular basis (e.g., tasks to be \bullet carried out by clerks or web users), define a user interface to carry out the task, and write the ne
essary appli
ation programs to implement the user interface.
- create-initialize the database the database of the database.
- 1.4 Suppose you want to build a video site similar to YouTube. Consider ea
h of the points listed in Section 1.2 as disadvantages of keeping data in a file-processing system. Discuss the relevance of each of these points to the storage of actual video data, and to metadata about the video, su
h as title, the user who uploaded it, tags, and whi
h users viewed it.

- , this redundant would be relevant to metallicity and in the relevant to metallicity and the some extent, although not to the actual video data, which are not updated. There are very few relationships here, and none of them can lead to redundancy.
- \bullet Difficulty in accessing data. If video data are only accessed through a few predefined interfaces, as is done in video sharing sites today, this will not be a problem. However, if an organization needs to find video data based on specific search conditions (beyond simple keyword queries), if metadata were stored in files it would be hard to find relevant data without writing appli
ation programs. Using a database would be important for the task of finding data.
- Data isolation. Since data are not usually updated, but instead newly created, data isolation is not a major issue. Even the task of keeping tra
k of

who has viewed what videos is (conceptually) append only, again making isolation not a major issue. However, if authorization is added, there may be some issues of concurrent updates to authorization information.

- Integrity problems. It seems unlikely there are significant integrity con- \bullet straints in this application, except for primary keys. If the data are distributed, there may be issues in enforcing primary key constraints. Integrity problems are probably not a major issue.
- Atomi
ity problems. When a video is uploaded, metadata about the video and the video should be added atomically, otherwise there would be an inconsistency in the data. An underlying recovery mechanism would be required to ensure atomicity in the event of failures.
- e data are not us a construction of the contract where the construction of the construction of the constructio anomalies would be unlikely to occur.
- \bullet Security problems. These would be an issue if the system supported authorization.
- 1.5 Keyword queries used in web search are quite different from database queries. List key differences between the two, in terms of the way the queries are specified and in terms of what is the result of a query.

Answer:

Queries used in the web are specified by providing a list of keywords with no specific syntax. The result is typically an ordered list of URLs, along with snippets of information about the ontent of the URLs. In ontrast, database queries have a specific syntax allowing complex queries to be specified. And in the relational world the result of a query is always a table.

Model

Practice Exercises

2.1 Consider the employee database of [Figure](#page-56-0) 2.17. What are the appropriate primary keys?

Answer:

The appropriate primary keys are shown below:

employee (person_name, street, city) works (person_name, company_name, salary) company (company_name, city)

2.2 Consider the foreign-key constraint from the *dept_name* attribute of *instructor* to the *department* relation. Give examples of inserts and deletes to these relations that can cause a violation of the foreign key constraint.

Answer:

Inserting a tuple:

(10111, Ostrom, E
onomi
s, 110000)

employee (ID, person_name, street, city) works (ID, company_name, salary) company (company_name, city)

Figure 2.17 Employee database.

⁶ Chapter ² Introdu
tion to the Relational Model

into the *instructor* table, where the *department* table does not have the department E
onomi
s, would violate the foreign-key onstraint.

Deleting the tuple:

(Biology, Watson, 90000)

from the *department* table, where at least one student or instructor tuple has *dept_name* as Biology, would violate the foreign-key constraint.

2.3 Consider the *time_slot* relation. Given that a particular time slot can meet more than once in a week, explain why *day* and *start_time* are part of the primary key of this relation, while end_time is not.

Answer:

The attributes *day* and *start_time* are part of the primary key since a particular class will most likely meet on several different days and may even meet more than once in a day. However, *end_time* is not part of the primary key since a parti
ular lass that starts at a parti
ular time on a parti
ular day annot end at more than one time.

2.4 In the instance of *instructor* shown in [Figure](#page-20-0) 2.1, no two instructors have the same name. From this, can we conclude that *name* can be used as a superkey (or primary key) of *instructor*?

Answer:

No. For this possible instan
e of the instru
tor table the names are unique, but in general this may not always be the case (unless the university has a rule that two instructors cannot have the same name, which is a rather unlikey scenario).

2.5 What is the result of first performing the Cartesian product of *student* and *advi*sor, and then performing a selection operation on the result with the predicate $s_id = ID?$ (Using the symbolic notation of relational algebra, this query can be written as $\sigma_{s \, id=ID}(student \times advisor)$.)

Answer:

The result attributes include all attribute values of *student* followed by all attributes of *advisor*. The tuples in the result are as follows: For each student who has an advisor, the result has a row ontaining that student's attributes, followed by an s_id attribute identical to the student's ID attribute, followed by the *i_id* attribute ontaining the ID of the students advisor.

Students who do not have an advisor will not appear in the result. A student who has more than one advisor will appear a corresponding number of times in the result.

- 2.6 Consider the employee database of [Figure](#page-56-0) 2.17. Give an expression in the relational algebra to express ea
h of the following queries:
	- a. Find the name of each employee who lives in city "Miami".

```
branch(branch_name, branch_city, assets)
customer (ID, customer_name, customer_street, customer_city)
loan (loan_number, branch_name, amount)
borrower (ID, loan_number)
account (account_number, branch_name, balance)
depositor (ID, account_number)
```
Figure 2.18 Bank database.

- Find the name of each employee whose salary is greater than \$100000. $_b$.</sub>
- c. Find the name of each employee who lives in "Miami" and whose salary is greater than \$100000.

Answer:

- a. $\Pi_{person_name} (\sigma_{city = "Main"}(employee))$
- b. $\Pi_{person_name} (\sigma_{salary > 100000} (employee \bowtie works))$
- c. $\Pi_{person_name} (\sigma_{city = "Minimum" \land salary > 100000} (employee \bowtie works))$
- 2.7 Consider the bank database of [Figure](#page-67-0) 2.18. Give an expression in the relational algebra for each of the following queries:
	- a. Find the name of each branch located in "Chicago".
	- b. Find the ID of each borrower who has a loan in branch "Downtown".

Answer:

- a. $\Pi_{branch_name} (\sigma_{branch_city} = \text{``Chicago''}(branch))$
- b. Π_{ID} ($\sigma_{branch_name = "Downtown"$ (borrower $\bowtie_{ا$ borrower.loan_number=loan.loan_number $loan$). loan)).
- 2.8 Consider the employee database of [Figure](#page-56-0) 2.17. Give an expression in the relational algebra to express ea
h of the following queries:
	- a. Find the ID and name of each employee who does not work for "BigBank".
	- b. Find the ID and name of each employee who earns at least as much as every employee in the database.

Answer:

To find employees who do not work for BigBank, we first find all those a. who do work for BigBank. Those are exactly the employees not part of the

8 Chapter 2 Introduction to the Relational Model

desired result. We then use set difference to find the set of all employees minus those employees that should not be in the result.

> $\Pi_{ID,person_name}(employee) \Pi_{ID,person_name}$ $(empty e e \mathbb{W}_{\text{employee},ID=works,ID} (\sigma_{\text{common} \text{name=}}|\text{BigBank}''(works)))$

b. We use the same approach as in part a by first finding those employess who do not earn the highest salary, or, said differently, for whom some other employee earns more. Since this involves comparing two employee salary values, we need to reference the *employee* relation twice and therefore use renaming.

> $\Pi_{ID.person_name}(employee) \mathcal{A}$.ID,A.person_name\PA\ ϵ mployee) \mathcal{A} _{A.salary \mathcal{A} B salary PB\ ϵ mployee))}

- 2.9 The division operator of relational algebra, " \div ", is defined as follows. Let $r(R)$ and $s(S)$ be relations, and let $S \subseteq R$; that is, every attribute of schema S is also in schema R. Given a tuple t, let $t[S]$ denote the projection of tuple t on the attributes in S. Then $r \div s$ is a relation on schema $R - S$ (that is, on the schema containing all attributes of schema R that are not in schema S). A tuple t is in $r \div s$ if and only if both of two conditions hold:
	- t_{1} is in $H_{R-S}(r)$
	- r s, they tuple that is a tuple that contribution of the following:

$$
a. t_r[S] = t_s[S]
$$

 $\sum_{r=1}^{n}$

Given the above definition:

- a. Write a relational algebra expression using the division operator to find the IDs of all students who have taken all Comp. Sci. courses. (Hint: project takes to just ID and course id, and generate the set of all Comp. Sci. *course_ids* using a select expression, before doing the division.)
- b. Show how to write the above query in relational algebra, without using division. (By doing so, you would have shown how to define the division operation using the other relational algebra operations.)

Answer:

- a. $\Pi_{ID}(\Pi_{ID, course, id}(takes) \div \Pi_{course, id}(\sigma_{dept_name} = \text{'Comp.} \text{ Sei'}(course))$
- b. The required expression is as follows:

$$
r \leftarrow \Pi_{ID, course_id}(takes)
$$
\n
$$
s \leftarrow \Pi_{course_id}(\sigma_{dept_name} = 'Comp. \text{Sci'}(course))
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{ID} (takes) - \Pi_{ID} ((\Pi_{ID} (takes) \times s) - r)
$$

In general, let $r(R)$ and $s(S)$ be given, with $S \subseteq R$. Then we can express the division operation using basi relational algebra operations as follows:

$$
r \div s = \Pi_{R-S}(r) - \Pi_{R-S}((\Pi_{R-S}(r) \times s) - \Pi_{R-S,S}(r))
$$

To see that this expression is true, we observe that $\Pi_{R-S} (r)$ gives us all tuples t that satisfy the first condition of the definition of division. The expression on the right side of the set difference operator

$$
\Pi_{R-S}((\Pi_{R-S}(r) \times s) - \Pi_{R-S,S}(r))
$$

serves to eliminate those tuples that fail to satisfy the second condition of the definition of division. Let us see how it does so. Consider $\Pi_{R-S} (r) \times s$. This relation is on schema R, and pairs every tuple in Π_{R-S} (r) with every tuple in s. The expression $\Pi_{R-S,S}(r)$ merely reorders the attributes of r.

Thus, $(\prod_{R-S} (r) \times s) - \prod_{R-S,S} (r)$ gives us those pairs of tuples from \mathbf{H}_{R-S} (*r*) and s that do not appear in *r*. If a tupic ι_j is in

$$
\Pi_{R-S}((\Pi_{R-S}(r) \times s) - \Pi_{R-S,S}(r))
$$

then there is some tuple ι_s in s that does not combine with tuple ι_j to form a tupic in r. Thus, t_j holds a value for attributes $R \to 0$ that does not appear in $r \div s$. It is these values that we eliminate from $\Pi_{R-S} (r)$.

Introduction to SQL

Practice Exercises

- 3.1 Write the following queries in SQL, using the university s
hema. (We suggest you a
tually run these queries on a database, using the sample data that we provide on the web site of the book, db-book.com. Instructions for setting up a database, and loading sample data, are provided on the above web site.)
	- a. Find the titles of courses in the Comp. Sci. department that have 3 credits.
	- b. Find the IDs of all students who were taught by an instructor named Einstein; make sure there are no duplicates in the result.
	- . Find the highest salary of any instru
	tor.
	- d. Find all instru
	tors earning the highest salary (there may be more than one with the same salary).
	- e. Find the enrollment of each section that was offered in Fall 2017.
	- f. Find the maximum enrollment, across all sections, in Fall 2017.
	- Find the sections that had the maximum enrollment in Fall 2017. g. Find the se
	tions that had the maximum enrollment in Fall 2017.

Answer:

a. Find the titles of courses in the Comp. Sci. department that have 3 credits.

b. Find the IDs of all students who were taught by an instructor named Einstein; make sure there are no duplicates in the result. This query can be answered in several different ways. One way is as follows.

c. Find the highest salary of any instructor.

select max(salary) from *instructor*

d. Find all instru
tors earning the highest salary (there may be more than one with the same salary).

> select ID, name from *instructor* where $salary = (select max(salary) from instructor)$

Find the enrollment of each section that was offered in Fall 2017. e.

```
select course_id, sec_id,
        (select count(ID)
        from takes
        where takes. year = section. yearand takes. semester = section. semesterand takes.
ourse id = se
tion.
ourse id
               and takes. sec_id = section. sec_id)as enrollment
from section
where semester = 'Fall'
and year = 2017
```
Note that if the result of the subquery is empty, the aggregate function count returns a value of 0.

One way of writing the query might appear to be:

```
select takes.course_id, takes.sec_id, count(ID)
from section, takes
where
         takes. course_id = section.course_idand takes, sec_id = section, sec_id
          and takes.se
 id = se
tion.se
 id
         and takes.semester = section.semester
         and takes. year = section. yearand takes.semester = 'Fall'and takes.year = 2017group by takes.
ourse id, takes.se
 id
```
But note that if a section does not have any students taking it, it would not appear in the result. One way of ensuring su
h a se
tion appears with a ount of 0 is to use the outer join operation, overed in [Chapter](#page-24-0) 4.

f. Find the maximum enrollment, across all sections, in Fall 2017. One way of writing this query is as follows:

As an alternative to using a nested subquery in the from clause, it is possible to use a with lause, as illustrated in the answer to the next part of this question.

A subtle issue in the above query is that if no se
tion had any enrollment, the answer would be empty, not 0. We can use the alternative using a subquery, from the previous part of this question, to ensure the count is 0 in this case.

g. Find the se
tions that had the maximum enrollment in Fall 2017. The following answer uses a with clause, simplifying the query.

```
with sec_enrollment as (
     select takes.course_id, takes.sec_id, count(ID) as enrollment
     from
               section, takes
     where
               takes. year = section. yearand takes. semester = section. semesterand takes.sec_id = section.sec_id
               and takes.semester = 'Fall'and takes.year = 2017group by takes.course_id, takes.sec_id)
select course_id, sec_id
from sec_enrollment
where enrollment = (select max(enrollment) from sec_enrollment)
```
It is also possible to write the query without the with clause, but the subquery to find enrollment would get repeated twice in the query. While not incorrect to add **distinct** in the **count**, it is not necessary in light of the primary key constraint on takes.

3.2 Suppose you are given a relation grade points (grade, points) that provides a conversion from letter grades in the $takes$ relation to numeric scores; for example, an "A" grade could be specified to correspond to 4 points, an "A $-$ " to 3.7 points, $a "B+"$ to 3.3 points, a "B" to 3 points, and so on. The grade points earned by a student for a course offering (section) is defined as the number of credits for the course multiplied by the numeric points for the grade that the student received.

Given the pre
eding relation, and our university s
hema, write ea
h of the following queries in SQL. You may assume for simplicity that no takes tuple has the *null* value for *grade*.

- a. Find the total grade points earned by the student with ID '12345', across all ourses taken by the student.
- b. Find the grade point average (GPA) for the above student, that is, the total grade points divided by the total credits for the associated courses.
- . Find the ID and the grade-point average of ea
h student.
- d. Now reconsider your answers to the earlier parts of this exercise under the assumption that some grades might be null. Explain whether your solutions still work and, if not, provide versions that handle nulls properly.

Answer:

Find the total grade-points earned by the student with ID '12345', across a. all ourses taken by the student.

```
select sum(credits * points)
from takes, course, grade points
where takes.grade = grade\_points.gradeand takes. course_id = course.course_idand ID = '12345'
```
In the above query, a student who has not taken any course would not have any tuples, whereas we would expect to get 0 as the answer. One way of fixing this problem is to use the outer join operation, which we study later in [Chapter](#page-24-0) 4. Another way to ensure that we get 0 as the answer is via the following query:

b. Find the grade point average (GPA) for the above student, that is, the total grade-points divided by the total credits for the associated courses.

As before, a student who has not taken any ourse would not appear in the above result; we can ensure that such a student appears in the result by using the modified query from the previous part of this question. However, an additional issue in this case is that the sum of credits would also be 0, resulting in a divide-by-zero condition. In fact, the only meaningful way of defining the GPA in this case is to define it as *null*. We can ensure that such a student appears in the result with a null GPA by adding the following union lause to the above query.

```
union
(select null as GPA
where ID = '12345' and
       not exists ( select * from takes where ID = '12345'))
```
. Find the ID and the grade-point average of ea
h student.

Again, to handle students who have not taken any course, we would have to add the following union lause:

```
union
(select ID, null as GPA
from student
where not exists ( select * from takes where takes.ID = student.ID))
```
- d. Now reconsider your answers to the earlier parts of this exercise under the assumption that some grades might be null. Explain whether your solutions still work and, if not, provide versions that handle nulls properly. The queries listed above all include a test of equality on grade between grade points and takes. Thus, for any takes tuple with a null grade, that student's course would be eliminated from the rest of the computation of the result. As a result, the credits of such courses would be eliminated also, and thus the queries would return the orre
t answer even if some grades are null.
- 3.3 Write the following inserts, deletes, or updates in SQL, using the university schema.
	- a. Increase the salary of each instructor in the Comp. Sci. department by 10%.
	- b. Delete all courses that have never been offered (i.e., do not occur in the section relation).
	- c. Insert every student whose *tot_cred* attribute is greater than 100 as an instructor in the same department, with a salary of \$10,000.

Answer:

Increase the salary of each instructor in the Comp. Sci. department by a_z 10%.

> update instructor $salary = salary * 1.10$ set where $dept_name = 'Comp. Sci.'$

 $b₁$ Delete all courses that have never been offered (that is, do not occur in the *section* relation).

person (driver_id, name, address) car (license_plate, model, year) accident (report_number, year, location) owns (driver_id, license_plate) participated (report_number, license_plate, driver_id, damage_amount)

Figure 3.17 Insurance database

delete from course where *course_id* not in (select course_id from section)

c. Insert every student whose *tot_cred* attribute is greater than 100 as an instructor in the same department, with a salary of \$10,000.

> select ID, name, dept_name, 10000 from student where $tot_cred > 100$

- 3.4 Consider the insuran
e database of Figure 3.17, where the primary keys are underlined. Construct the following SQL queries for this relational database.
	- Find the total number of people who owned cars that were involved in $a.$ accidents in 2017.
	- b. Delete all year-2010 cars belonging to the person whose ID is '12345'.

a. Find the total number of people who owned cars that were involved in

Note: This is not the same as the total number of accidents in 2017. We must count people with several accidents only once. Furthermore, note that the question asks for owners, and it might be that the owner of the car was not the driver actually involved in the accident.

b. Delete all year-2010 cars belonging to the person whose ID is '12345'.

where $year = 2010$ and license_plate in (select license_plate from owns o where $o.driver_id = 12345'$

Note: The owns, accident and participated records associated with the deleted cars still exist.

- 3.5 Suppose that we have a relation $marks(ID, score)$ and we wish to assign grades to students based on the score as follows: grade F if score ≤ 40 , grade C if 40 \leq score < 60, grade B if 60 \leq score < 80, and grade A if 80 \leq score. Write SQL queries to do the following:
	- Display the grade for each student, based on the *marks* relation. a.
	- b. Find the number of students with each grade.

Answer:

a. Display the grade for each student, based on the *marks* relation.

```
select ID,
           when score < 40 then 'F'
           when score \le 60 then 'C'
           when score \leq 80 then 'B'
           else 'A'
      end
from marks
```
b. Find the number of students with each grade.

```
with grades as
C
\mathbf{v}select
         ID,
         case
              when score < 40 then 'F'
              when score \le 60 then 'C'
              when score \leq 80 then 'B'
              else 'A'
          end as grade
\lambda)
select grade, count(ID)
from grades
group by grade
```
As an alternative, the with clause can be removed, and instead the definition of *grades* can be made a subquery of the main query.

3.6 The SQL like operator is case sensitive (in most systems), but the lower() function on strings an be used to perform ase-insensitive mat
hing. To show how, write a query that finds departments whose names contain the string "sci" as a substring, regardless of the ase.

Answer:

select dept_name from department where $lower(dept_name)$ like '%sci%'

3.7 Consider the SQL query

select p.a1 from $p, r1, r2$ where $p.a1 = r1.a1$ or $p.a1 = r2.a1$

Under what conditions does the preceding query select values of $p.a1$ that are either in r1 or in r2? Examine carefully the cases where either r1 or r2 may be empty.

Answer:

The query selects those values of $p.a1$ that are equal to some value of $r1.a1$ or r2.a1 if and only if both r1 and r2 are non-empty. If one or both of r1 and r2 are empty, the Cartesian product of p, $r1$ and $r2$ is empty, hence the result of the query is empty. If p itself is empty, the result is empty.

3.8 Consider the bank database of Figure 3.18, where the primary keys are underlined. Construct the following SQL queries for this relational database.

branch(branch_name, branch_city, assets) customer (ID, customer_name, customer_street, customer_city) loan (loan_number, branch_name, amount) borrower (ID, loan_number) account (account_number, branch_name, balance) depositor (ID, account_number)

- a. Find the ID of each customer of the bank who has an account but not a
- b. Find the ID of each customer who lives on the same street and in the same city as customer '12345'.
- c. Find the name of each branch that has at least one customer who has an account in the bank and who lives in "Harrison".

Answer:

a. Find the ID of each customer of the bank who has an account but not a loan.

> (select *ID* from *depositor*) except (sele
> t ID from borrower)

b. Find the ID of each customer who lives on the same street and in the same city as customer '12345'.

> select F.ID from \cos customer as F , customer as S where *F.customer_street* = S.customer_street and F .customer_city = S.customer_city and S. customer_id = $'12345'$

Find the name of each branch that has at least one customer who has an \mathbf{c} . account in the bank and who lives in "Harrison".

- 3.9 Consider the relational database of Figure 3.19, where the primary keys are underlined. Give an expression in SQL for ea
h of the following queries.
	- a. Find the ID, name, and city of residence of each employee who works for "First Bank Corporation".
	- b. Find the ID, name, and city of residence of each employee who works for "First Bank Corporation" and earns more than \$10000.
	- c. Find the ID of each employee who does not work for "First Bank Corpo-
	- d. Find the ID of each employee who earns more than every employee of "Small Bank Corporation".
	- e. Assume that companies may be located in several cities. Find the name of each company that is located in every city in which "Small Bank Corporation" is located.
	- f. Find the name of the company that has the most employees (or companies, in the ase where there is a tie for the most).
	- g. Find the name of each company whose employees earn a higher salary, on average, than the average salary at "First Bank Corporation".

Answer:

a. Find the ID, name, and city of residence of each employee who works for "First Bank Corporation".

> employee (ID, person_name, street, city) works (ID, company_name, salary) $company (company_name, city)$ manages (ID, manager_id)

select e.ID, e.person_name, city **from** employee $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{s}$ e, works $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{s}$ w where w .company_name = 'First Bank Corporation' and $w.ID = e.ID$

b. Find the ID, name, and city of residence of each employee who works for "First Bank Corporation" and earns more than \$10000.

select * from employee where *ID* in (select ID from *works* where *company_name* = 'First Bank Corporation' and salary > 10000)

This could be written also in the style of the answer to part a.

c. Find the ID of each employee who does not work for "First Bank Corporation".

> select ID from *works* where *company_name* <> 'First Bank Corporation'

If one allows people to appear in *employee* without appearing also in works, the solution is slightly more complicated. An outer join as disussed in [Chapter](#page-24-0) 4 ould be used as well.

```
select ID
from employee
where ID not in
   (sele
t ID
   from works
   where company_name = 'First Bank Corporation')
```
d. Find the ID of each employee who earns more than every employee of "Small Bank Corporation".

```
select ID
from works
where salary > all
  (select salary
   from works
   where company_name = 'Small Bank Corporation')
```
If people may work for several companies and we wish to consider the *total* earnings of each person, the problem is more complex. But note that the

fact that ID is the primary key for *works* implies that this cannot be the case.

e. Assume that companies may be located in several cities. Find the name of each company that is located in every city in which "Small Bank Corporation" is located.

select *S.company_name* from *company* as S where not exists ((select city from *company* where *company_name* = 'Small Bank Corporation') except (select city from *company* as T where S *company_name* = T *company_name* $))$

f. Find the name of the company that has the most employees (or companies, in the ase where there is a tie for the most).

> select company_name from works group by company_name having count (distinct ID) $>=$ all (select count (distinct *ID*) group by *company_name*)

g. Find the name of each company whose employees earn a higher salary, on average, than the average salary at "First Bank Corporation".

select company_name from works group by *company_name* having $\arg(salary) > (select \arg(salary))$ from works

- where $company_name = 'First Bank Corporation')$
- 3.10 Consider the relational database of Figure 3.19. Give an expression in SQL for each of the following:
	- a. Modify the database so that the employee whose ID is '12345' now lives
	- b. Give each manager of "First Bank Corporation" a 10 percent raise unless the salary becomes greater than \$100000; in such cases, give only a 3 per
	ent raise.

Answer:

a. Modify the database so that the employee whose ID is '12345' now lives in "Newtown".

> update employee set $city$ = 'Newtown' where $ID = '12345'$

b. Give each manager of "First Bank Corporation" a 10 percent raise unless the salary becomes greater than \$100000; in such cases, give only a 3 per
ent raise.

```
update works T
set T.salary = T.salary * 1.03
where T.ID in (select manager_id
               from manages)
      and T.salary * 1.1 > 100000
      and T_{\text{company\_name}} = 'First Bank Corporation'
```

```
update works T
set T.salary = T.salary * 1.1
where T.ID in (select manager_id
               from manages)
      and T.salary * 1.1 \leq 100000
      and T.company_name = 'First Bank Corporation'
```
The above updates would give different results if executed in the opposite order. We give below a safer solution using the ase statement.

```
update works T
set T.salary = T.salary *(
ase
        when (T. \text{salary} * 1.1 > 100000) then 1.03
        else 1.1
   end)
where T.ID in (select manager_id
              from manages) and
      T.
ompany name = First Bank Corporation
```


Intermediate SQL

CHAPTER

Practice Exercises

4.1 Consider the following SQL query that seeks to find a list of titles of all courses taught in Spring 2017 along with the name of the instructor.

> se en mande en de en m from *instructor* natural join teaches natural join section natural join course where semester = 'Spring' and year = 2017

What is wrong with this query?

Answer:

Although the query is syntactically correct, it does not compute the expected answer because *dept_name* is an attribute of both *course* and *instructor*. As a result of the natural join, results are shown only when an instructor teaches a ourse in her or his own department.

- 4.2 Write the following queries in SQL:
	- a. Display a list of all instructors, showing each instructor's ID and the number of se
	tions taught. Make sure to show the number of se
	tions as 0 for instructors who have not taught any section. Your query should use an outer join, and should not use subqueries.
	- b. Write the same query as in part a, but using a scalar subquery and not using outer join.
	- c. Display the list of all course sections offered in Spring 2018, along with the ID and name of each instructor teaching the section. If a section has more than one instructor, that section should appear as many times in the result as it has instructors. If a section does not have any instructor, it should still appear in the result with the instructor name set to $-$ ".

²⁶ Chapter ⁴ Intermediate SQL

Display the list of all departments, with the total number of instructors $d.$ in ea
h department, without using subqueries. Make sure to show departments that have no instructors, and list those departments with an instructor count of zero.

a. Display a list of all instructors, showing each instructor's ID and the number of se
tions taught. Make sure to show the number of se
tions as 0 for instructors who have not taught any section. Your query should use an outer join, and should not use subqueries.

> select *ID*, count(sec_id) as Number_of_sections from instructor natural left outer join teaches group by ID

The above query should not be written using count($*$) since that would count null values also. It could be written using any attribute from teaches which does not occur in *instructor*, which would be correct although it may be confusing to the reader. (Attributes that occur in *instructor* would not be null even if the instructor has not taught any section.)

b. Write the same query as above, but using a scalar subquery, and not using outerjoin.

```
select ID.
     (select count(*) as Number of sections
     from teaches T where T.id = I.id)
from instructor I
```
c. Display the list of all course sections offered in Spring 2018, along with the ID and name of each instructor teaching the section. If a section has more than one instructor, that section should appear as many times in the result as it has instructors. If a section does not have any instructor, it should still appear in the result with the instructor name set to $-$ ".

> select *course_id*, sec_id, ID, $decode(name, null, '-', name)$ as name from (section natural left outer join teaches) natural left outer join *instructor* where semester='Spring' and year= 2018

The query may also be written using the coalesce operator, by replacing $decode(...)$ with coalesce(*name*, '-'). A more complex version of the query an be written using union of join result with another query that uses a subquery to find courses that do not match; refer to Exercise 4.3.

d. Display the list of all departments, with the total number of instructors in ea
h department, without using subqueries. Make sure to show departments that have no instructors, and list those departments with an instructor ount of zero.

> select *dept_name*, count(*ID*) from *department* natural left outer join *instructor* group by *dept_name*

- 4.3 Outer join expressions can be computed in SQL without using the SQL outer join operation. To illustrate this fact, show how to rewrite each of the following SQL queries without using the outer join expression.
	- a. select * from *student* natural left outer join takes
	- b. select * from student natural full outer join takes

a. select * from student natural left outer join takes an be rewritten as:

> select * from student natural join takes union select ID, name, dept_name, tot_cred, null, null, null, null, null from student S1 where not exists (select *ID* from *takes T1* where $T1$, $id = S1$, id) (sele
> t ID from takes T1 where T1.id = S1.id)

b. select * from student natural full outer join takes can be rewritten as:

> (select * from *student* natural join takes) union (select ID, name, dept_name, tot_cred, null, null, null, null, null where not exists (select *ID* from takes *T1* where $T1.id = S1.id)$) union (select ID, null, null, null, course_id, sec_id, semester, year, grade from takes T1 where not exists (select *ID* from *student S1* where TI *.id* = SI *.id*))

- **4.4** Suppose we have three relations $r(A, B)$, $s(B, C)$, and $t(B, D)$, with all attributes de
lared as not null.
	- a. Give instances of relations r , s , and t such that in the result of (*r* natural left outer join s) natural left outer join t attribute C has a null value but attribute D has a non-null value.

²⁸ Chapter ⁴ Intermediate SQL

Are there instances of r , s , and t such that the result of h r natural left outer join (s natural left outer join t) has a null value for C but a non-null value for D? Explain why or why not.

Answer:

- Consider $r = (a, b)$, $s = (b1, c1)$, $t = (b, d)$. The second expression would $a₁$ give $(a, b, null, d)$.
- b. Since s natural left outer join t is computed first, the absence of nulls is both s and t implies that each tuple of the result can have D null, but C can never be null.
- 4.5 Testing SQL queries: To test if a query specified in English has been correctly written in SQL, the SQL query is typically executed on multiple test databases, and a human checks if the SQL query result on each test database matches the intention of the specification in English.
	- a. In Section 4.1.1 we saw an example of an erroneous SQL query which was intended to find which courses had been taught by each instructor; the query computed the natural join of *instructor*, *teaches*, and *course*, and as a result it unintentionally equated the *dept_name* attribute of *instructor* and course. Give an example of a dataset that would help catch this particular error.
	- b. When creating test databases, it is important to create tuples in referenced relations that do not have any matching tuple in the referencing relation for ea
	h foreign key. Explain why, using an example query on the university database.
	- \mathbf{c} . When creating test databases, it is important to create tuples with null values for foreign-key attributes, provided the attribute is nullable (SQL allows foreign-key attributes to take on null values, as long as they are not part of the primary key and have not been de
	lared as not null). Explain why, using an example query on the university database.

Hint: Use the queries from Exercise 4.2.

Answer:

Consider the case where a professor in the Physics department teaches a. an Ele
. Eng. ourse. Even though there is a valid orresponding entry in teaches, it is lost in the natural join of *instructor*, teaches and course, since the instru
tor's department name does not mat
h the department name of the ourse. A dataset orresponding to the same is:

```
instructor = {('12345', 'Gauss', 'Physics', 10000)}
tea
hes = {(12345, 'EE321', 1, 'Spring', 2017)}
course = \{('EE321', 'Magnetism', 'Elec. Eng., 6)\}
```
- b. The query in question $4.2(a)$ is a good example for this. Instructors who have not taught a single course should have number of sections as 0 in the query result. (Many other similar examples are possible.)
- . Consider the query

select * from *teaches* natural join *instructor*;

In this query, we would lose some sections if *teaches*. ID is allowed to be null and such tuples exist. If, just because teaches.ID is a foreign key to instructor, we did not create such a tuple, the error in the above query would not be detected.

4.6 Show how to define the view *student grades (ID, GPA)* giving the grade-point average of ea
h student, based on the query in [Exer
ise](#page-17-0) 3.2; re
all that we used a relation grade points (grade, points) to get the numeric points associated with a letter grade. Make sure your view definition correctly handles the case of *null* values for the grade attribute of the takes relation.

Answer:

We should not add credits for courses with a null grade; further, to correctly handle the case where a student has not completed any course, we should make sure we don't divide by zero, and should instead return a null value.

We break the query into a subquery that finds sum of credits and sum of credit-grade-points, taking null grades into account The outer query divides the above to get the average, taking care of divide by zero.

```
create view student_grades(ID, GPA) as
```
select ID, credit_points | decode(credit_sum, 0, null, credit_sum) from ((select *ID*, sum(decode(*grade, null,* 0*, credits*)) as *credit_sum,* sum(decode(grade, null, 0, credits*points)) as credit_points from(takes natural join course) natural left outer join grade points group by ID) union select ID, null, null from student where ID not in (select ID from $takes)$)

The view defined above takes care of *null* grades by considering the credit points to be 0 and not adding the corresponding credits in *credit_sum*.

³⁰ Chapter ⁴ Intermediate SQL

employee (ID, person_name, street, city) works (ID, company_name, salary) company (company_name, city) ma nages (ID, manager_id)

Figure 4.12 Employee database.

The query above ensures that a student who has not taken any ourse with non-null credits, and has *credit_sum* = 0 gets a GPA of *null*. This avoids the division by zero, whi
h would otherwise have resulted.

In systems that do note support decode, an alternative is the case construct. Using ase, the solution would be written as follows:

```
create view student grades(ID, GPA) as
    select ID, credit points \int (case when credit sum = 0 then null
                     else credit_sum end)
    from ((select ID, sum (case when grade is null then 0)
                     else credits end) as credit_sum,
                     sum (case when grade is null then 0else credits*points end) as credit_points
           from(takes natural join course) natural left outer join grade_points
           group by ID)
    union
    select ID, null, null
    from student
    where ID not in (select ID from takes))
```
An alternative way of writing the above query would be to use *student* natural left outer join *gpa*, in order to consider students who have not taken any course.

4.7 Consider the employee database of Figure 4.12. Give an SQL DDL definition of this database. Identify referential-integrity onstraints that should hold, and include them in the DDL definition.

Answer:

```
Plese see ??.
```
Note that alternative data types are possible. Other choices for not null attributes may be acceptable.

4.8 As discussed in Section 4.4.8, we expect the constraint "an instructor cannot teach sections in two different classrooms in a semester in the same time slot" to hold.

```

reate table employee
  (ID numeric(6,0),person_name char(20),
  street char(30),
  city char(30),
  primary key (ID))
```
create table works $(ID$ numeric $(6,0),$ company_name char(15), salary integer, primary key (ID), foreign key (ID) referen
es employee, foreign key (company_name) references company)

> create table company (company_name char(15), $city$ char(30), primary key (company_name))

create table manages $(ID$ numeric $(6,0),$ $manager_iid$ numeric $(6,0)$, primary key (ID) , foreign key (ID) referen
es employee, foreign key (manager_iid) references employee(ID))

Figure 4.101 Figure for [Exer
ise](#page-18-0) 4.7.

- a. Write an SQL query that returns all (instructor, section) combinations that violate this onstraint.
- b. Write an SQL assertion to enforce this constraint (as discussed in Section 4.4.8, urrent generation database systems do not support su
h assertions, although they are part of the SQL standard).

Answer:

³² Chapter ⁴ Intermediate SQL

 \mathbf{a} Ouery:

> select ID, name, sec_id, semester, year, time_slot_id, count(distinct building, room_number) from instructor natural join teaches natural join section $group by (ID, name, sec_id, semester, year, time_slot_id)$ having count(building, room_number) > 1

Note that the distinct keyword is required above. This is to allow two different sections to run concurrently in the same time slot and are taught by the same instructor without being reported as a constraint violation.

b. Query:

reate assertion he
k not exists

(select ID, name, sec_id, semester, year, time_slot_id, count(distinct building, room_number) from instructor natural join teaches natural join section group by (ID, name, sec_id, semester, year, time_slot_id) having count(*building, room_number*) > 1)

 4.9 SQL allows a foreign-key dependency to refer to the same relation, as in the following example:

> create table manager $\text{(employee_ID} \qquad \text{char}(20),$ $manager_ID$ char(20), primary key employee ID, foreign key (*manager_ID*) references *manager*(*employee_ID*) on delete cascade) on delete as
> ade)

Here, employee_ID is a key to the table *manager*, meaning that each employee has at most one manager. The foreign-key lause requires that every manager also be an employee. Explain exactly what happens when a tuple in the relation manager is deleted.

Answer:

The tuples of all employees of the manager, at all levels, get deleted as well! This happens in a series of steps. The initial deletion will trigger deletion of all the tuples orresponding to dire
t employees of the manager. These deletions will in turn cause deletions of second-level employee tuples, and so on, till all direct and indire
t employee tuples are deleted.

4.10 Given the relations $a(name, address, title)$ and $b(name, address, salary)$, show how to express a natural full outer join b using the full outer-join operation with an on condition rather than using the **natural join** syntax. This can be done using the **coalesce** operation. Make sure that the result relation does not contain two

copies of the attributes *name* and *address* and that the solution is correct even if some tuples in a and b have null values for attributes *name* or *address*.

Answer:

select coalesce(*a.name*, *b.name*) as *name*, oales
e(a.address, b.address) as address, a.title, b.salary from a full outer join b on a.name = b .name and $a.address = b.address$

4.11 Operating systems usually offer only two types of authorization control for data files: read access and write access. Why do database systems offer so many kinds of authorization?

Answer: There are many reasons—we list a few here. One might wish to allow a user only to append new information without altering old information. One might wish to allow a user to access a relation but not change its schema. One might wish to limit access to aspects of the database that are not technically data access but instead impact resource utilization, such as creating an index.

4.12 Suppose a user wants to grant select access on a relation to another user. Why should the user include (or not include) the clause **granted by current role** in the grant statement?

Answer: Both cases give the same authorization at the time the statement is executed, but the long-term effects differ. If the grant is done based on the role, then the grant remains in effect even if the user who performed the grant leaves and that user's account is terminated. Whether that is a good or bad idea depends on the specific situation, but usually granting through a role is more onsistent with a well-run enterprise.

- **4.13** Consider a view v whose definition references only relation r .
	- to a user is granted selection and the selection on v, and user need to have the select authorization on r as well? Why or why not?
	- If a user is granted update authorization on v , does that user need to have up and a researched on read and when $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
	- Give an example of an insert operation on a view ν to add a tuple t that is \bullet not visible in the result of select * from v. Explain your answer.

Answer:

ess to only more to control the granted at user to complete an extensive to

³⁴ Chapter ⁴ Intermediate SQL

- Yes. A valid update issued using view views update r for the update to be the update to be the update to be up stored in the database.
- any tupe to compute the side with the satisfying the with the satisfying the where clause in the definition of view v is a valid example. One such example appears in Se
tion 4.2.4.

Advan
ed SQL

Practice Exercises

- 5.1 Consider the following relations for a company database:
	- emp (ename, dname, salary)
	- magramsheer (enamely magramsheer (

and the Java ode in Figure 5.20, whi
h uses the JDBC API. Assume that the userid, password, machine name, etc. are all okay. Describe in concise English what the Java program does. (That is, produce an English sentence like "It finds the manager of the toy department," not a line-by-line description of what each Java statement does.)

Answer:

It prints out the manager of "dog," that manager's manager, etc., until we reach a manager who has no manager (presumably, the CEO, who most certainly is a at). Note: If you try to run this, use your own Ora
le ID and password.

5.2 Write a Java method using JDBC metadata features that takes a ResultSet as an input parameter and prints out the result in tabular form, with appropriate names as olumn headings.

Answer: Please see ??

- 5.3 Suppose that we wish to find all courses that must be taken before some given course. That means finding not only the prerequisites of that course, but prerequisites of prerequisites, and so on. Write a omplete Java program using JDBC that:
	- Takes a ourse id value from the keyboard.
	- Finds prerequisites of that course using an SQL query submitted via JDBC.

³⁶ Chapter 5 Advan
ed SQL

```
import java.sql.*;
public class Mystery {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
     try (
         Connection con=DriverManager.getConnection(
             "idbc:oracle:thin:star/X@//edgar.cse.lehigh.edu:1521/XE");
         q ="select mname from mgr where ename = ?";
         PreparedStatement stmt=con.prepareStatement();
     \lambda.
         String q;
         String empName = "dog";
         boolean more;
         resultset resultset results
         do {
            stmt.setString(1, empName);
            result = stmt.executeQuery(q);
             more = result.next();
             if (more) {
                empName = result.getString("mname");
                System.out.println (empName);
            <sup>1</sup>
             .
         } while (more);
         \blacksquares close():
         s.
lose();
         con close();

on.
lose();
     }

at
h(Ex
eption e){
         e.printStackTrace();
     \mathcal{E}.
  }
}
```


- For ea
h ourse returned, nds its prerequisites and ontinues this pro
ess iteratively until no new prerequisite courses are found.
- Prints out the result.

For this exercise, do not use a recursive SQL query, but rather use the iterative approa
h des
ribed previously. A well-developed solution will be robust to the error case where a university has accidentally created a cycle of prerequisites (that is, for example, course A is a prerequisite for course B, course B is a prerequisite for course C , and course C is a prerequisite for course A).
```
printTable(ResultSet result) throws SQLException {
   metadata = result.getMetaData();
   num_cols = metadata.getColumnCount();
    for(int i = 1; i \le num_cols; i++) {
        System.out.print(metadata.getColumnName(i) + '\t t');
    }
    System.out.println();
    while(result.next()) {
        for(int i = 1; i \le num_cols; i++) {
            System.out.print(result.getString(i) + '\t'
        }
        System.out.println();
   } }
```
Figure 5.101 Java method using JDBC for [Exer
ise](#page-20-0) 5.2.

Answer:

Please see ??

5.4 Describe the circumstances in which you would choose to use embedded SQL rather than SQL alone or only a general-purpose programming language.

Answer:

Writing queries in SQL is typically much easier than coding the same queries in a general-purpose programming language. However, not all kinds of queries can be written in SQL. Also, nondeclarative actions such as printing a report, interacting with a user, or sending the results of a query to a graphical user interface cannot be done from within SQL. Under circumstances in which we want the best of both worlds, we an hoose embedded SQL or dynami SQL, rather than using SQL alone or using only a general-purpose programming language.

5.5 Show how to enforce the constraint "an instructor cannot teach two different sections in a semester in the same time slot." using a trigger (remember that the onstraint an be violated by hanges to the tea
hes relation as well as to the section relation).

Answer:

5.6 Consider the bank database of Figure 5.21. Let us define a view branch_cust as follows:

³⁸ Chapter 5 Advan
ed SQL

```
import java.sql.*;
import java.util.Scanner;
import java.util.Arrays;
public class AllCoursePrereqs {
 public static void main(String[] args) {
    try (
         Connection con=DriverManager.getConnection
           ("jdbc:oracle:thin:@edgar0.cse.lehigh.edu:1521:cse241","star","pw");
         Statement s=con.createStatement();
        ){
           String q;
          String c;
           ResultSet result;
           int maxCourse = 0;
           q = "select count(*) as C from course";
           result = s.executeQuery(q);if (!result.next()) System.out.println ("Unexpected empty result.");
           else maxCourse = Integer.parseInt(result.getString("C"));
           int numCourse = 0, oldNumCourse = -1;
           String[] prereqs = new String [maxCourse];
           Scanner krb = new Scanner(System.in);
           System.out.print("Input a course id (number): ");
           String course = krb.next();
           String courseString = " " + ' \' + course + '\' ;
           while (numCourse != oldNumCourse) {
            for (int i = oldNumCourse + 1; i < numCourse; i++) {
               courseString += ", " + '\'' + prereqs[i] + '\'' ;
             }
            oldNumCourse = numCourse;
             q = "select prereq_id from prereq where course_id in ("
               + courseString + ")";
             result = s.executeQuery(q);
             while (result.next()) {
               c = result.getString("prereq_id");
               boolean found = false;
               for (int i = 0; i < numCourse; i++)found | = prereqs[i].equals(c);
               if (!found) prereqs[numCourse++] = c;
             }
             courseString = " " + ' \}' + prereqs[oldNumCourse] + '\'';
           }
           Arrays.sort(prereqs,0,numCourse);
           System.out.print("The courses that must be taken prior to "
             + course + " are: ");
           for (int i = 0; i < numCourse; i++)System.out.print ((i==0?" ":", ") + prereqs[i]);
           System.out.println();
         } catch(Exception e){e.printStackTrace();
} }
```
Figure 5.102 Complete Java program using JDBC for Exercise 5.3.

```
create trigger onesec before insert on section
referencing new row as nrow
for each row
when (nrow.time_slot_id in (
  select time_slot_id
  from teaches natural join section
  where ID in (
         select ID
         from teaches natural join section
         where sec_id = nrow/sec_id and course_id = nrow.course_id and
               semester = nrow.semester and year = nrow.year)))
begin
  rollback
end;
create trigger oneteach before insert on teaches
referencing new row as nrow
for each row
when (exists (
         select time_slot_id
         from teaches natural join section
         where ID = nrow.IDintersect
         select time_slot_id
         from section
         where sec_id = nrow sec_id and course_id = nrow.course_id and
               semester = arrow.semester and year = arrow.year))
begin
  rollba
k
end;
```
Figure 5.103 Trigger code for Exercise 5.5.

create view branch_cust as select branch_name, customer_name from depositor, account where $deposition$, $account_number = account$, $account$, $account$, and $number$

⁴⁰ Chapter 5 Advan
ed SQL

branch (branch_name, branch_city, assets) customer (customer_name, customer_street, cust omer_city) loan (loan_number, branch_name, amount) borrower (customer_name, loan_number) account (account_number, branch_name, balance) depositor (customer_name, account_number)

Figure 5.21 Banking database for [Exer
ise](#page-20-3) 5.6.

Suppose that the view is *materialized*; that is, the view is computed and stored. Write triggers to *maintain* the view, that is, to keep it up-to-date on insertions to *depositor* or *account*. It is not necessary to handle deletions or updates. Note that, for simplicity, we have not required the elimination of duplicates.

Answer:

Please see ??

5.7 Consider the bank database of Figure 5.21. Write an SQL trigger to carry out the following action: On delete of an account, for each customer-owner of the

> create trigger insert_into_branch_cust_via_depositor after insert on depositor referencing new row as *inserted* for each row insert into branch_cust select branch_name, inserted.customer_name from account where inserted.account_number = $account$.account_number create trigger insert_into_branch_cust_via_account after insert on account referencing new row as *inserted* for each statement select inserted.branch_name, customer_name from depositor where $deposition$, $account_number = inserted$, $account_number$

> > Figure 5.22 Trigger code for Exercise 56.

account, check if the owner has any remaining accounts, and if she does not, delete her from the depositor relation.

Answer:

create trigger check-delete-trigger after delete on account referencing old row as **orow** for each row delete from depositor where *depositor.customer_name* not in (select customer_name from depositor where $account_number \ll\gt{orow.account_number}$ end

5.8 Given a relation $S(\text{student}, \text{subject}, \text{marks})$, write a query to find the top 10 students by total marks, by using SQL ranking. Include all students tied for the final spot in the ranking, even if that results in more than 10 total students.

Answer:

```
select *
from (
      select student, total, rank() over (order by (total) desc) as t\_rankfrom (
              select student, sum(marks) as total
              from S group by student
      )
)
where t<sub>-ran</sub>k \leq 10
```
5.9 Given a relation *nyse(year, month, day, shares_traded, dollar_volume)* with trading data from the New York Sto
k Ex
hange, list ea
h trading day in order of number of shares traded, and show ea
h day's rank.

Answer:

select year, month, day, shares_traded, rank() over (order by *shares_traded* desc) as mostshares from nyse

5.10 Using the relation from [Exer
ise](#page-60-0) 5.9, write an SQL query to generate a report showing the number of shares traded, number of trades, and total dollar volume broken down by year, each month of each year, and each trading day.

Answer:

⁴² Chapter 5 Advan
ed SQL

select year, month, day, sum(shares_traded) as shares, $sum(num_trades)$ as trades, $sum(dollar_volume)$ as total_volume from nyse group by rollup (year, month, day)

5.11 Show how to express group by cube(*a*, *b*, *c*, *d*) using rollup; your answer should have only one group by lause.

Answer:

groupby $\text{rollup}(a)$, $\text{rollup}(b)$, $\text{rollup}(c)$, $\text{rollup}(d)$

<u> San Angelen i San Angele</u>

Database Design using the E-R **Model**

Practice Exercises

6.1 Construct an E-R diagram for a car insurance company whose customers own one or more ars ea
h. Ea
h ar has asso
iated with it zero to any number of recorded accidents. Each insurance policy covers one or more cars and has one or more premium payments associated with it. Each payment is for a particular period of time, and has an asso
iated due date, and the date when the payment was received.

ALLES

Answer:

One possible E-R diagram is shown in [Figure](#page-43-0) 6.101. Payments are modeled as weak entities since they are related to a specific policy.

Note that the participation of accident in the relationship *participated* is not total, since it is possible that there is an accident report where the participating car is unknown.

- 6.2 Consider a database that includes the entity sets *student, course*, and *section* from the university schema and that additionally records the marks that students
	- a. Construct an E-R diagram that models exams as entities and uses a ternary relationship as part of the design.
	- b. Construct an alternative E-R diagram that uses only a binary relationship between *student* and *section*. Make sure that only one relationship exists between a particular *student* and *section* pair, yet you can represent the marks that a student gets in different exams.

Answer:

⁴⁴ Chapter ⁶ Database Design using the E-R Model

Figure 6.101 E-R diagram for a car insurance company.

- a. The E-R diagram is shown in [Figure](#page-43-1) 6.102. Note that an alternative is to model examinations as weak entities related to a se
tion, rather than as strong entities. The marks relationship would then be a binary relationship between student and exam, without directly involving section.
- b. The E-R diagram is shown in [Figure](#page-44-0) 6.103. Note that here we have not modeled the name, pla
e, and time of the exam as part of the relationship attributes. Doing so would result in dupli
ation of the information, on
e per student, and we would not be able to re
ord this information without an asso
iated student. If we wish to represent this information, we need to retain a separate entity orresponding to ea
h exam.
- 6.3 Design an E-R diagram for keeping track of the scoring statistics of your favorite sports team. You should store the matches played, the scores in each match, the players in each match, and individual player scoring statistics for each match.

Figure 6.102 E-R diagram for marks database.

Figure 6.103 Another E-R diagram for marks database.

Summary statistics should be modeled as derived attributes with an explanation as to how they are omputed.

Answer:

The diagram is shown in [Figure](#page-44-1) 6.104. The derived attribute season_score is computed by summing the score values associated with the *player* entity set via the played relationship set.

6.4 Consider an E-R diagram in whi
h the same entity set appears several times, with its attributes repeated in more than one occurrence. Why is allowing this redundancy a bad practice that one should avoid?

Answer:

The reason an entity set would appear more than once is if one is drawing a diagram that spans multiple pages.

The different occurrences of an entity set may have different sets of attributes, leading to an in
onsistent diagram. Instead, the attributes of an entity set should be specified only once. All other occurrences of the entity should omit attributes. Sin
e it is not possible to have an entity set without any attributes, an occurrence of an entity set without attributes clearly indicates that the attributes are specified elsewhere.

Figure 6.104 E-R diagram for favorite team statistics.

⁴⁶ Chapter ⁶ Database Design using the E-R Model

Figure 6.29 Representation of a ternary relationship using binary relationships.

- 6.5 An E-R diagram an be viewed as a graph. What do the following mean in terms of the stru
ture of an enterprise s
hema?
	- a. The graph is dis
	onne
	ted.
	- b. The graph has a cycle.

Answer:

- a. If a pair of entity sets are onne
ted by a path in an E-R diagram, the entity sets are related, though perhaps indirectly. A disconnected graph implies that there are pairs of entity sets that are unrelated to each other. In an enterprise, we can say that the two parts of the enterprise are completely independent of ea
h other. If we split the graph into onne
ted components, we have, in effect, a separate database corresponding to each independent part of the enterprise.
- b. As indi
ated in the answer to the previous part, a path in the graph between a pair of entity sets indicates a (possibly indirect) relationship between the two entity sets. If there is a cycle in the graph, then every pair of entity sets on the cycle are related to each other in at least two distinct ways. If the E-R diagram is acyclic, then there is a unique path between every pair of entity sets and thus a unique relationship between every pair of entity sets.

Figure 6.105 E-R diagram for Exercise Exercise 6.6b.

- 6.6 Consider the representation of the ternary relationship of Figure 6.29a using the binary relationships illustrated in Figure 6.29b (attributes not shown).
	- a. Show a simple instance of E, A, B, C, R_A , R_B , and R_C that cannot correspond to any instance of A, B, C , and R .
	- b. Modify the E-R diagram of Figure 6.29b to introduce constraints that will guarantee that any instance of E, A, B, C, R_A, R_B, and R_C that satisfies the constraints will correspond to an instance of A, B, C , and R .
	- c. Modify the preceding translation to handle total participation constraints on the ternary relationship.

Answer:

- a. Let $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$, $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$, $B = \{v_1\}$, $C = \{v_1\}$, $R_A =$ $\Gamma(e_1, a_1), (\epsilon_2, a_2)$; $R_B = \Gamma(e_1, b_1)$; and $R_C = \Gamma(e_1, e_1)$; we see that ause of the tuple (exists that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}$), no instance of $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ responds to E, R_A , R_B and R_C .
- See [Figure](#page-46-0) 6.105. The idea is to introduce total participation constraints b. between E and the relationships R_A , R_B , R_C so that every tuple in E has a relationship with A , B , and C .
- c. Suppose Λ totally participates in the relationhip R , then introduce a total participation constraint between A and R_A , and similarly for B and C.
- 6.7 A weak entity set an always be made into a strong entity set by adding to its attributes the primary-key attributes of its identifying entity set. Outline what sort of redundan
y will result if we do so.

Answer:

The primary key of a weak entity set can be inferred from its relationship with the strong entity set. If we add primary-key attributes to the weak entity set, they will be present in both the entity set, and the relationship set and they have to be the same. Hence there will be redundancy.

⁴⁸ Chapter ⁶ Database Design using the E-R Model

6.8 Consider a relation such as *sec_{crourse*, generated from a many-to-one relation-} ship set *sec_course*. Do the primary and foreign key constraints created on the relation enforce the many-to-one cardinality constraint? Explain why.

Answer:

In this example, the primary key of section consists of the attributes (course_id, sec_{id}, semester, year), which would also be the primary key of sec_{clarse}, while course id is a foreign key from sec course referencing course. These constraints ensure that a particular *section* can only correspond to one *course*, and thus the many-to-one cardinality constraint is enforced.

However, these constraints cannot enforce a total participation constraint, since a course or a section may not participate in the sec_course relationship.

6.9 Suppose the *advisor* relationship set were one-to-one. What extra constraints are required on the relation *advisor* to ensure that the one-to-one cardinality constraint is enforced?

Answer:

In addition to declaring s_ID as primary key for *advisor*, we declare *i_ID* as a superkey for *advisor* (this can be done in SQL using the **unique** constraint on i ID).

6.10 Consider a many-to-one relationship R between entity sets A and B . Suppose the relation created from R is combined with the relation created from A. In SQL, attributes participating in a foreign key constraint can be null. Explain how a constraint on total participation of A in R can be enforced using **not null** onstraints in SQL.

Answer:

The foreign-key attribute in R corresponding to the primary key of B should be made not null. This ensures that no tuple of Λ which is not related to any entry in B under R can come in R. For example, say a is a tuple in A which has no corresponding entry in R. This means when R is combined with A , it would have a foreign-key attribute corresponding to B as null, which is not allowed.

- 6.11 In SQL, foreign key onstraints an referen
e only the primary key attributes of the referen
ed relation or other attributes de
lared to be a superkey using the unique constraint. As a result, total participation constraints on a many-to-many relationship set (or on the "one" side of a one-to-many relationship set) cannot be enforced on the relations created from the relationship set, using primary key, foreign key, and not null onstraints on the relations.
	- a. Explain why.
	- b. Explain how to enforce total participation constraints using complex check constraints or assertions (see Section 4.4.8). (Unfortunately, these features are not supported on any widely used database urrently.)

Answer:

For the many-to-many case, the relationship set must be represented as a a separate relation that cannot be combined with either participating entity. Now, there is no way in SQL to ensure that a primary-key value occurring in an entity $E1$ also occurs in a many-to-many relationship R, since the corresponding attribute in R is not unique; SQL foreign keys can only refer to the primary key or some other unique key.

Similarly, for the one-to-many case, there is no way to ensure that an attribute on the one side appears in the relation orresponding to the many side, for the same reason.

b. Let the relation R be many-to-one from entity A to entity B with a and b as their respective primary keys. We can put the following check constraints on the "one" side relation B:

> constraint *total_part* check (b in (select b from A)); set constraints *total_part* deferred;

Note that the constraint should be set to deferred so that it is only checked at the end of the transaction; otherwise if we insert a b value in B before it is inserted in A, the onstraint would be violated, and if we insert it in A before we insert it in B , a foreign-key violation would occur.

6.12 Consider the following lattice structure of generalization and specialization (attributes not shown).

For entity sets A, B , and C, explain how attributes are inherited from the higherlevel entity sets X and Y . Discuss how to handle a case where an attribute of X has the same name as some attribute of Y.

Answer:

A inherits all the attributes of X , plus it may define its own attributes. Similarly, C inherits all the attributes of Y plus its own attributes. B inherits the attributes of both X and Y. If there is some attribute *name* which belongs to both X and Y, it may be referred to in B by the qualified name X *name* or Y *name*.

6.13 An E-R diagram usually models the state of an enterprise at a point in time. Suppose we wish to track *temporal changes*, that is, changes to data over time. For example, Zhang may have been a student between September 2015 and

⁵⁰ Chapter ⁶ Database Design using the E-R Model

May 2019, while Shankar may have had instructor Einstein as advisor from May 2018 to De
ember 2018, and again from June 2019 to January 2020. Similarly, attribute values of an entity or relationship, such as *title* and *credits* of *course*, salary, or even name of instructor, and tot_cred of student, can change over time.

One way to model temporal changes is as follows: We define a new data type called valid time, which is a time interval, or a set of time intervals. We then associate a valid_time attribute with each entity and relationship, recording the time periods during whi
h the entity or relationship is valid. The end time of an interval can be infinity; for example, if Shankar became a student in September 2018, and is still a student, we can represent the end time of the *valid_time* interval as infinity for the Shankar entity. Similarly, we model attributes that can change over time as a set of values, each with its own *valid_time*.

- a. Draw an E-R diagram with the *student* and *instructor* entities, and the *advisor* relationship, with the above extensions to track temporal changes.
- b. Convert the E-R diagram discussed above into a set of relations.

It should be clear that the set of relations generated is rather complex, leading to difficulties in tasks such as writing queries in SQL. An alternative approach, which is used more widely, is to ignore temporal changes when designing the E-R model (in particular, temporal changes to attribute values), and to modify the relations generated from the E-R model to tra
k temporal hanges.

Answer:

a. The E-R diagram is shown in [Figure](#page-50-0) 6.106.

The primary key attributes *student id* and *instructor id* are assumed to be immutable, that is, they are not allowed to hange with time. All other attributes are assumed to potentially hange with time.

Note that the diagram uses multivalued omposite attributes su
h as valid_times or name, with subattributes such as *start_time* or value. The value attribute is a subattribute of several attributes such as name, tot_cred and salary, and refers to the name, total credits or salary during a particular interval of time.

b. The generated relations are as shown below. Each multivalued attribute has turned into a relation, with the relation name consisting of the original relation name concatenated with the name of the multivalued attribute. The relation orresponding to the entity has only the primary-key attribute, and this is needed to ensure uniqueness.

The primary keys shown are derived directly from the E-R diagram. If we add the additional onstraint that time intervals annot overlap (or even the weaker ondition that one start time annot have two end times), we can remove the *end_time* from all the above primary keys.

Figure 6.106 E-R diagram for Exercise 6.13

Relational Database Design

Practice Exercises

- 7.1 Suppose that we decompose the schema $R = (A, B, C, D, E)$ into
	- (A, B, C) $(A, D, E).$

Show that this decomposition is a lossless decomposition if the following set F of fun
tional dependen
ies holds:

> $A \rightarrow BC$ $CD \rightarrow E$ $B \rightarrow D$ $E \rightarrow A$

Answer:

A decomposition $\{R_1, R_2\}$ is a lossless decomposition if $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1$ or $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_2$. Let $R_1 = (A, B, C), R_2 = (A, D, E),$ and $R_1 \cap R_2 = A$. Since A is a candidate key (see Practice Exercise 7.6), $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1$.

7.2 List all nontrivial functional dependencies satisfied by the relation of Figure 7.18.

Α	B	O
a ₁	b_1	c ₁
a ₁	b ₁	c ₂
a ₂	b ₁	c ₁
a_{γ}	b.	\mathcal{C}_2

Figure 7.17 Relation of Exercise 7.2.

⁵⁴ Chapter ⁷ Relational Database Design

Answer:

The nontrivial functional dependencies are: $A \rightarrow B$ and $C \rightarrow B$, and a dependency they logically imply: $AC \rightarrow B$. C does not functionally determine A because the first and third tuples have the same C but different A values. The same tuples also show B does not functionally determine A . Likewise, A does not functionally determine C because the first two tuples have the same A value and different C values. The same tuples also show B does not functionally determine C. There are 19 trivial functional dependencies of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, where $\beta \subseteq \alpha$.

- 7.3 Explain how fun
tional dependen
ies an be used to indi
ate the following:
	- A one-to-one relationship set exists between entity sets *student* and *instruc*tor.
	- A many-to-one relationship set exists between entity sets *student* and *instruc*tor.

Answer:

Let $Pk(r)$ denote the primary key attribute of relation r.

- The functional dependencies $P_k(\text{student}) \rightarrow P_k(\text{instructor})$ and $Pk(instructor) \rightarrow Pk(student)$ indicate a one-to-one relationship because any two tuples with the same value for *student* must have the same value for *instructor*, and any two tuples agreeing on *instructor* must have the same value for student.
- The functional dependency $Pk(statdent) \rightarrow Pk(instructor)$ indicates a manyto-one relationship sin
e any student value whi
h is repeated will have the same instructor value, but many student values may have the same instructor value.
- 7.4 Use Armstrong's axioms to prove the soundness of the union rule. (*Hint*: Use the augmentation rule to show that, if $\alpha \to \beta$, then $\alpha \to \alpha\beta$. Apply the augmentation rule again, using $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$, and then apply the transitivity rule.)

Answer:

To prove that:

if
$$
\alpha \rightarrow \beta
$$
 and $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ then $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \gamma$

Following the hint, we derive:

7.5 Use Armstrong's axioms to prove the soundness of the pseudotransitivity rule.

Answer:

Proof using Armstrong's axioms of the pseudotransitivity rule: if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $\gamma \beta \rightarrow \delta$, then $\alpha \gamma \rightarrow \delta$.

7.6 Compute the closure of the following set F of functional dependencies for relation schema $R = (A, B, C, D, E)$.

$$
A \rightarrow BC
$$

\n
$$
CD \rightarrow E
$$

\n
$$
B \rightarrow D
$$

\n
$$
E \rightarrow A
$$

List the andidate keys for R.

Answer:

Note: It is not reasonable to expect students to enumerate all of F^+ . Some shorthand representation of the result should be acceptable as long as the nontrivial members of F^+ are found.

Starting with $A \to BC$, we can conclude: $A \to B$ and $A \to C$.

⁵⁶ Chapter ⁷ Relational Database Design

Therefore, any functional dependency with A , E , BC , or CD on the left-hand side of the arrow is in F^+ , no matter which other attributes appear in the FD. Allow * to represent any set of attributes in R, then F^+ is $BD \rightarrow B, BD \rightarrow D$. $C \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow D, BD \rightarrow BD, B \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow BD$, and all FDs of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$, $BC \rightarrow \alpha$, $CD \rightarrow \alpha$, $E \rightarrow \alpha$, $E \rightarrow \alpha$ where α is any subset of $\{A, B, C, D, E\}$. The candidate keys are A, BC, CD, and E.

7.7 Using the functional dependencies of Exercise 7.6, compute the canonical cover F_c .

Answer:

The given set of FDs F is:-

$$
A \rightarrow BC
$$

\n
$$
CD \rightarrow E
$$

\n
$$
B \rightarrow D
$$

\n
$$
E \rightarrow A
$$

The left side of each FD in F is unique. Also, none of the attributes in the left side or right side of any of the FDs is extraneous. Therefore the canonical cover F_c is equal to F.

 7.8 Consider the algorithm in Figure 7.19 to compute α^+ . Show that this algorithm is more efficient than the one presented in Figure 7.8 (Section 7.4.2) and that it computes α correctly.

Answer:

The algorithm is correct because:

- If A is added to result then there is a proof that $\alpha \rightarrow A$. To see this, observe that $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ trivially, so α is correctly part of *result*. If $A \notin \alpha$ is added to *result*, there must be some FD $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$ such that $A \in \gamma$ and β is already a subset of result. (Otherwise *fdcount* would be nonzero and the if condition would be false.) A full proof can be given by induction on the depth of recursion for an execution of **addin**, but such a proof can be expected only from students with a good mathematical background.
- ٠ If $A \in \alpha^+$, then A is eventually added to *result*. We prove this by induction on the length of the proof of $\alpha \rightarrow A$ using Armstrong's axioms. First observe that if procedure **addin** is called with some argument β , all the attributes in β will be added to *result*. Also if a particular FD's *fdcount* becomes 0, all the attributes in its tail will definitely be added to *result*. The base case of the proof, $A \in \alpha \Rightarrow A \in \alpha^+$, is obviously true because the first call to **addin** has the argument α . The inductive hypothesis is that if $\alpha \rightarrow A$ can be proved in *n* steps or less, then $A \in \text{result}$. If there is a proof in $n + 1$

```
result := \emptyset;\frac{1}{6} † fdcount is an array whose ith element contains the number
   of attributes on the left side of the ith FD that are
   \frac{1}{1000} yet known to be in \alpha + \gammafor i := 1 to |F| do
   begin
      let \beta \rightarrow \gamma denote the ith FD;
     f dcount[i] := |\beta|;end
\frac{1}{2} appears is an array with one entry for each attribute. The
   entry for attribute A is a list of integers. Each integer
   i on the list indicates that A appears on the left side
   of the ith FD */
for each attribute A do
   begin
      appears [A] := \text{NIL};
      for i := 1 to |F| do
        begin
          let \beta \rightarrow \gamma denote the ith FD;
           if A \in \beta then add i to appears [A];
        end
   end
addin (\alpha);
return (result);
procedure addin (\alpha);
for each attribute A in \alpha do
   begin
   \overline{\phantom{a}}if A \notin \text{result} then
        begin
           result := result \cup \{A\};for each element i of appears [A] do
             begin
                fdcount[i] := fdcount[i] - 1;if f dcount[i] := 0 then
                  begin
                     let \beta \rightarrow \gamma denote the ith FD;
                     addin (\gamma);
                  end
             end
        end
```
Figure 7.18 An algorithm to compute α .

⁵⁸ Chapter ⁷ Relational Database Design

steps that $\alpha \rightarrow A$, then the last step was an application of either reflexivity, augmentation, or transitivity on a fact $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ proved in *n* or fewer steps. If reflexivity or augmentation was used in the $(n + 1)^{st}$ step, A must have been in *result* by the end of the n^{th} step itself. Otherwise, by the inductive hypothesis, $\beta \subseteq \text{result}$. Therefore, the dependency used in proving $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$, $A \in \gamma$, will have *fdcount* set to 0 by the end of the n^{th} step. Hence A will be added to result.

To see that this algorithm is more efficient than the one presented in the chapter, note that we scan each FD once in the main program. The resulting array appears has size proportional to the size of the given FDs. The recursive calls to **addin** result in processing linear in the size of *appears*. Hence the algorithm has time complexity which is linear in the size of the given FDs. On the other hand, the algorithm given in the text has quadratic time complexity, as it may perform the loop as many times as the number of FDs, in ea
h loop s
anning all of them on
e.

7.9 Given the database schema $R(A, B, C)$, and a relation r on the schema R, write an SQL query to test whether the functional dependency $B \to C$ holds on relation r. Also write an SQL assertion that enforces the functional dependency. Assume that no null values are present. (Although part of the SQL standard, such assertions are not supported by any database implementation currently.)

Answer:

a. The query is given below. Its result is non-empty if and only if $B \to C$ does not hold on r.

```
select Bfrom rgroup by Bhaving count (distinct C > 1
```
b.

```
create assertion b\text{-}to\text{-}c check
   (not exists
         (select Bfrom r
         group by Bhaving count (distinct C > 1)
   )
```
 7.10 Our discussion of lossless decomposition implicitly assumed that attributes on the left-hand side of a fun
tional dependen
y annot take on null values. What could go wrong on decomposition, if this property is violated?

Answer:

The natural join operator is defined in terms of the Cartesian product and the selection operator. The selection operator gives *unknown* for any query on a null value. Thus, the natural join excludes all tuples with null values on the common attributes from the final result. Thus, the decomposition would be lossy (in a manner different from the usual case of lossy decomposition), if null values occur in the left-hand side of the functional dependency used to decompose the relation. (Null values in attributes that occur only in the right-hand side of the functional dependency do not cause any problems.)

- 7.11 In the BCNF decomposition algorithm, suppose you use a functional dependency $\alpha \to \beta$ to decompose a relation schema $r(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ into $r_1(\alpha, \beta)$ and $r_2(\alpha, \gamma)$.
	- a_{\cdot} What primary and foreign-key constraint do you expect to hold on the de
	omposed relations?
	- b. Give an example of an inconsistency that can arise due to an erroneous update, if the foreign-key constraint were not enforced on the decomposed relations above.
	- \mathbf{c} . When a relation schema is decomposed into 3NF using the algorithm in Section 7.5.2, what primary and foreign-key dependencies would you expect to hold on the decomposed schema?

Answer:

- a. α should be a primary key for r_1 , and α should be the foreign key from r_2 , referencing r_1 .
- b. If the foreign key onstraint is not enfor
ed, then a deletion of a tuple from r_1 would not have a corresponding deletion from the referencing tuples in r_2 . Instead of deleting a tuple from r , this would amount to simply setting the value of α to null in some tuples.
- c. For every schema $r_i(\alpha\beta)$ added to the decomposition because of a functional dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, α should be made the primary key. Also, a candidate key γ for the original relation is located in some newly created relation r_k and is a primary key for that relation. Foreign-key constraints are created as follows: for each relation r_i created above, if the primary key attributes of r_i also occur in any other relation r_i , then a foreign-key constraint is created from those attributes in r_i , ref- \cdot erencing (the primary key of) r_i .

⁶⁰ Chapter ⁷ Relational Database Design

7.12 Let R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n be a decomposition of schema U. Let $u(U)$ be a relation, and let $r_i = \Pi_{R_i}(u)$. Show that

$$
u \subseteq r_1 \bowtie r_2 \bowtie \cdots \bowtie r_n
$$

Answer:

Consider some tuple t in u . Note that $r_i = \prod_{R_i}(u)$ implies that $t[R_i] \in r_i$, $1 \le i \le n$. Thus,

$$
t[R_1] \bowtie t[R_2] \bowtie \dots \bowtie t[R_n] \in r_1 \bowtie r_2 \bowtie \dots \bowtie r_n
$$

By the definition of natural join,

$$
t[R_1] \bowtie t[R_2] \bowtie ... \bowtie t[R_n] = \Pi_{\alpha}(\sigma_{\beta}(t[R_1] \times t[R_2] \times ... \times t[R_n]))
$$

where the condition β is satisfied if values of attributes with the same name in a tuple are equal and where $\alpha = U$. The Cartesian product of single tuples generates one tuple. The selection process is satisfied because all attributes with the same name must have the same value sin
e they are proje
tions from the same tuple. Finally, the projection clause removes duplicate attribute names.

By the definition of decomposition, $U = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup ... \cup R_n$, which means that all attributes of t are in $t[R_1] \bowtie t[R_2] \bowtie ... \bowtie t[R_n]$. That is, t is equal to the result of this join.

Since t is any arbitrary tuple in u ,

$$
u \subseteq r_1 \bowtie r_2 \bowtie \dots \bowtie r_n
$$

 7.13 Show that the decomposition in Exercise 7.1 is not a dependency-preserving decomposition.

Answer:

Therer are several functional dependencies that are not preserved. We discuss one example here. The dependency $B \to D$ is not preserved. F_1 , the restriction of F to (A, B, C) is $A \rightarrow ABC$, $A \rightarrow AB$, $A \rightarrow AC$, $A \rightarrow BC$, $A \rightarrow B$, $A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow AC, AB \rightarrow ABC, AB \rightarrow BC,$ $AB \rightarrow AB, AB \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow C, AC$ (same as AB), BC (same as AB), ABC (same as AB). F_2 , the restriction of F to (C, D, E) is $A \rightarrow ADE$, $A \rightarrow AD$, $A \rightarrow AE, A \rightarrow DE, A \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow D, E$ (same as A), AD, AE, DE, ADE (same as A). $(F_1 \cup F_2)^+$ is easily seen not to contain $B \to D$ since the only FD in $F_1 \cup F_2$ with B as the left side is $B \rightarrow B$, a trivial FD. Thus $B \rightarrow D$ is not preserved.

A simpler argument is as follows: F_1 contains no dependencies with D on the right side of the arrow. F_2 contains no dependencies with B on the left side of the arrow. Therefore for $B \rightarrow D$ to be preserved there must be a functional dependency $B \to \alpha$ in F^+ and $\alpha \to D$ in F^+ (so $B \to D$ would follow by transitivity). Since the intersection of the two schemes is A , $\alpha = A$. Observe that $B \rightarrow A$ is not in F^+ since $B^+ = BD$.

7.14 Show that there can be more than one canonical cover for a given set of functional dependen
ies, using the following set of dependen
ies:

 $X \to YZ$, $Y \to XZ$, and $Z \to XY$.

Answer: Consider the first functional dependency. We can verify that Z is extraneous in $X \to YZ$ and delete it. Subsequently, we can similarly check that X is extraneous in $Y \to XZ$ and delete it, and that Y is extraneous in $Z \to XY$ and delete it, resulting in a canonical cover $X \to Y$, $Y \to Z$, $Z \to X$.

However, we can also verify that Y is extraneous in $X \rightarrow YZ$ and delete it. Subsequently, we can similarly check that Z is extraneous in $Y \to XZ$ and delete it, and that X is extraneous in $Z \rightarrow XY$ and delete it, resulting in a canonical cover $X \to Z$, $Y \to X$, $Z \to Y$.

7.15 The algorithm to generate a canonical cover only removes one extraneous attribute at a time. Use the functional dependencies from Exercise 7.14 to show what can go wrong if two attributes inferred to be extraneous are deleted at once.

Answer: In $X \to YZ$, one can infer that Y is extraneous, and so is Z. But deleting both will result in a set of dependencies from which $X \to YZ$ can no longer be inferred. Deleting Y results in Z no longer being extraneous, and deleting Z results in Y no longer being extraneous. The canonical cover algorithm only deletes one attribute at a time, avoiding the problem that could occur if two attributes are deleted at the same time.

7.16 Show that it is possible to ensure that a dependency-preserving decomposition into 3NF is a lossless de
omposition by guaranteeing that at least one s
hema contains a candidate key for the schema being decomposed. (*Hint*: Show that the join of all the proje
tions onto the s
hemas of the de
omposition annot have more tuples than the original relation.)

Answer:

Let F be a set of functional dependencies that hold on a schema R. Let $\sigma =$ $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n\}$ be a dependency-preserving 3NF decomposition of R. Let X be a andidate key for R.

Consider a legal instance r of R. Let $j = \prod_X(r) \bowtie \prod_{R_1}(r) \bowtie \prod_{R_2}(r) \dots \bowtie \prod_{R_n}(r)$. We want to prove that $r = j$.

We claim that if t_1 and t_2 are two tuples in *j* such that $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$, then $t_1 = t_2$. To prove this claim, we use the following inductive argument:

Let $F' = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup ... \cup F_n$, where each F_i is the restriction of F to the schema R_i in σ . Consider the use of the algorithm given in Figure 7.8 to compute the

⁶² Chapter ⁷ Relational Database Design

closure of X under F'. We use induction on the number of times that the for loop in this algorithm is executed.

- Basis: In the first step of the algorithm, result is assigned to X , and hence given that $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$, we know that $t_1[result] = t_2[result]$ is true.
- Induction Step: Let t_1 [result] = t_2 [result] be true at the end of the k th execution of the *for* loop.

Suppose the functional dependency considered in the $k+1$ th execution of the for loop is $\beta \to \gamma$, and that $\beta \subseteq \text{result}$. $\beta \subseteq \text{result}$ implies that $t_1[\beta] = t_2[\beta]$ is true. The facts that $\beta \to \gamma$ holds for some attribute set R_i in σ and that $t_1[R_i]$ and $t_2[R_i]$ are in $\Pi_{R_i}(r)$ imply that $t_1[\gamma] = t_2[\gamma]$ is also true. Since γ is now added to *result* by the algorithm, we know that t_1 [result] = t_2 [result] is true at the end of the $k+1$ th execution of the for loop.

Since σ is dependency-preserving and X is a key for R, all attributes in R are in *result* when the algorithm terminates. Thus, $t_1[R] = t_2[R]$ is true, that is, $t_1 = t$ - as claimed earlier.

Our claim implies that the size of $\Pi_{x}(j)$ is equal to the size of j. Note also that $\Pi_Y(i) = \Pi_Y(r) = r$ (since X is a key for R). Thus we have proved that the size of *j* equals that of *r*. Using the result of Exercise 7.12, we know that $r \subseteq j$. Hence we conclude that $r = j$.

Note that since X is trivially in 3NF, $\sigma \cup \{X\}$ is a dependency-preserving lossless de
omposition into 3NF.

7.17 Give an example of a relation schema R' and set F' of functional dependencies such that there are at least three distinct lossless decompositions of R' into BCNF.

Answer:

Given the relation $R' = (A, B, C, D)$ the set of functional dependencies $F' =$ $A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow C$ allows three distinct BCNF decompositions.

$$
R_1 = \{ (A, B), (C, D), (B, C) \}
$$

is in BCNF as is

$$
R_2 = \{ (A, B), (C, D), (A, C) \}
$$

$$
R_3 = \{ (B, C), (A, D), (A, B) \}
$$

7.18 Let a **prime** attribute be one that appears in at least one candidate key. Let α and β be sets of attributes such that $\alpha \to \beta$ holds, but $\beta \to \alpha$ does not hold. Let A be an attribute that is not in α , is not in β , and for which $\beta \rightarrow A$ holds. We say that A is transitively dependent on α . We can restate the definition of 3NF as follows: A relation schema R is in 3NF with respect to a set F of functional dependencies if there are no nonprime attributes Λ in R for which Λ is transitively dependent on a key for R. Show that this new definition is equivalent to the original one.

Answer:

Suppose \tilde{R} is in 3NF according to the textbook definition. We show that it is in 3NF according to the definition in the exercise. Let A be a nonprime attribute in R that is transitively dependent on a key α for R. Then there exists $\beta \subseteq R$ such that $\beta \to A$, $\alpha \to \beta$, $A \notin \alpha$, $A \notin \beta$, and $\beta \to \alpha$ does not hold. But then $\beta \rightarrow A$ violates the textbook definition of 3NF since

- $A \notin \beta$ implies $\beta \to A$ is nontrivial
- e en does not hold, was a superkeye when the superkeye was a superkeye was a superkeye was a superkeye was a s
- \bullet *A* is not any candidate key, since *A* is nonprime

Now we show that if R is in 3NF according to the exercise definition, it is in 3NF according to the textbook definition. Suppose R is not in 3NF according to the the textbook definition. Then there is an FD $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ that fails all three onditions. Thus

- is non-property-contribution in the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution o
- α is not a superkey for R.
- \bullet Some A in $\beta - \alpha$ is not in any candidate key.

This implies that A is nonprime and $\alpha \rightarrow A$. Let γ be a candidate key for R. Then $\gamma \to \alpha$, $\alpha \to \gamma$ does not hold (since α is not a superkey), $A \notin \alpha$, and $A \notin \gamma$ (since A is nonprime). Thus A is transitively dependent on γ , violating the exercise definition.

- 7.19 A functional dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is called a **partial dependency** if there is a proper subset γ of α such that $\gamma \to \beta$; we say that β is *partially dependent* on α . A relation schema R is in second normal form $(2NF)$ if each attribute A in R meets one of the following criteria:
	- and appears in a maximum and pr
	- It is not partially dependent on a andidate key.

Show that every 3NF schema is in 2NF. (*Hint*: Show that every partial dependency is a transitive dependency.)

Answer:

Referring to the definitions in Exercise 7.18, a relation schema R is said to be in 3NF if there is no nonprime attribute Λ in Λ for which Λ is transitively dependent on a key for R.

⁶⁴ Chapter ⁷ Relational Database Design

We can also rewrite the definition of 2NF given here as:

"A relation schema R is in 2NF if no nonprime attribute A is partially dependent on any candidate key for R ."

To prove that every 3NF schema is in 2NF, it suffices to show that if a nonprime attribute A is partially dependent on a candidate key α , then A is also transitively dependent on the key α .

Let A be a nonprime attribute in R. Let α be a candidate key for R. Suppose A is partially dependent on α .

- From the denition of a partial dependen
y, we know that for some proper subset β of α , $\beta \rightarrow A$.
- is the set of the single state in the single single state in the single state of \mathbb{R}^n
- Finally, since A is nonprime, it cannot be in either β or α .

Thus we conclude that $\alpha \rightarrow A$ is a transitive dependency. Hence we have proved that every 3NF s
hema is also in 2NF.

7.20 Give an example of a relation schema R and a set of dependencies such that R is in BCNF but is not in 4NF.

Answer:

There are, of course, an infinite number of such examples. We show the simplest one here.

Let R be the schema (A, B, C) with the only nontrivial dependency being $A \rightarrow \rightarrow$ B

Complex Data Types

- 8.1 Provide information about the student named Shankar in our sample university database, including information from the *student* tuple corresponding to Shankar, the takes tuples corresponding to Shankar and the course tuples corresponding to these takes tuples, in each of the following representations:
	- a. Using JSON, with an appropriate nested representation.
	- b. Using XML, with the same nested representation.
	- . Using RDF triples.
	- d. As an RDF graph.

Answer:

- a_z a. FILL IN
- b. FILL IN
- . FILL IN
- d. FILL IN
- 8.2 Consider the RDF representation of information from the university s
hema as shown in Figure 8.3. Write the following queries in SPARQL.
	- a. Find the titles of all ourses taken by any student named Zhang.
	- b. Find titles of all courses such that a student named Zhang takes a section of the ourse that is taught by an instru
	tor named Srinivasan.
	- c. Find the attribute names and values of all attributes of the instructor named Srinivasan, without enumerating the attribute names in your query.

⁶⁶ Chapter ⁸ Complex Data Types

Answer:

FILL IN

- 8.3 A car-rental company maintains a database for all vehicles in its current fleet. For all vehicles, it includes the vehicle identification number, license number, manufacturer, model, date of purchase, and color. Special data are included for ertain types of vehi
les:
	- Tru
	ks: argo apa
	ity.
	- Sports cars: horsepower, renter age requirement.
	- Vans: number of passengers.
	- e, drivetrain vehicles is a constructed and the contract of the contract or the contract of the contract of th

Construct an SQL schema definition for this database. Use inheritance where appropriate.

Answer:

For this problem, we use table inheritance. We assume that MyDate, Color and DriveTrainType are pre-defined types.

create type Vehicle

(vehicle_id integer, license_number char(15), manufacturer char(30), model **char(30)**, pur
hase date MyDate, olor Color)

create table vehicle of type Vehicle

create table truck

(cargo_capacity integer) under vehicle

reate table sportsCar

(horsepower integer renter_age_requirement integer) under vehicle

create table van

(num passengers integer) under vehicle

create table offRoadVehicle (ground_clearance real driveTrain DriveTrainType) under vehicle

8.4 Consider a database schema with a relation *Emp* whose attributes are as shown below, with types specified for multivalued attributes.

> $Emp = (ename, ChildrenSet$ multiset(Children), SkillSet multiset(Skills)) $Children = (name, birthday)$ S kills = (type, ExamSet setof(Exams)) $Exams = (year, city)$

Define the above schema in SQL, using the SQL Server table type syntax from Section 8.2.1.1 to declare multiset attributes.

Answer:

- a. No answer.
- b. Queries in SQL.
	- i. Program:

select ename from emp as e , e . Children Set as c where 'March' in (sele
t birthday.month from c λ

ii. Program:

select e.ename from emp as e , e . Skill Set as s , s . ExamSet as x where $s.\textit{type} = ' \text{typing}'$ and $x.\textit{city} = ' \text{Dayton}'$

iii. Program:

select distinct s.type from emp as e, e.SkillSet as s

8.5 Consider the E-R diagram in Figure 8.7 showing entity set *instructor*. Give an SQL schema definition corresponding to the E-R diagram, treating phone_number as an array of 10 elements, using Oracle or PostgreSQL syntax.

)

Answer:

The corresponding SQL:1999 schema definition is given below. Note that the derived attribute age has been translated into a method.

⁶⁸ Chapter ⁸ Complex Data Types

```
instructor
\underline{\mathit{ID}}name
  first_name
   middle_inital
   last_name
address
   street
      street_number
      street_name
      apt_number
   city
   state
   zip
{phone_number}
date_of_birth
age ( )
```
Figure 8.7 E-R diagram with composite, multivalued, and derived attributes.

```
create type Name
   (first_name \text{ varchar}(15),middle_initial char,
    last_name varchar(15))
create type Street
   (street_name varchar(15),
   street_number varchar(4),
    apartment_number varchar(7))
create type Address
   (street Street,
    city varchar(15),
    state varchar(15),
    zip\_code char(6))create table customer
   (name Name,
    customer_id varchar(10),
    address Adress,
    phones varray(10) of char(7),
    dob date)
method integer age()
```
employee (person_name, street, city) works (person_name, company_name, salary) company (company_name, city) manages (person_name, manager_name)

Figure 8.8 Relational database for Exercise 8.6.

The above array syntax is based on Oracle, in PostgreSQL phones would be **8.6** Consider the relational schema shown in Figure 8.8.

- a. Give a schema definition in SQL corresponding to the relational schema but using referen
es to express foreign-key relationships.
- b. Write each of the following queries on the schema, using SQL.
	- i. Find the ompany with the most employees.
	- ii. Find the ompany with the smallest payroll.
	- iii. Find those companies whose employees earn a higher salary, on average, than the average salary at First Bank Corporation.

Answer:

8.6

The schema definition is given below. a_z

> reate type Employee $(\text{person_name variable}(30)),$ street varchar(15), $city$ varchar (15)) reate type Company (company_name varchar(15), $(city \, \text{varchar}(15))$ reate table employee of Employee create table *company* of *Company* create type *Works* (person ref(Employee) scope employee, comp ref(Company) scope company, salary int) create table works of Works reate type Manages (person ref(Employee) scope employee, (*manager* ref(*Employee*) scope *employee*) create table manages of Manages

⁷⁰ Chapter ⁸ Complex Data Types

- b. i. select $comp \gt$ name from works group by comp having count(person) \ge all(select count(person) from works $group by comp)$
	- ii. select comp- >name from works group by comp having sum(salary) \leq all(select sum(salary) from works $group by comp)$
	- iii. select comp- >name from works group by comp having $\arg(salary) > (select \, avg(salary))$ from works

where $comp - \gt\rho comp$ name="First Bank Corporation")

8.7 Compute the relevance (using appropriate definitions of term frequency and inverse document frequency) of each of the Practice Exercises in this chapter to the query "SQL relation".

Answer:

We do not consider the questions containing neither of the keywords because their relevan
e to the keywords is zero. The number of words in a question include stop words. We use the equations given in Section 31.2 to compute relevance; the log term in the equation is assumed to be to the base 2.

8.8 Show how to represent the matrices used for computing PageRank as relations. Then write an SQL query that implements one iterative step of the iterative technique for finding PageRank; the entire algorithm can then be implemented as a loop ontaining the query.

Answer:

FILL

- 8.9 Suppose the *student* relation has an attribute named *location* of type point, and the *classroom* relation has an attribute *location* of type polygon. Write the following queries in SQL using the PostGIS spatial functions and predicates that we saw earlier:
	- Find the names of all students whose location is within the classroom a_{\cdot} Packard 101.
	- b. Find all lassrooms that are within 100 meters or Pa
	kard 101; assume all distan
	es are represented in units of meters.
	- c. Find the ID and name of student who is geographically nearest to the student with ID 12345.
	- d. Find the ID and names of all pairs of students whose locations are less than 200 meters apart.

Answer: FILL

Application Development

Practice Exercises

9.1 What is the main reason why servlets give better performance than programs that use the ommon gateway interfa
e (CGI), even though Java programs generally run slower than C or C++ programs?

Answer:

The CGI interface starts a new process to service each request, which has a significant operating system overhead. On the other hand, servlets are run as threads of an existing pro
ess, avoiding this overhead. Further, the pro
ess running threads ould be the web server pro
ess itself, avoiding interpro
ess ommunication, which can be expensive. Thus, for small to moderate-sized tasks, the overhead of Java is less than the overhead saved by avoiding pro
ess reation and ommuni
ation.

For tasks involving a lot of CPU activity, this may not be the case, and using CGI with a C or C++ program may give better performan
e.

9.2 List some benefits and drawbacks of connectionless protocols over protocols that maintain connections.

Answer:

Most computers have limits on the number of simultaneous connections they can accept. With connectionless protocols, connections are broken as soon as the request is satisfied, and therefore other clients can open connections. Thus more clients can be served at the same time. A request can be routed to any one of a number of different servers to balance load, and if a server crashes, another can take over without the client noticing any problem.

The drawba
k of onne
tionless proto
ols is that a onne
tion has to be reestablished every time a request is sent. Also, session information has to be sent each time in the form of cookies or hidden fields. This makes them slower than the proto
ols whi
h maintain onne
tions in ase state information is required.

⁷⁴ Chapter 9 Appli
ation Development

9.3 Consider a carelessly written web application for an online-shopping site, which stores the pri
e of ea
h item as a hidden form variable in the web page sent to the ustomer; when the ustomer submits the form, the information from the hidden form variable is used to compute the bill for the customer. What is the loophole in this s
heme? (There was a real instan
e where the loophole was exploited by some ustomers of an online-shopping site before the problem was detected and fixed.)

Answer:

A hacker can edit the HTML source code of the web page and replace the value of the hidden variable price with another value, use the modified web page to place an order. The web application would then use the user-modified value as the pri
e of the produ
t.

9.4 Consider another carelessly written web application which uses a servlet that checks if there was an active session but does not check if the user is authorized to access that page, instead depending on the fact that a link to the page is shown only to authorized users. What is the risk with this s
heme? (There was a real instance where applicants to a college admissions site could, after logging into the web site, exploit this loophole and view information they were not authorized to see; the unauthorized access was, however, detected, and those who accessed the information were punished by being denied admission.)

Answer:

Although the link to the page is shown only to authorized users, an unauthorized user may somehow ome to know of the existen
e of the link (for example, from an unauthorized user, or via web proxy logs). The user may then log in to the system and access the unauthorized page by entering its URL in the browser. If the he
k for user authorization was inadvertently left out from that page, the user will be able to see the result of the page.

The HTTP referer attribute can be used to block a naive attempt to exploit such loopholes by ensuring the referer value is from a valid page of the web site. However, the referer attribute is set by the browser and can be spoofed, so a malicious user can easily work around the referer check.

9.5 Why is it important to open JDBC connections using the try-with-resources (try $(...){ ... }$) syntax?

Answer:

This ensures onne
tions are losed properly, and you will not run out of database onne
tions.

9.6 List three ways in which caching can be used to speed up web server performance.

Practice Exercises 75

Ca
hing an be used to improve performan
e by exploiting the ommonalities between transa
tions.

- a. If the application code for servicing each request needs to open a conne
tion to the database, whi
h is time onsuming, then a pool of open onne
tions may be reated beforehand, and ea
h request uses one from those.
- b. The results of a query generated by a request can be cached. If the same request comes again, or generates the same query, then the cached result an be used instead of onne
ting to the database again.
- c. The final web page generated in response to a request can be cached. If the same request comes again, then the cached page can be outputed.
- **9.7** The netstat command (available on Linux and on Windows) shows the active network connections on a computer. Explain how this command can be used to find out if a particular web page is not closing connections that it opened, or if onne
tion pooling is used, not returning onne
tions to the onne
tion pool. You should account for the fact that with connection pooling, the connection may not get losed immediately.

Answer:

The tester should run netstat to find all connections open to the machine/socket used by the database. (If the appli
ation server is separate from the database server, the ommand may be exe
uted at either of the ma
hines). Then the web page being tested should be accessed repeatedly (this can be automated by using tools such as JMeter to generate page accesses). The number of connections to the database would go from 0 to some value (depending on the number of onnections retained in the pool), but after some time the number of connections should stop in
reasing. If the number keeps in
reasing, the ode underlying the web page is clearly not closing connections or returning the connection to the pool.

- **9.8** Testing for SQL injection vulnerability:
	- Suggest an approach for testing an application to find if it is vulnerable to a. SQL injection attacks on text input.
	- b. Can SQL injection occur with forms of HTML input other than text boxes? If so, how would you test for vulnerability?

Answer:

 a_z One approach is to enter a string containing a single quote in each of the input text boxes of each of the forms provided by the application to see

⁷⁶ Chapter 9 Appli
ation Development

if the application correctly saves the value. If it does not save the value correctly and/or gives an error message, it is vulnerable to SQL injection.

- b. Yes, SQL injection can even occur with selection inputs such as dropdown menus, by modifying the value sent ba
k to the server when the input value is chosen—for example by editing the page directly, or in the browser's DOM tree. Most modern browsers provide a way for users to edit the DOM tree. This feature can be able to modify the values sent to the appli
ation, inserting a single quote into the value.
- **9.9** A database relation may have the values of certain attributes encrypted for seurity. Why do database systems not support indexing on en
rypted attributes? Using your answer to this question, explain why database systems do not allow en
ryption of primary-key attributes.

Answer:

It is not possible in general to index on an encrypted value, unless all occurrences of the value encrypt to the same value (and even in this case, only equality predicates would be supported). However, mapping all occurrences of a value to the same en
rypted value is risky, sin
e statisti
al analysis an be used to reveal common values, even without decryption; techniques based on adding random "salt" bits are used to prevent such analysis, but they make indexing impossible. One possible workaround is to store the index unencrypted, but then the index can be used to leak values. Another option is to keep the index encrypted, but then the database system should know the de
ryption key, to de
rypt required parts of the index on the fly. Since this requires modifying large parts of the database system ode, databases typi
ally do not support this option.

The primary-key onstraint has to be he
ked by the database when tuples are inserted, and if the values are encrypted as above, the database system will not be able to dete
t primary-key violations. Therefore, database systems that support encryption of specified attributes do not allow primary-key attributes, or for that matter foreign-key attributes, to be en
rypted.

9.10 Exercise 9.9 addresses the problem of encryption of certain attributes. However, some database systems support encryption of entire databases. Explain how the problems raised in Exercise 9.9 are avoided if the entire database is encrypted.

Answer:

When the entire database is encrypted, it is easy for the database to perform de
ryption as data are fet
hed from disk into memory, so in-memory storage is unencrypted. With this option, everything in the database, including indices, is encrypted when on disk, but unencrypted in memory. As a result, only the data access layer of the database system code needs to be modified to perform enryption, leaving other layers untou
hed. Thus, indi
es an be used un
hanged, and primary-key and foreign-key onstraints enfor
ed without any hange to the orresponding layers of the database system ode.

9.11 Suppose someone impersonates a company and gets a certificate from a certificate-issuing authority. What is the effect on things (such as purchase orders or programs) certified by the impersonated company, and on things certified by other companies?

Answer:

The key problem with digital certificates (when used offline, without contacting the certificate issuer) is that there is no way to withdraw them.

For instance (this actually happened, but names of the parties have been changed) person C claims to be an employee of company X and gets a new public key certified by the certifying authority A . Suppose the authority A incorrectly believed that C was acting on behalf of company X, and it gave C a certificate *cert*. Now C can communicate with person Y, who checks the certificate cert presented by C and believes the public key contained in cert really belongs to X. C can communicate with Y using the public key, and Y trusts the communication is from company X .

Person Y may now reveal confidential information to C or accept a purchase order from C or execute programs certified by C, based on the public key, thinking he is actually communicating with company X . In each case there is potential for harm to Y .

Even if A detects the impersonation, as long as Y does not check with A (the protocol does not require this check), there is no way for Y to find out that the certificate is forged.

If X was a certification authority itself, further levels of fake certificates could be created. But certificates that are not part of this chain would not be affected.

9.12 Perhaps the most important data items in any database system are the passwords that control access to the database. Suggest a scheme for the secure storage of passwords. Be sure that your s
heme allows the system to test passwords supplied by users who are attempting to log into the system.

Answer:

A s
heme for storing passwords would be to en
rypt ea
h password (after adding randomly generated "salt" bits to prevent dictionary attacks), and then use a hash index on the user-id to store/access the encrypted password. The password being used in a login attempt is then encrypted (if randomly generated "salt" bits were used initially, these bits should be stored with the user-id and used when en
rypting the user-supplied password). The en
rypted value is then compared with the stored encrypted value of the correct password. An advantage of this s
heme is that passwords are not stored in lear text, and the code for decryption need not even exist. Thus, "one-way" encryption functions, such as secure hashing functions, which do not support decryption can be used for this task. The secure hashing algorithm SHA-1 is widely used for such oneway encryption.

Big Data

Practice Exercises

10.1 Suppose you need to store a very large number of small files, each of size say 2 kilobytes. If your hoi
e is between a distributed le system and a distributed key-value store, whi
h would you prefer, and explain why.

Answer:

 $CHAPTER$

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{O} \end{array}$

The key-value store, since the distributed file system is designed to store a moderate number of large files. With each file block being multiple megabytes, kilobyte-sized files would result in a lot of wasted space in each block and poor storage performan
e.

10.2 Suppose you need to store data for a very large number of students in a distributed do
ument store su
h as MongoDB. Suppose also that the data for each student correspond to the data in the *student* and the *takes* relations. How would you represent the above data about students, ensuring that all the data for a particular student can be accessed efficiently? Give an example of the data representation for one student.

Answer:

We would store the student data as a JSON object, with the takes tuples for the student stored as a JSON array of objects, each object corresponding to a single takes tuple. Give example ...

10.3 Suppose you wish to store utility bills for a large number of users, where ea
h bill is identified by a customer ID and a date. How would you store the bills in a key-value store that supports range queries, if queries request the bills of a specified customer for a specified date range.

Answer:

Create a key by on
atenating the ustomer ID and date (with date represented in the form year/month/date, e.g., $2018/02/28$) and store the records indexed on this key. Now the required re
ords an be retrieved by a range query.

⁸⁰ Chapter ¹⁰ Big Data

10.4 Give pseudocode for computing a join $r \bowtie_{r,A=s,A} s$ using a single MapReduce step, assuming that the map() function is invoked on each tuple of r and s . Assume that the map() function can find the name of the relation using context.relname().

Answer:

With the map function, output records from both the input relations, using the join attribute value as the reduce key. The reduce function gets records from both relations with matching join attribute values and outputs all matching pairs.

10.5 What is the conceptual problem with the following snippet of Apache Spark ode meant to work on very large data. Note that the olle
t() fun
tion returns a Java olle
tion, and Java olle
tions (from Java 8 onwards) support map and redu
e fun
tions.

> JavaRDD<String< lines ⁼ s
> .textFile("logDire
> tory"); int totalLength = lines.collect() map(s \rightarrow s.length()) reduce $(0,(a,b) \rightarrow a+b)$;

Answer:

The problem with the code is that the collect() function gathers the RDD data at a single node, and the map and redu
e fun
tions are then exe
uted on that single node, not in parallel as intended.

- 10.6 Apache Spark:
	- a. How does Apache Spark perform computations in parallel?
	- b. Explain the statement: "Apache Spark performs transformations on RDDs in a lazy manner.
	- c. What are some of the benefits of lazy evaluation of operations in Apache Spark?

- a. RDDs are stored partitioned across multiple nodes. Each of the transformation operations on an RDD are executed in parallel on multiple nodes.
- b. Transformations are not exe
uted immediately but postponed until the result is required for functions such as collect() or saveAsTextFile().
- c. The operations are organized into a tree, and query optimization can be applied to the tree to speed up computation. Also, answers can be pipelined from one operation to another, without being written to disk, to redu
e time overheads of disk storage.

10. τ Given a concentrol of documents, for each word w_i , for n_i denote the number of times the word occurs in the collection. Let N be the total number of word occurrences across all documents. Next, consider all pairs of consecutive words (w_i, w_j) in the document, for $n_{i,j}$ denote the number of occurrences of the word p all (w_i, w_j) across all documents.

Write an Apache Spark program that, given a collection of documents in a directory, computes N , an pairs (w_i , n_i), and an pairs ((w_i , w_j), $n_{i,j}$). Then output all word pairs such that $n_{ij}/N \ge 10 * (n_j/N) * (n_j/N)$. These are word pairs that occur 10 times or more as frequently as they would be expected to occur if the two words occurred independently of each other.

You will find the join operation on RDDs useful for the last step, to bring related counts together. For simplicity, do not bother about word pairs that cross lines. Also assume for simplicity that words only occur in lowercase and that there are no pun
tuation marks.

Answer:

FILL IN ANSWER (available with SS)

10.8 Consider the following query using the tumbling window operator:

select item, System. Timestamp as window_end, sum(amount) from *order* timestamp by *datetime* group by *itemid*, tumblingwindow($hour, 1$)

Give an equivalent query using normal SQL constructs, without using the tumbling window operator. You an assume that the timestamp an be onverted to an integer value that represents the number of seconds elapsed since (say) midnight, January 1, 1970, using the function to seconds (timestamp). You can also assume that the usual arithmetic functions are available, along with the function $floor(a)$ which returns the largest integer $\leq a$.

Answer:

Divide by 3600, and take floor, group by that. To output the timestamp of the window end, add 1 to hour and multiply by 3600

 10.9 Suppose you wish to model the university schema as a graph. For each of the following relations, explain whether the relation would be modeled as a node or as an edge:

 (i) student, (ii) instructor, (iii) course, (iv) section, (v) takes, (vi) teaches. Does the model capture connections between sections and courses?

Answer:

Each relation corresponding to an entity (student, instructor, course, and section) would be modeled as a node. Takes and teaches would be modeled as edges. There is a further edge between *course* and *section*, which has been

⁸² Chapter ¹⁰ Big Data

merged into the *section* relation and cannot be captured with the above schema. It can be modeled if we create a separate relation that links sections to courses.

Data Analytics

CHAPTER

11.1 Describe benefits and drawbacks of a source-driven architecture for gathering of data at a data warehouse, as ompared to a destination-driven ar
hite
ture.

Answer:

In a destination-driven architecture for gathering data, data transfers from the data sour
es to the data warehouse are based on demand from the warehouse, whereas in a source-driven architecture, the transfers are initiated by each source.

The benefits of a source-driven architecture are

- ome and the propagate to the destination as soon as the destination as the society able. For a destination-driven architecture to collect data as soon as they are available, the warehouse would have to probe the sour
es frequently, leading to a high overhead.
- the source where the source to keep histories historical information. As soon as are updated, the sour
e an send an update message to the destination and forget the history of the updates. In ontrast, in a destination-driven ar
hite
ture, ea
h sour
e has to maintain a history of data whi
h have not yet been olle
ted by the data warehouse. Thus storage requirements at the source are lower for a source-driven architecture.

On the other hand, a destination-driven architecture has the following advantages.

the source are the source that the source that the source of the source the source that the source dle error onditions su
h as not being able to onta
t the warehouse for some time. It is easier to implement passive sources, and a single active warehouse. In a destination-driven architecture, each source is required to provide only a basic functionality of executing queries.

⁸⁴ Chapter 11 Data Analyti
s

- out warehouse more more common the when to case, and when ρ a
tivities and when to pro
ess user queries; it is not a good idea to perform both simultaneously, since they may conflict on locks.
- 11.2 Draw a diagram that shows how the *classroom* relation of our university example as shown in Appendix A would be stored under a column-oriented storage

Answer:

The relation would be stored in three files, one per attribute, as shown below. We assume that the row number can be inferred implicitly from position, by using fixed-size space for each attribute. Otherwise, the row number would also have to be stored explicitly.

11.3 Consider the takes relation. Write an SQL query that computes a cross-tab that has a olumn for ea
h of the years 2017 and 2018, and a olumn for all, and one row for each course, as well as a row for all. Each cell in the table should contain the number of students who took the corresponding course in the orresponding year, with olumn all ontaining the aggregate a
ross all years, and row all containing the aggregate across all courses.

11.4 Consider the data warehouse s
hema depi
ted in Figure 11.2. Give an SQL query to summarize sales numbers and pri
e by store and date, along with the

Answer: query:

> select store-id, city, state, country, date, month, quarter, year, $sum(number)$, $sum(price)$ from sales, store, date where sales.store- $id = store store_id$ and sales.date = date.date groupby rollup(country, state, city, store-id), rollup(year, quarter, month, date)

11.5 Classification can be done using *classification rules*, which have a *condition*, a class, and a *confidence*; the confidence is the percentage of the inputs satisfying the condition that fall in the specified class.

For example, a classification rule for credit ratings may have a condition that salary is between \$30,000 and \$50,000, and edu
ation level is graduate, with the credit rating class of $good$, and a confidence of 80%. A second rule may have a condition that salary is between \$30,000 and \$50,000, and education level is high-school, with the credit rating class of *satisfactory*, and a confidence of 80%. A third rule may have a condition that salary is above \$50,001, with the credit rating class of excellent, and confidence of 90%. Show a decision tree classifier corresponding to the above rules.

Show how the decision tree classifier can be extended to record the confidence values.

- 11.6 Consider a classification problem where the classifier predicts whether a person has a particular disease. Suppose that 95% of the people tested do not suffer from the disease. Let pos denote the fraction of true positives, which is 5% of the test cases, and let neg denote the fraction of true negatives, which is 95% of the test cases. Consider the following classifiers:
	- lassier C1, which is negative construction (a rather users construct), i.e. ourse).
	- \mathbf{r} , which predicts \mathbf{r} as the person of the person of the person of the person actually has the disease but also predicts positive in 5% of the cases where the person does not have the disease.

⁸⁶ Chapter 11 Data Analyti
s

classifier cases which prediction prediction in the person control where $\mathbf p$ as exten actually has the disease but also predicts positive in 20% of the cases where the person does not have the disease.

For each classifier, let *t* pos denote the *true positive* fraction, that is the fraction of cases where the classifier prediction was positive, and the person actually had the disease. Let f_{pos} denote the *false positive* fraction, that is the fraction of ases where the predi
tion was positive, but the person did not have the disease. Let *t_neg* denote *true negative* and *f_neg* denote *false negative* fractions, which are defined similarly, but for the cases where the classifier prediction was negative.

- a. Compute the following metrics for each classifier:
	- i. Accuracy, defined as $(t_{pos} + t_{neg})/(pos + neg)$, that is, the fraction of the time when the classifier gives the correct classification.
	- ii. Recall (also known as *sensitivity*) defined as t -pos/pos, that is, how many of the actual positive cases are classified as positive.
	- iii. *Precision*, defined as t_{pos} (t_{pos} + f_{pos}), that is, how often the positive prediction is correct.
	- iv. Specificity, defined as t -neg/neg.
- b. If you intend to use the results of classification to perform further screening for the disease, how would you choose between the classifiers?
- c. On the other hand, if you intend to use the result of classification to start medication, where the medication could have harmful effects if given to someone who does not have the disease, how would you hoose between the classifiers?
- Answer:

CHAPTER 2

Physi
al Storage Systems

Practice Exercises

- 12.1 SSDs can be used as a storage layer between memory and magnetic disks, with some parts of the database (e.g., some relations) stored on SSDs and the rest on magnetic disks. Alternatively, SSDs can be used as a buffer or cache for magneti disks; frequently used blo
ks would reside on the SSD layer, while infrequently used blocks would reside on magnetic disk.
	- a. Whi
	h of the two alternatives would you hoose if you need to support real-time queries that must be answered within a guaranteed short period of time? Explain why.
	- b. Which of the two alternatives would you choose if you had a very large customer relation, where only some disk blocks of the relation are acessed frequently, with other blo
	ks rarely a
	
	essed.

Answer:

In the first case, SSD as storage layer is better since performance is guaranteed. With SSD as cache, some requests may have to read from magnetic disk, ausing delays.

In the second case, since we don't know exactly which blocks are frequently accessed at a higher level, it is not possible to assign part of the relation to SSD. Sin
e the relation is very large, it is not possible to assign all of the relation to SSD. The SSD as cache option will work better in this case.

12.2 Some databases use magnetic disks in a way that only sectors in outer tracks are used, while sectors in inner tracks are left unused. What might be the benefits of doing so?

Answer:

The disk's data-transfer rate will be greater on the outer tracks than the inner tra
ks. This is be
ause the disk spins at a onstant rate, so more se
tors pass underneath the drive head in a given amount of time when the arm is posi-

⁸⁸ Chapter ¹² Physi
al Storage Systems

tioned on an outer track than when on an inner track. Even more importantly, by using only outer tra
ks, the disk arm movement is minimized, redu
ing the disk access latency. This aspect is important for transaction-processing systems, where latency affects the transaction-processing rate.

- 12.3 Flash storage:
	- a. How is the flash translation table, which is used to map logical page numbers to physical page numbers, created in memory?
	- b. Suppose you have a 64-gigabyte flash storage system, with a 4096-byte page size. How big would the flash translation table be, assuming each page has a 32-bit address, and the table is stored as an array?
	- c. Suggest how to reduce the size of the translation table if very often long ranges of consecutive logical page numbers are mapped to consecutive physi
	al page numbers.

Answer:

- a. It is stored as an array ontaining physi
al page numbers, indexed by logi
al page numbers. This representation gives an overhead equal to the size of the page address for ea
h page.
- b. It takes 32 bits for every page or every 4096 bytes of storage. Hence, it takes 64 megabytes for the 64 gigabytes of flash storage.
- c. If the mapping is such that every p consecutive logical page numbers are mapped to p consecutive physical pages, we can store the mapping of the first page for every p pages. This reduces the in-memory structure by a factor of p. Further, if p is an exponent of 2, we can avoid some of the least significant digits of the addresses stored.
- 12.4 Consider the following data and parity-blo
k arrangement on four disks:

The B_i s represent data blocks; the P_i s represent parity blocks. Parity block P_i is the parity block for data block for data $\frac{4}{5}$ to $\frac{4}{10}$ to B4i* \ldots , this any, problem might this arrangement present?

This arrangement has the problem that P_i and B_{4i-3} are on the same disk. So if that disk fails, reconstruction of B_{4i-3} is not possible, since data and parity are both lost.

12.5 A database administrator can choose how many disks are organized into a single RAID 5 array. What are the trade-offs between having fewer disks versus more disks, in terms of cost, reliability, performance during failure, and performan
e during rebuild?

Answer:

Fewer disks has higher cost, but with more disks, the chance of two disk failures, whi
h would lead to data loss, is higher. Further, performan
e during failure would be poor sin
e a blo
k read from a failed disk would result a large number of blo
k reads from the other disks. Similarly, the overhead for rebuilding the failed disk would also be higher, sin
e more disks need to be read to reconstruct the data in the failed disk.

- 12.6 A power failure that occurs while a disk block is being written could result in the block being only partially written. Assume that partially written blocks can be detected. An atomic block write is one where either the disk block is fully written or nothing is written (i.e., there are no partial writes). Suggest s
hemes for getting the effect of atomic block writes with the following RAID schemes. Your schemes should involve work on recovery from failure.
	- a. RAID level 1 (mirroring)
	- b. RAID level 5 (blo
	k interleaved, distributed parity)

Answer:

- a. To ensure atomicity, a block write operation is carried out as follows:
	- i. Write the information onto the first physical block.
	- ii. When the first write completes successfully, write the same information onto the second physical block.
	- The output is declared completed only after the second write comiii. pletes successfully.

During recovery, each pair of physical blocks is examined. If both are identical and there is no detectable partial-write, then no further actions are ne
essary. If one blo
k has been partially rewritten, then we repla
e its ontents with the ontents of the other blo
k. If there has been no partial-write, but they differ in content, then we replace the contents of the first block with the contents of the second, or vice versa. This recovery procedure ensures that a write to stable storage either succeeds ompletely (that is, updates both opies) or results in no hange.

The requirement of comparing every corresponding pair of blocks during recovery is expensive to meet. We can reduce the cost greatly by

⁹⁰ Chapter ¹² Physi
al Storage Systems

keeping tra
k of blo
k writes that are in progress, using a small amount of nonvolatile RAM. On recovery, only blocks for which writes were in progress need to be ompared.

- b. The idea is similar here. For any block write, the information block is written first, followed by the corresponding parity block. At the time of recovery, each set consisting of the nth block of each of the disks is considered. If none of the blo
ks in the set have been partially written, and the parity blo
k ontents are onsistent with the ontents of the information blocks, then no further action need be taken. If any block has been partially written, its contents are reconstructed using the other blocks. If no blo
k has been partially written, but the parity blo
k ontents do not agree with the information block contents, the parity block's contents are reconstructed.
- 12.7 Storing all blocks of a large file on consecutive disk blocks would minimize seeks during sequential file reads. Why is it impractical to do so? What do operating systems do instead, to minimize the number of seeks during sequential reads?

Answer:

Reading data sequentially from a large file could be done with only one seek if the entire file were stored on consecutive disk blocks. Ensuring availability of large numbers of consecutive free blocks is not easy, since files are created and deleted, resulting in fragmentation of the free blo
ks on disks. Operating systems allocate blocks on large but fixed-sized sequential extents instead, and only one seek is required per extent.

Data Storage Structures

Practice Exercises

- 13.1 Consider the deletion of re
ord 5 from the le of Figure 13.3. Compare the relative merits of the following techniques for implementing the deletion:
	- a. Move record 6 to the space occupied by record 5, and move record 7 to the space occupied by record 6.
	- b. Move record 7 to the space occupied by record 5.
	- Mark record 5 as deleted, and move no records. \mathbf{C} . . Mark re
	ord 5 as deleted, and move no re
	ords.

Answer:

- a. Although moving re
ord 6 to the spa
e for 5 and moving re
ord 7 to the spa
e for 6 is the most straightforward approa
h, it requires moving the most records and involves the most accesses.
- b. Moving record 7 to the space for 5 moves fewer records but destroys any ordering in the file.
- . Marking the spa
e for 5 as deleted preserves ordering and moves no records, but it requires additional overhead to keep track of all of the free space in the file. This method may lead to too many "holes" in the file, which if not compacted from time to time, will affect performance because of the reduced availability of contiguous free records.
- 13.2 Show the structure of the file of Figure 13.4 after each of the following steps:
	- a. Insert (24556, Turnamian, Finan
	e, 98000).
	- b. Delete record 2.
	- . Insert (34556, Thompson, Musi
	, 67000).

92 Chapter 13 Data Storage Structures

Figure 13.101 The file after insert (24556, Turnamian, Finan
e, 98000).

header				\uparrow 2
record 0	10101	Srinivasan	Comp. Sci.	65000
record 1	24556	Turnamian	Finance	98000
record 2				↑ 4
record 3	22222	Einstein	Physics	95000
record 4				\uparrow 6
record 5	33456	Gold	Physics	87000
record 6				
record 7	58583	Califieri	History	62000
record 8	76543	Singh	Finance	80000
record 9	76766	Crick	Biology	72000
record 10	83821	Brandt	Comp. Sci.	92000
record 11	98345	Kim	Elec. Eng.	80000

Figure 13.102 The file after delete record 2.

We use " \uparrow *i*" to denote a pointer to record "*i*".

- a. See ??.
- b. See ??. Note that the free record chain could have alternatively been from the header to 4, from 4 to 2, and finally from 2 to 6.
- . See ??.

Practice Exercises 93

Figure 13.103 The file after insert (34556, Thompson, Music, 67000).

13.3 Consider the relations *section* and *takes*. Give an example instance of these two relations, with three sections, each of which has five students. Give a file structure of these relations that uses multitable clustering.

Answer:

The relation *section* with three tuples is as follows:

The relation *takes* with five students for each section is as follows: See ??.

See ??.

The multitable clustering for the above two instances can be taken as:

- 13.4 Consider the bitmap representation of the free-space map, where for each block in the file, two bits are maintained in the bitmap. If the block is between 0 and 30 per
ent full the bits are 00, between 30 and 60 per
ent the bits are 01, between 60 and 90 per
ent the bits are 10, and above 90 per
ent the bits are 11. Such bitmaps can be kept in memory even for quite large files.
	- a. Outline two benefits and one drawback to using two bits for a block, instead of one byte as des
	ribed earlier in this hapter.

⁹⁴ Chapter ¹³ Data Storage Stru
tures

Figure 13.104 The relation $takes$ with five students for each section.

- b. Describe how to keep the bitmap up to date on record insertions and deletions.
- c. Outline the benefit of the bitmap technique over free lists in searching for free spa
e and in updating free spa
e information.

- a. The spa
e used is less with 2 bits, and the number of times the freespace map needs to be updated decreases significantly, since many inserts/deletes do not result in any change in the free-space map. However, we have only an approximate idea of the free space available, which could lead both to wasted space and/or to increased search cost for finding free space for a record.
- b. Every time a record is inserted/deleted, check if the usage of the block has changed levels. In that case, update the corresponding bits. Note that we don't need to access the bitmaps at all unless the usage crosses a boundary, so in most of the ases there is no overhead.
- c. When free space for a large record or a set of records is sought, then multiple free list entries may have to be s
anned before a proper-sized one is found, so overheads are mu
h higher. With bitmaps, one page of bitmap can store free info for many pages, so I/O spent for finding free space is minimal. Similarly, when a whole block or a large part of it is

Practice Exercises 95

Figure 13.105 The multitable clustering for the above two instances can be taken as:

deleted, bitmap technique is more convenient for updating free space information.

13.5 It is important to be able to quickly find out if a block is present in the buffer, and if so where in the buffer it resides. Given that database buffer sizes are very large, what (in-memory) data structure would you use for this task?

Answer:

Hash table is the common option for large database buffers. The hash function helps in locating the appropriate bucket on which linear search is performed.

13.6 Suppose your university has a very large number of takes records, accumulated over many years. Explain how table partitioning can be done on the takes relation, and what benefits it could offer. Explain also one potential drawback of the technique.

Answer:

The table can be partitioned on (year, semester). Old takes records that are no longer accessed frequently can be stored on magnetic disk, while newer records can be stored on SSD. Queries that specify a year can be answered without reading records for other years.

⁹⁶ Chapter ¹³ Data Storage Stru
tures

A drawba
k is that queries that fet
h re
ords orresponding to multiple years will have a higher overhead, since the records may be partitioned across different relations and disk blo
ks.

- 13.7 Give an example of a relational-algebra expression and a query-pro
essing strategy in each of the following situations:
	- a. MRU is preferable to LRU.
	- b. LRU is preferable to MRU.

Answer:

- a. MRU is preferable to LRU where $R_1 \bowtie R_2$ is computed by using a nestedloop processing strategy where each tuple in R_2 must be compared to each block in R_1 . After the first tuple of R_2 is processed, the next needed block is the first one in R_1 . However, since it is the least recently used, the LRU buffer management strategy would replace that block if a new blo
k was needed by the system.
- b. LRU is preferable to MRU where $R_1 \bowtie R_2$ is computed by sorting the relations by join values and then comparing the values by proceeding through the relations. Due to duplicate join values, it may be necessary to "back up" in one of the relations. This "backing up" could cross a blo
k boundary into the most re
ently used blo
k, whi
h would have been replaced by a system using MRU buffer management, if a new block

Under MRU, some unused blo
ks may remain in memory forever. In practice, MRU can be used only in special situations like that of the nested-loop strategy discussed in Exercise Section 13.8a.

13.8 PostgreSQL normally uses a small buffer, leaving it to the operating system buffer manager to manage the rest of main memory available for file system buffering. Explain (a) what is the benefit of this approach, and (b) one key limitation of this approa
h.

Answer:

The database system does not know what are the memory demands from other processes. By using a small buffer, PostgreSQL ensures that it does not grab too much of main memory. But at the same time, even if a block is evicted from buffer, if the file system buffer manager has enough memory allocated to it, the evicted page is likely to still be cached in the file system buffer. Thus, a database buffer miss is often not very expensive since the block is still in the file system buffer.

Practice Exercises 97

The drawback of this approach is that the database system may not be able to control the file system buffer replacement policy. Thus, the operating system may make suboptimal decisions on what to evict from the file system buffer.

Indexing

CHAPTER

 \blacktriangleleft

Practice Exercises

14.1 Indices speed query processing, but it is usually a bad idea to create indices on every attribute, and every combination of attributes, that are potential search keys. Explain why.

Answer:

Reasons for not keeping indices on every attribute include:

- Every index requires additional CPU time and disk I/O overhead during inserts and deletions.
- Indices on non-primary keys might have to be changed on updates, although an index on the primary key might not (this is because updates typi
ally do not modify the primary-key attributes).
- Ea
h extra index requires additional storage spa
e.
- For queries which involve conditions on several search keys, efficiency might not be bad even if only some of the keys have indices on them. Therefore, database performan
e is improved less by adding indi
es when many indi
es already exist.
- 14.2 Is it possible in general to have two lustering indi
es on the same relation for different search keys? Explain your answer.

Answer:

In general, it is not possible to have two primary indi
es on the same relation for different keys because the tuples in a relation would have to be stored in different order to have the same values stored together. We could accomplish this by storing the relation twi
e and dupli
ating all values, but for a entralized system, this is not efficient.

 14.3 Construct a $B + t$ et for the following set of key values.

¹⁰⁰ Chapter ¹⁴ Indexing

```
(2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31)
```
Assume that the tree is initially empty and values are added in as
ending order. Construct **D** -trees for the cases where the number of pointers that will ne in one node is as follows:

- a. Four
- b. Six
- . Eight

Answer:

The following were generated by inserting values into the B+ -tree in as
ending order. A node (other than the root) was never allowed to have fewer than $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ values/pointers.

a.

b.

c.

14.4 For each B -tree of Exercise 14.5, show the form of the tree after each of the following series of operations:

a. Insert 9.

- b. Insert 10.
- . Insert 8.
- d. Delete 23.
- e. Delete 19.

Answer:

With stru
ture [Exer
ise](#page-157-0) 14.3.a:

Insert 9:

Insert 10:

Insert 8:

¹⁰² Chapter ¹⁴ Indexing

Delete 19:

Insert 9:

Insert 10:

Insert 8:

Delete 23:

Delete 19:

Insert 9:

Insert 10:

Insert 8:

Delete 23:

Delete 19:

14.5 Consider the modified redistribution scheme for B -trees described on page 651. What is the expected height of the tree as a function of n ?

Answer:

If there are K search-key values and $m-1$ siblings are involved in the redistribution, the expected height of the tree is: $log_{[(m-1)n/m]}(K)$

14.0 Give pseudocode for a B+tree function importangement of (), which is like the function findRange(), except that it returns an iterator object, as described in Section 14.3.2. Also give pseudocode for the iterator class, including the variables in the iterator object, and the next() method.

¹⁰⁴ Chapter ¹⁴ Indexing

FILL IN

14.7 What would the occupancy of each leaf node of a B+tree be if index entries were inserted in sorted order? Explain why.

Answer:

If the index entries are inserted in ascending order, the new entries get directed to the last leaf node. When this leaf node gets filled, it is split into two. Of the two nodes generated by the split, the left node is left untou
hed and the insertions take place on the right node. This makes the occupancy of the leaf nodes about 50 per
ent ex
ept for the last leaf.

If keys that are inserted are sorted in des
ending order, the above situation would still occur, but symmetrically, with the right node of a split never getting tou
hed again, and o

upan
y would again be 50 per
ent for all nodes other than the first leaf.

- **14.8** Suppose you have a relation r with n_r tuples on which a secondary **D** -tree is to be constructed.
	- a. Give a formula for the cost of building the B -tree mues by inserting one record at a time. Assume each block will hold an average of f entries and that all levels of the tree above the leaf are in memory.
	- b. Assuming a random disk access takes 10 milliseconds, what is the cost b. Assuming a random disk a
	
	ess takes 10 millise
	onds, what is the ost of index construction on a relation with 10 million records?
	- c. Write pseudocode for bottom-up construction of a B+tree, which was outlined in Section 14.4.4. You can assume that a function to efficiently sort a large file is available.

Answer:

a. The cost to locate the page number of the required leaf page for an insertion is negligible since the non-leaf nodes are in memory. On the leaf level it takes one random disk access to read and one random disk acess to update it along with the ost to write one page. Insertions whi
h lead to splitting of leaf nodes require an additional page write. Hen
e to build a **D** -tree with n_r entries it takes a maximum of $2 * n_r$ random disk accesses and $n_r + 2 * (n_r/f)$ page writes. The second part of the cost comes from the fact that in the worst case each leaf is half filled, so the number of splits that occur is twice n_r/f .

The above formula ignores the cost of writing non-leaf nodes, since we assume they are in memory, but in reality they would also be written . This is in this case of the contract of \mathcal{E} , and \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E} , is the number of internal nodes just above the leaf; we can add further terms to account for higher levels of nodes, but these are much smaller than the number of leaves and can be ignored.

b. Substituting the values in the above formula and neglecting the cost for page writes, it takes about 10, 000, 000 < 20 millise
onds, or 56 hours, since each insertion costs 20 milliseconds.

```
\mathbf{c}.
fun
tion insert in leaf(value K, pointer P)
    if (tree is empty) create an empty leaf node L, which is also the root
    else Find the last leaf node in the leaf nodes 
hain L
    if (L has less than n - 1 key values)
          then insert (K, P) at the first available location in L
    else begin
          Create leaf node L1
          Set L.P_n = L1;
          Set K1 = last value from page L
         insert in parent(1, L, K1, L1)insert (K,P) at the first location in L1
    end
function insert in parent (level l, pointer P, value K, pointer P_1)
     if (level l is empty) then begin
           Create an empty non-leaf node N, which is also the root
          insert(P, K, P1) at the starting of the node N
          return
     else begin
          Find the right most node N at level lif (N has less than n pointers)
                then insert(K, P1) at the first available location in N
          else begin
                Create a new non-leaf page N1
               insert (P1) at the starting of the node N
                insert in parent(l + 1, pointer N, value K, pointer N1)
          end
```
end

The insert_in_leaf function is called for each of the value, pointer pairs in as
ending order. Similar fun
tion an also be built for des
ending order. The search for the last leaf or non-leaf node at any level can be avoided by storing the urrent last page details in an array.

The last node in each level might be less than half filled. To make this m and m in the requirements of a B -tree, we can redistribute the keys of the last two pages at each level. Since the last but one node is always full, redistribution makes sure that both of them are at least half always fully full materials with materials sure that both of the distribution makes μ filled.

¹⁰⁶ Chapter ¹⁴ Indexing

- 14.9 The leaf nodes of a B+ tree life organization may lose sequentiality after a sequen
e of inserts.
	- a. Explain why sequentiality may be lost.
	- b. To minimize the number of seeks in a sequential scan, many databases allocate leaf pages in extents of n blocks, for some reasonably large n . When the m st leaf of a B -tree is allocated, only one block of an n -block unit is used, and the remaining pages are free. If a page splits, and its *n*-block unit has a free page, that space is used for the new page. If the *n*-block unit is full, another *n*-block unit is allocated, and the first $n/2$ leaf pages are placed in one n -block unit and the remaining one in the second *n*-block unit. For simplicity, assume that there are no delete operations.
		- i. What is the worst-case occupancy of allocated space, assuming no delete operations, after the first n -block unit is full?
		- Is it possible that leaf nodes allocated to an n -node block unit are not $ii.$ onse
		utive, that is, is it possible that two leaf nodes are allo
		ated to one *n*-node block, but another leaf node in between the two is allocated to a different n -node block?
		- iii. Under the reasonable assumption that buffer space is sufficient to store an *n*-page block, how many seeks would be required for a leaf- α is a call of the B α -tree, in the worst case? Compare this number with the worst case if leaf pages are allocated a block at a time.
		- iv. The technique of redistributing values to siblings to improve space utilization is likely to be more efficient when used with the preceding allo
		ation s
		heme for leaf blo
		ks. Explain why.

- a. In a B -tree muex or me organization, lear nodes that are adjacent to each other in the tree may be located at different places on disk. When a file organization is newly created on a set of records, it is possible to allo
ate blo
ks that are mostly ontiguous on disk to leafs nodes that are contiguous in the tree. As insertions and deletions occur on the tree, sequentiality is increasingly lost, and sequential access has to wait for disk seeks in
reasingly often.
- σ . I. In the worst case, each *n*-block unit and each node of the B -tree is half filled. This gives the worst-case occupancy as 25 percent.
	- ii. No. While splitting the *n*-block unit, the first $n/2$ leaf pages are placed in one n -block unit and the remaining pages in the second n -block unit. That is, every *n*-block split maintains the order. Hence, the nodes in the n -block units are consecutive.

- \ln . In the regular \bf{D} -tree construction, the leaf pages might not be sequential and hen
e in the worstase, it takes one seek per leaf page. Using the block at a time method, for each n -node block, we will have at least $n/2$ leaf nodes in it. Each *n*-node block can be read using one seek. Hence the worst-case seeks come down by a factor of $n/2$.
- iv. Allowing redistribution among the nodes of the same blo
k does not require additional seeks, whereas in regular B⁺ -trees we require as many seeks as the number of leaf pages involved in the redistribution. This makes redistribution for leaf blocks efficient with this scheme. Also, the worst-case occupancy comes back to nearly 50 percent. (Splitting of leaf nodes is preferred when the participating leaf nodes are nearly full. Hen
e nearly 50 per
ent instead of exa
t 50 per
ent)
- 14.10 Suppose you are given a database s
hema and some queries that are exe
uted frequently. How would you use the above information to decide what indices to create?

Answer:

Indi
es on any attributes on whi
h there are sele
tion onditions; if there are only a few distinct values for that attribute, a bitmap index may be created, otherwise a hormal **B** -tree muex.

B⁺ -tree indi
es on primary-key and foreign-key attributes.

Also indices on attributes that are involved in join conditions in the queries.

14.11 In write-optimized trees su
h as the LSM tree or the stepped-merge index, entries in one level are merged into the next level only when the level is full. Suggest how this policy can be changed to improve read performance during periods when there are many reads but no updates.

If there have been no updates in a while, but there are a lot of index look ups on an index, then entries at one level, say *i*, can be merged into the next level, even if the level is not full. The benefit is that reads would then not have to look up indices at level *i*, reducing the cost of reads.

14.12 What trade offs do buffer trees pose as compared to LSM trees?

Answer:

The idea of buffer trees can be used with any tree-structured index to reduce the cost of inserts and updates, including spatial indices. In contrast, LSM trees can only be used with linearly ordered data that are amenable to merging. On the other hand, buffer trees require more random I/O to perform insert operations as ompared to (all variants of) LSM trees.

Write-optimized indices can significantly reduce the cost of inserts, and to a resser extent, or updates, as compared to B -trees. On the other hand, the

¹⁰⁸ Chapter ¹⁴ Indexing

index lookup cost can be significantly higher for write-optimized indices as compared to **B** trees.

- 14.13 Consider the *instructor* relation shown in Figure 14.1.
	- a. Construct a bitmap index on the attribute salary, dividing salary values into four ranges: below 50,000, 50,000 to below 60,000, 60,000 to below 70,000, and 70,000 and above.
	- b. Consider a query that requests all instructors in the Finance department with salary of 80,000 or more. Outline the steps in answering the query, and show the final and intermediate bitmaps constructed to answer the query.

Answer:

We reproduce the instructor relation below.

a. Bitmap for salary, with S_1 , S_2 , S_3 and S_4 representing the given intervals in the same order

b. The question is a bit trivial if there is no bitmap on the $dept_name$ attribute. The bitmap for the *dept_name* attribute is:

To find all instructors in the Finance department with salary of 80000 or more, we first find the intersection of the Finance department bitmap and S_4 bitmap of salary and then scan on these records for salary of 80000 or more.

Intersection of Finance department bitmap and S_4 bitmap of salary.

Scan on these records with salary 80000 or more gives Wu and Singh as the instru
tors who satisfy the given query.

14.14 Suppose you have a relation containing the x, y coordinates and names of restaurants. Suppose also that the only queries that will be asked are of the following form: The query specifies a point and asks if there is a restaurant exactly at that point. Which type of index would be preferable, R-tree or B-tree? Why?

Answer:

FILL IN

14.15 Suppose you have a spatial database that supports region queries with circular regions, but not nearest-neighbor queries. Describe an algorithm to find the nearest neighbor by making use of multiple region queries.

Answer:

Start with regions with very small radius, and retry with a larger radius if a particular region does not contain any result. For example, each time the radius could be increased by a factor of (say) 1.5. The benefit is that since we do not use a very large radius ompared to the minimum radius required, there will (hopefully!) not be too many points in the circular range query result.

Query Processing

Practice Exercises

15.1 Assume (for simplicity in this exercise) that only one tuple fits in a block and memory holds at most three blocks. Show the runs created on each pass of the sort-merge algorithm when applied to sort the following tuples on the first attribute: (kangaroo, 17), (wallaby, 21), (emu, 1), (wombat, 13), (platypus, 3), (lion, 8), (warthog, 4), (zebra, 11), (meerkat, 6), (hyena, 9), (hornbill, 2), (baboon, 12).

Answer:

We will refer to the tuples (kangaroo, 17) through (baboon, 12) using tuple numbers t_1 unough t_{12} , we refer to the j -run used by the t -pass, as r_{ij} . The initial sorted runs have three blocks each. They are:

$$
r_{11} = \{t_3, t_1, t_2\}
$$

\n
$$
r_{12} = \{t_6, t_5, t_4\}
$$

\n
$$
r_{13} = \{t_9, t_7, t_8\}
$$

\n
$$
r_{14} = \{t_{12}, t_{11}, t_{10}\}
$$

Each pass merges three runs. Therefore the runs after the end of the first pass are:

$$
r_{21} = \{t_3, t_1, t_6, t_9, t_5, t_2, t_7, t_4, t_8\}
$$

$$
r_{22} = \{t_{12}, t_{11}, t_{10}\}
$$

At the end of the se
ond pass, the tuples are ompletely sorted into one run:

$$
r_{31} = \{t_{12}, t_3, t_{11}, t_{10}, t_1, t_6, t_9, t_5, t_2, t_7, t_4, t_8\}
$$

15.2 Consider the bank database of Figure 15.14, where the primary keys are underlined, and the following SQL query:

¹¹² Chapter ¹⁵ Query Pro
essing

select T.branch_name from branch T, branch S where $T \, \text{asserts} > S \, \text{asserts}$ and $S \, \text{branch_city} = \text{``Brooklyn''}$

Write an efficient relational-algebra expression that is equivalent to this query. Justify your hoi
e.

Answer:

Query:

T.bran
h name((bran
h name, assets(^T (bran
h))) ÆT.assets > S.assets $(11_{assets}$ (0 _{(branch_city} = 'Brooklyn')(PS(*UTUTICH*))))

This expression performs the theta join on the smallest amount of data possible. It does this by restricting the right-hand side operand of the join to only those bran
hes in Brooklyn and also eliminating the unneeded attributes from both the operands.

- 15.3 let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal 20,000 tuples, r<u>/</u> time as, and repres, 25 tuples of r_i and 300 tuples, 25 tuples of \mathbf{r} to blow one blow one blow one blow one blow one blow one blow of blow one blo required using earning the following joint strategies for \mathbf{r}_1 K \mathbf{v}_2 ,
	- a. Nested-loop join.
	- b. Block nested-loop join.
	- . Merge join.
	- d. Hash join.

Answer:

r $_1$ needs 800 blocks, and r $_2$ needs 1500 blocks. Let us assume me $_{\rm F}$ ages of memory. If $M > 800$, the join can easily be done in $1500 + 800$ disk accesses,

branch(branch_name, branch_city, assets) customer (customer_name, customer_street, customer_city) loan (loan_number, branch_name, amount) borrower (customer_name, loan_number) account (account_number, branch_name, balance) depositor (customer_name, account_number)

Figure 15.14 Bank database.

using even plain nested-loop join. So we consider only the case where $M \leq 800$ pages.

a. Nested-loop join:

Using relation, we need 2000 to 2000 the outer relation, we need 2000 \sim 800 \pm 30, 000, 800 disk a

esses. If r ² is the outer relation, we need ⁴⁵⁰⁰⁰ < $800 + 1500 = 36,001,500$ disk accesses.

b. Blo
k nested-loop join:

If r_1 is the outer relation, we need $\frac{1}{M}$ $M-1$ r_2 is the outer relation, we need $\frac{1}{M-1}$ $M-1$ ¹

. Merge join:

Assuming that r ¹ and ^r ² are not initially sorted on the join key, the total sorting cost inclusive of the output is $B_s = 1500(2 \log_{M-1}(1500/M)) +$ 2) + $800(2 \lceil log_{M-1}(800/M) \rceil + 2)$ disk accesses. Assuming all tuples with the same value for the join attributes fit in memory, the total cost is $B_s + 1500 + 800$ disk accesses.

d. Hash join:

we assume no over the building of the building $\frac{1}{2}$ is smaller, we use it as the building \mathcal{L} as the probe relation. If \mathcal{L} is a solution. If \mathcal{L} is a solution. recursive partitioning, then the cost is $3(1500 + 800) = 6900$ disk accesses, else the cost is $2(1500 + 800)$ $\left[\log_{M-1} (800) - 1 \right] + 1500 + 800$ disk accesses.

15.4 The indexed nested-loop join algorithm des
ribed in Se
tion 15.5.3 an be ine fricient if the index is a secondary index and there are multiple tuples with the same value for the join attributes. Why is it inefficient? Describe a way, using sorting, to reduce the cost of retrieving tuples of the inner relation. Under what conditions would this algorithm be more efficient than hybrid merge join?

Answer:

If there are multiple tuples in the inner relation with the same value for the join attributes, we may have to access that many blocks of the inner relation for each tuple of the outer relation. That is why it is inefficient. To reduce this cost we can perform a join of the outer relation tuples with just the secondary index leaf entries, postponing the inner relation tuple retrieval. The result file obtained is then sorted on the inner relation addresses, allowing an efficient physi
al order s
an to omplete the join.

Hybrid merge – join requires the outer relation to be sorted. The above algorithm does not have this requirement, but for ea
h tuple in the outer relation it needs to perform an index lookup on the inner relation. If the outer relation is mu
h larger than the inner relation, this index lookup ost will be less than the sorting cost, thus this algorithm will be more efficient.

¹¹⁴ Chapter ¹⁵ Query Pro
essing

15.5 Let r and s be relations with no indi
es, and assume that the relations are not sorted. Assuming infinite memory, what is the lowest-cost way (in terms of I/O operations) to compute $r \bowtie s$? What is the amount of memory required for this algorithm?

Answer:

We can store the entire smaller relation in memory, read the larger relation blo
k by blo
k, and perform nested-loop join using the larger one as the outer relations in the number of \mathcal{L}_1 of \mathcal{L}_2 operations is equal to by \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 , and the memory $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{q}$ and the contract is minimizing (eqs.). $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}$

- 15.6 Consider the bank database of Figure 15.14, where the primary keys are un- α and α suppose that a β -tree index on *branch*-cay is available on relation branch, and that no other index is available. List different ways to handle the following sele
tions that involve negation:
	- a. (bran
	h ity<Brooklyn)(bran
	h)
	- \blacksquare . \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare
	- . (bran
	h ity<Brooklyn ^â assets<5000)(bran
	h)

Answer:

- Use the index to locate the first tuple whose *branch city* field has value a_z "Brooklyn". From this tuple, follow the pointer chains till the end, retrieving all the tuples.
- b. For this query, the index serves no purpose. We can scan the file sequentially and select all tuples whose *branch city* field is anything other than "Brooklyn".
- . This query is equivalent to the query

```
\sigma_{(branch\_ity\geq B{\rm rooklyn'}\wedge assets<5000)}(branch)
```
Using the *branch-city* index, we can retrieve all tuples with *branch-city* value greater than or equal to "Brooklyn" by following the pointer chains from the first "Brooklyn" tuple. We also apply the additional criteria of *on every tuple.*

15.7 Write pseudo
ode for an iterator that implements indexed nested-loop join, where the outer relation is pipelined. Your pseudocode must define the standard iterator functions *open*(), *next*(), and *close*(). Show what state information the iterator must maintain between alls.

Answer:

Let *outer* be the iterator which returns successive tuples from the pipelined outer relation. Let *inner* be the iterator which returns successive tuples of the inner relation having a given value at the join attributes. The inner iterator returns these tuples by performing an index lookup. The fun
tions IndexedNLJoin::open, IndexedNLJoin::
lose and IndexedNLJoin::next to implement the indexed nested-loop join iterator are given below. The two iterators r and inner and in the value of the last read outer relation tuple to part of the age and a strong indicating whether the end of the outer relation scan has been reached are the state information whi
h need to be remembered by IndexedNLJoin between calls. Please see ??

 15.8 Design sort-based and hash-based algorithms for computing the relational division operation (see Practice Exercise 2.9 for a definition of the division operation).

Answer:

Suppose $r(T \cup S)$ and $s(S)$ are two relations and $r \div s$ has to be computed.

For a sorting-based algorithm, sort relation s on S. Sort relation r on (T, S) . Now, start scanning r and look at the T attribute values of the first tuple. Scan r till tuples have same value of T . Also scan s simultaneously and check whether every tuple of s also occurs as the S attribute of r , in a fashion similar to merge join. If this is the case, output that value of T and proceed with the next value of T. Relation s may have to be scanned multiple times, but r will only be scanned once. Total disk accesses, after sorting both the relations, will be $|r| + N * |s|$, where N is the number of distinct values of T in r.

We assume that for any value of T, all tuples in r with that T value fit in memory, and we consider the general case at the end. Partition the relation r on attributes in T such that each partition fits in memory (always possible be
ause of our assumption). Consider partitions one at a time. Build a hash table on the tuples, at the same time collecting all distinct T values in a separate hash table. For each value of T, Now, for each value V_T of T, each value s of S, probe the hash table on (V_T, s) . If any of the values is absent, discard the value V_T , else output the value V_T .

In the case that not all r tuples with one value for T fit in memory, partition r and s on the S attributes such that the condition is satisfied, and run σ and such that are considered pair of partitions represented for any σ intersection of the T values generated in each partition.

15.9 What is the effect on the cost of merging runs if the number of buffer blocks per run is increased while overall memory available for buffering runs remains fixed?

Answer:

Seek overhead is reduced, but the the number of runs that can be merged in a parameters in the more passes. A value of b overall ost should be hosen.

¹¹⁶ Chapter ¹⁵ Query Pro
essing

```
IndexedNLJoin::open()
begin
     outer.open();
     inner.open();
     :::::r;
     if(outer.next() \neq false)move tuple from outer's output buffer to t_{\rm r};
     else
          :::::r;
end
              IndexedNLJoin::
lose()
              begin
                   outer.close();
                   inner.close();
              end
```

```
boolean IndexedNLJoin::next()
begin
      while(\neg done_r)
      begin
             \mathcal{I} . The contract \{f|f: \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I} \mid f \in \mathcal{I}\}begin
                    move tuple from inner's output buffer to t_{\rm s};

ompute t
r Æ t
s and pla
e it in output buer;
                   return true;
             end
             else
                   if(outer.next() \neq false)begin
                          move tuple from outer's output buffer to t<sub>r</sub>;
                         rewind inner to first tuple of s;
                   end
                   else
                          :::::r;
      end
      return false;
end
```
Figure 15.101 Answer for Exercise 15.7.

- 15.10 Consider the following extended relational-algebra operators. Des
ribe how to implement ea
h operation using sorting and using hashing.
	- a. Semijoin (κ_{θ}) : The multiset semijoin operator $r \kappa_{\theta} s$ is defined as follows: if a tuple r ⁱ appears ⁿ times in r, it appears ⁿ times in the result of ^r if there is at least one tuple s) called that $\frac{1}{2}$ such s) called predictions to i does not appear in the result. In the result is a set of the result of the result in the resul
	- **b.** Anti-semijoin (\overline{K}_{θ}) : The multiset anti-semijoin operator $r\overline{K}_{\theta}s$ is defined is follows: if a tuple result rate result in results in results in the results in results in the resu is a function of the such any tuple such states and such any tuple such any η and η and σ predi
	ate ; otherwise r ⁱ does not appear in the result.

Answer:

FILL IN: CHe
k for dupli
ate preservation

As in the case of join algorithms, semijoin and anti-semijoin can be done efficiently if the join conditions are equijoin conditions. We describe below how to efficiently handle the case of equijoin conditions using sorting and hashing. With arbitrary join conditions, sorting and hashing cannot be used; (block) nested loops join needs to be used instead.

- a. Semijoin:
	- **Semijoin using sorting:** Sort both r and s on the join attributes in θ . Perform a scan of both r and s similar to the merge algorithm and add tuples of r to the result whenever the join attributes of the urrent tuples of r and s mat
	h.
	- Semijoin using hashing: Create a hash index in s on the join attributes in θ . Iterate over r, and for each distinct value of the join attributes, perform a hash lookup in s. If the hash lookup returns a value, add the current tuple of r to the result.

Note that if r and s are large, they can be partitioned on the join attributes first and the above procedure applied on each partition. If r is small but s is large, a hash index can be built on r and probed using s ; and if an s tuple matches an r tuple, the r tuple can be output and deleted from the hash index

b. Anti-semijoin:

- \bullet Anti-semijoin using sorting: Sort both r and s on the join attributes in θ . Perform a scan of both r and s similar to the merge algorithm and add tuples of r to the result if no tuple of s satisfies the join predi
ate for the orresponding tuple of r.
- Anti-semijoin using hashing: Create a hash index in s on the join attributes in θ . Iterate over r, and for each distinct value of the join attributes, perform a hash lookup in s. If the hash lookup returns a null value, add the current tuple of r to the result.

¹¹⁸ Chapter ¹⁵ Query Pro
essing

As for semijoin, partitioning can be used if r and s are large. An index on r can be used instead of an index on s , but then when an s tuple mat
hes an r tuple, the r tuple is deleted from the index. After processing all *s* tuples, all remaining *r* tuples in the index are output as the result of the anti-semijoin operation.

 15.11 Suppose a query retrieves only the first K results of an operation and terminates after that. Whi
h hoi
e of demand-driven or produ
er-driven pipelining (with buffering) would be a good choice for such a query? Explain your answer.

Answer:

Demand driven is better, since it will only generate the top K results. Producer driven may generate a lot more answers, many of whi
h would not get used.

- 15.12 Current generation CPUs include an *instruction cache*, which caches recently used instructions. A function call then has a significant overhead because the set of instructions being executed changes, resulting in cache misses on the instruction cache.
	- a. Explain why producer driven pipelining with buffering is likely to result in a better instruction cache hit rate, as compared to demand-driven pipelining.
	- b. Explain why modifying demand-driven pipelining by generating multiple results on one call to $next()$, and returning them together, can improve the instru
	tion a
	he hit rate.

Answer:

Producer-driven pipelining executes the same set of instructions to generate multiple tuples by onsuming already generated tuples from the inputs. Thus instru
tion a
he hits will be more. In omparison, demand-driven pipelining switches from the instructions of one function to another for each tuple, resulting in more misses.

By generating multiple results at one go, a $next()$ function would receive multiple tuples in its inputs and have a loop that generates multiple tuples for its output without switching execution to another function. Thus, the instruction cache hit rate can be expected to improve.

15.13 Suppose you want to find documents that contain at least k of a given set of n keywords. Suppose also you have a keyword index that gives you a (sorted) list of identifiers of documents that contain a specified keyword. Give an efficient algorithm to find the desired set of documents.

Answer:

Let S be a set of *n* keywords. An algorithm to find all documents that contain at least k of these keywords is given in ??

```
initialize the list L to the empty list;
for (each keyword c in S) do
begin
  D := the list of documents identifiers corresponding to c;
   for (ea
h do
ument identier d in D) do
    if (a record R with document identifier as d is on list L) then
          R. reference\_count := R. reference\_count + 1;else begin
          make a new record R;
          R.document_id := d;R. reference\_count := 1;add R to L;
    end;
end:
-for (each record R in L) do
  if (R.\text{reference\_count} >= k) then
    output R;
```
Figure 15.102 Answer for [Exer
ise](#page-85-0) 15.13.

This algorithm calculates a reference count for each document identifier. A reference count of *i* for a document identifier *d* means that at least *i* of the keywords in S occur in the document identified by d . The algorithm maintains a list of records, each having two fields - a document identifier, and the reference count for this identifier. This list is maintained sorted on the document identifier field.

Note that execution of the second *for* statement causes the list D to "merge" with the list L. Since the lists L and D are sorted, the time taken for this merge is proportional to the sum of the lengths of the two lists. Thus the algorithm runs in time (at most) proportional to n times the sum total of the number of document identifiers corresponding to each keyword in S.

15.14 Suggest how a document containing a word (such as "leopard") can be indexed such that it is efficiently retrieved by queries using a more general concept (such as "carnivore" or "mammal"). You can assume that the concept hierarchy is not very deep, so each concept has only a few generalizations (a on
ept an, however, have a large number of spe
ializations). You an also assume that you are provided with a function that returns the concept for each word in a document. Also suggest how a query using a specialized concept can retrieve documents using a more general concept.

Answer:

Add doc to index lists for more general concepts also.

¹²⁰ Chapter ¹⁵ Query Pro
essing

15.15 Explain why the nested-loops join algorithm (see Section 15.5.1) would work poorly on a database stored in a olumn-oriented manner. Des
ribe an alternative algorithm that would work better, and explain why your solution is better.

Answer:

If the nested-loops join algorithm is used as is, it would require tuples for each of the relations to be assembled before they are joined. Assembling tuples an be expensive in a olumn store, sin
e ea
h attribute may ome from a separate area of the disk; the overhead of assembly would be particularly wasteful if many tuples do not satisfy the join condition and would be discarded. In such a situation it would be better to first find which tuples match by accessing only the join olumns of the relations. Sort-merge join, hash join, or indexed nested loops join can be used for this task. After the join is performed, only tuples that get output by the join need to be assembled; assembly an be done by sorting the join result on the record identifier of one of the relations and accessing the corresponding attributes, then resorting on record identifiers of the other relation to access its attributes.

- 15.16 Consider the following queries. For each query, indicate if column-oriented storage is likely to be beneficial or not, and explain why.
	- a. Fetch ID, name and dept_name of the student with ID 12345.
	- b. Group the *takes* relation by *year* and *course_id*, and find the total number of students for each (year, course_id) combination.

Answer:

FILL IN AND recheck question

CHAPTER **THE**

Query Optimization

- 16.1 Download the university database s
hema and the large university dataset from [dbbook.
om.](http://dbbook.com) Create the university s
hema on your favorite database, and load the large university dataset. Use the explain feature des
ribed in Note 16.1 on page 746 to view the plan chosen by the database, in different cases as detailed below.
	- a. Write a query with an equality condition on *student.name* (which does not have an index), and view the plan hosen.
	- b. Create an index on the attribute *student name*, and view the plan chosen for the above query.
	- . Create simple queries joining two relations, or three relations, and view the plans hosen.
	- d. Create a query that omputes an aggregate with grouping, and view the plan hosen.
	- e. Create an SQL query whose hosen plan uses a semijoin operation.
	- f. Create an SQL query that uses a not in lause, with a subquery using aggregation. Observe what plan is hosen.
	- g. Create a query for whi
	h the hosen plan uses orrelated evaluation (the way orrelated evaluation is represented varies by database, but most databases would show a filter or a project operator with a subplan or subquery).
	- h. Create an SQL update query that updates a single row in a relation. View the plan hosen for the update query.

¹²² Chapter ¹⁶ Query Optimization

i. Create an SQL update query that updates a large number of rows in a relation, using a subquery to compute the new value. View the plan chosen for the update query.

Answer:

The answer depends on the database.

FILL IN Suggested queries for each exercise as verified on some database

- 16.2 Show that the following equivalences hold. Explain how you can apply them to improve the efficiency of certain queries:
	- a. $E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} (E_2 E_3) \equiv (E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} E_2 E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} E_3).$
	- \mathbf{F} (Fig.), and \mathbf{F} (Fig.), where \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} at \mathbf{F}
	- c. $\sigma_{\theta}(E_1 \boxtimes E_2) \equiv \sigma_{\theta}(E_1) \boxtimes E_2$, where θ uses only attributes from E_1 .

a. $E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} (E_2 - E_3) = (E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} E_2 - E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} E_3).$

Let us rename $(E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} (E_2-E_3))$ as R_1 , $(E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} E_2)$ as R_2 and $(E_1 \bowtie_{\theta} E_3)$ as R_3 . It is clear that if a tuple t belongs to R_1 , it will also belong to R_2 . If a tuple t belongs to R_3 , $t[E_3]$'s attributes will belong to E_3 , hence t cannot belong to R_1 . From these two we can say that

$$
\forall t, \ t \in R_1 \ \Rightarrow \ t \in (R_2 - R_3)
$$

It is clear that if a tuple t belongs to $R_2 - R_3$, then $t[R_2]$'s attributes $] \in E_2$ and $t[R_2]$'s attributes] $\notin E_3$. Therefore:

$$
\forall t, \ t \in (R_2 - R_3) \ \Rightarrow \ t \in R_1
$$

The above two equations imply the given equivalence.

This equivalence is helpful because evaluation of the right-hand side join will produce many tuples which will finally be removed from the result. The left-hand side expression can be evaluated more efficiently.

b. (A F (E)) ⁼ A F ((E)), where uses only attributes from A.

 θ uses only attributes from A. Therefore if any tuple t in the output of $A \cup I$ is defined out by the selection of the selection of the tuples of the tuples of tuples Γ in E whose value in A is equal to $t[A]$ are filtered out by the selection of the right-hand side. Therefore:

Åt, t ^Ì (A F (E)) ^Ù ^t ^Ì A F ((E))

Using similar reasoning, we can also conclude that

$$
\forall t, \ t \notin \mathcal{A}\gamma_F(\sigma_\theta(E)) \Rightarrow t \notin \sigma_\theta(\mathcal{A}\gamma_F(E))
$$

The above two equations imply the given equivalence.

This equivalence is helpful because evaluation of the right-hand side avoids performing the aggregation on groups whi
h are going to be removed from the result. Thus the right-hand side expression can be evaluated more efficiently than the left-hand side expression.

c. $\sigma_{\theta}(E_1 \boxtimes E_2) = \sigma_{\theta}(E_1) \boxtimes E_2$ where θ uses only attributes from E_1 .

 θ uses only attributes from E_1 . Therefore if any tuple t in the output of $(E_1 \boxtimes E_2)$ is filtered out by the selection of the left-hand side, all the tuples in E_1 whose value is equal to $t[E_1]$ are filtered out by the selection of the right-hand side. Therefore:

 $\forall t, t \notin \sigma_{\theta}(E_1 \boxtimes E_2) \Rightarrow t \notin \sigma_{\theta}(E_1) \boxtimes E_2$

Using similar reasoning, we can also conclude that

$$
\forall t, \ t \notin \sigma_{\theta}(E_1) \mathbb{W} E_2 \ \Rightarrow \ t \notin \sigma_{\theta}(E_1 \mathbb{W} E_2)
$$

The above two equations imply the given equivalence.

This equivalence is helpful because evaluation of the right-hand side avoids produ
ing many output tuples whi
h are going to be removed from the result. Thus the right-hand side expression can be evaluated more efficiently than the left-hand side expression.

- 16.3 For each of the following pairs of expressions, give instances of relations that show the expressions are not equivalent.
	- a. $\Pi_A(r s)$ and $\Pi_A(r) \Pi_A(s)$.
	- $D \leq 4 \leq A + max(D)$ as $D \leq 7$ and $A + max(D)$ as $D \leq 4 \leq 7$.
	- c. In the preceding expressions, if both occurrences of *max* were replaced by *min*, would the expressions be equivalent?
	- d. $(r \Join s) \Join t$ and $r \Join (s \Join t)$ In other words, the natural right outer join is not associative.
	- e. $\sigma_{\theta}(E_1 \boxtimes E_2)$ and $E_1 \boxtimes \sigma_{\theta}(E_2)$, where θ uses only attributes from E_2 .

Answer:

- a. $R = \{(1, 2)\}, S = \{(1, 3)\}\$ The result of the left-hand side expression is $\{(1)\}\$, whereas the result of the right-hand side expression is empty.
- b. $R = \{(1, 2), (1, 5)\}\$ The left-hand side expression has an empty result, whereas the right hand side one has the result $\{(1, 2)\}.$

¹²⁴ Chapter ¹⁶ Query Optimization

- c. Yes, on replacing the *max* by the *min*, the expressions will become equivalent. Any tuple that the selection in the rhs eliminates would not pass the sele
tion on the lhs if it were the minimum value and would be eliminated anyway if it were not the minimum value.
- d. $R = \{(1, 2)\}, S = \{(2, 3)\}, T = \{(1, 4)\}.$ The left-hand expression gives $\{(1, 2, null, 4)\}$ whereas the the right-hand expression gives $\{(1, 2, 3, null)\}.$
- e. Let R be of the schema (A, B) and S of (A, C) . Let $R = \{(1, 2)\}, S =$ $\{(2, 3)\}\$ and let θ be the expression $C = 1$. The left side expression's result is empty, whereas the right side expression results in $\{(1, 2, null)\}.$
- 16.4 SQL allows relations with dupli
ates [\(Chapter](#page-10-0) 3), and the multiset version of the relational algebra is defined in [Note](#page-115-0) 3.1 on page [80,](#page-115-0) Note 3.2 on page 97, and Note 3.3 on page 108. Che
k whi
h of the equivalen
e rules [1](#page-182-0) through [7.b](#page-184-0) hold for the multiset version of the relational algebra.

Answer:

All the equivalence rules [1](#page-182-0) through [7.b](#page-184-0) of section Section 16.2.1 hold for the multiset version of the relational algebra defined in [Chapter](#page-4-0) 2.

There exist equivalence rules that hold for the ordinary relational algebra but do not hold for the multiset version. For example onsider the rule :-

$$
A \cap B = A \cup B - (A - B) - (B - A)
$$

This is learly valid in plain relational algebra. Consider a multiset instan
e in which a tuple t occurs 4 times in A and 3 times in B. t will occur 3 times in the output of the left-hand side expression, but 6 times in the output of the right-hand side expression. The reason for this rule to not hold in the multiset version is the asymmetry in the semantics of multiset union and intersection.

16.5 Consider the relations relations $\frac{1}{2}$ ($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{$ \mathbf{F} , and \mathbf{F} are that respectively. Assume that respectively. The respectively, respe and 3 mas 750 tuples. Estimates the size of 1 K r 2 K r 3, and give an extension strategy for omputing the join.

Answer:

The relation relation resulting from the same normalism relationships Γ relationships Γ matter which way we join them, due to the associative and commutative properties of joins. So we will onsider the size based on the strategy of $\{(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3), \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5, \mathbf{v}_6, \mathbf{v}_7, \mathbf{v}_8, \mathbf{v}_9, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5, \mathbf{v}_6, \mathbf{v}_7, \mathbf{v}_8, \mathbf{v}_9, \math$ \mathbf{r} is a key for result with result a relation of at most 1000 tuples become $\epsilon = \epsilon$ and ϵ and ϵ relations, the final relation will have at most 1000 tuples.

- An efficient strategy for computing this join would be to create an index on attribute \mathcal{L} and on E for \mathcal{L} do the following:
	- a. Use the index for results which is the index for results which is a set of \mathbf{r} C value of r 1.
	- \cdots . Use the atomic dimension \cdots in the starting \cdots \cdots and \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots matrix the unique value for \equiv in χ .
- 16.6 Consider the relations relations relations relations relations relations relations relations relations re cise 16.5. Assume that there are no primary keys, except the entire schema. Let V (C, r 1) be 900, ^V (C, ^r 2) be 1100, ^V (E, ^r 2) be 50, and ^V (E, ^r 3) be 100. 1 has 1 for $7/2$ for r 3 for r τ and τ is the size of τ respective and τ is the strategy for example σ the join.

Answer:

The estimated size of the relation an be determined by al
ulating the average number of tuples which would be joined with each tuple of the second relation. In this ase, for ea
h tuple in r 1, 1500/V (C, ^r 2) ⁼ 15/11 tuples (on the average) of relation in the intermediate relation with it. The intermediate relation with \mathcal{L} to the intermediate relation \mathcal{L} \mathcal{S} is joint relation is in the result of approximately result of approximately \mathcal{S} (15000/11 750/100 = 10227). A good strategy should join r ¹ and ^r ² rst, since the intermediate relation is about the same size as relations as relations as relations and the same size of α joined to this result.

- **10.** Suppose that a **B** -tree index on *building* is available on relation *department* and that no other index is available. What would be the best way to handle the following sele
tions that involve negation?
	- a. $\sigma_{\neg (building < "Watson")}(department)$
	- b. $\sigma_{-(building = "Watson")}(department)$
	- **C.** $\sigma_{\neg (building < "Watson" \lor budget < 50000)}(department)$

Answer:

- a. Use the index to locate the first tuple whose *building* field has value "Watson". From this tuple, follow the pointer chains till the end, retrieving all the tuples.
- b. For this query, the index serves no purpose. We can scan the file sequentially and select all tuples whose building field is anything other than "Watson".
- . This query is equivalent to the query:

 $\sigma_{building \geq 'Watson' \land budget < 5000)}(department).$

¹²⁶ Chapter ¹⁶ Query Optimization

Using the *building* index, we can retrieve all tuples with *building* value greater than or equal to "Watson" by following the pointer chains from the first "Watson" tuple. We also apply the additional criteria of *budget* \lt 5000 on every tuple.

16.8 Consider the query:

select * from r, s where upper $(r.A)$ = upper $(s.A)$;

where "upper" is a function that returns its input argument with all lowercase letters replaced by the corresponding uppercase letters.

- a. Find out what plan is generated for this query on the database system vou use. you use the contract of the co
- b. Some database systems would use a (blo
k) nested-loop join for this query, which can be very inefficient. Briefly explain how hash-join or merge-join an be used for this query.

Answer:

a. First create relations r and s , and add some tuples to the two relations, before finding the plan chosen; or use existing relations in place of r and s. Compare the chosen plan with the plan chosen for a query directly equating $r.A = s.B$. Check the estimated statistics, too. Some databases may give the same plan, but with vastly different statistics.

(On PostgreSQL, we found that the optimizer used the merge join plan des
ribed in the answer to the next part of this question.)

- b. To use hash join, hashing should be done after applying the upper() function to r.A and s.A. Similarly, for merge join, the relations should be sorted on the result of applying the upper() function on r.A and s.A. The hash or merge join algorithms can then be used unchanged.
- 16.9 Give conditions under which the following expressions are equivalent:

A,B agg(C)(E1 ^Æ E2) and (A agg(C)(E1)) ^Æ E2

where agg denotes any aggregation operation. How can the above conditions be relaxed if *agg* is one of **min** or **max**?

Answer:

The above expressions are equivalent provided E_2 contains only attributes A and B, with A as the primary key (so there are no duplicates). It is OK if E_2 does not contain some A values that exist in the result of E_1 , since such values will get filtered out in either expression. However, if there are duplicate values in $E_2 \mathcal{A}$, the aggregate results in the two cases would be different.

If the aggregate function is min or max, duplicate A values do not have any effect. However, there should be no duplicates on (A, B) ; the first expression removes such duplicates, while the second does not.

16.10 16.10 Consider the issue of interesting orders in optimization. Suppose you are given a query that omputes the natural join of a set of relations S. Given a subset S1 of S, what are the interesting orders of S1?

Answer:

The interesting orders are all orders on subsets of attributes that can potentially participate in join conditions in further joins. Thus, let T be the set of all attributes of S1 that also occur in any relation in $S - S1$. Then every ordering of every subset of T is an interesting order.

16.11 Modify the FindBestPlan(S) function to create a function FindBestPlan(S, O), where \hat{O} is a desired sort order for S , and which considers interesting sort orders. A *null* order indicates that the order is not relevant. *Hints:* An algorithm A may give the desired order O; if not a sort operation may need to be added to get the desired order. If A is a merge-join, FindBestPlan must be invoked on the two inputs with the desired orders for the inputs.

Answer:

FILL IN

16.12 Show that, with *n* relations, there are $(2(n-1))!/(n-1)!$ different join orders. Hint: A complete binary tree is one where every internal node has exactly two children. Use the fact that the number of different complete binary trees with n leaf nodes is:

$$
\frac{1}{n} \binom{2(n-1)}{(n-1)}
$$

If you wish, you can derive the formula for the number of complete binary trees with *n* nodes from the formula for the number of binary trees with *n* nodes. The number of binary trees with n nodes is:

$$
\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}
$$

This number is known as the **Catalan number**, and its derivation can be found in any standard textbook on data stru
tures or algorithms.

Answer:

Ea
h join order is a omplete binary tree (every non-leaf node has exa
tly two binary trees with *n* leaf nodes is $\frac{1}{n} {2(n-1) \choose (n-1)}$. This is because there is a bijection $(2(n-1))$ \mathbf{r} \sim . This is between the is bijection of the internal problem in the internal problem in the internal problem in between the number of complete binary trees with n leaves and number of binary trees with $n-1$ nodes. Any complete binary tree with n leaves has $n-1$ internal nodes. Removing all the leaf nodes, we get a binary tree with $n - 1$

¹²⁸ Chapter ¹⁶ Query Optimization

nodes. Conversely, given any binary tree with $n-1$ nodes, it can be converted to a complete binary tree by adding n leaves in a unique way. The number of binary trees with $n-1$ nodes is given by $\frac{1}{2}(2(n-1))$ \cdots and the contract of , and as the catalog as the catalog number. Multiplying this by n for the number of permutations of the n leaves, we get the desired result.

10.13 Show that the lowest-cost join order can be computed in time $O(3^n)$. Assume that you an store and look up information about a set of relations (su
h as the optimal join order for the set, and the cost of that join order) in constant time. (If you find this exercise difficult, at least show the looser time bound of $U(\angle \square$).)

Answer:

Consider the dynamic programming algorithm given in Section 16.4. For each subset having $k + 1$ relations, the optimal join order can be computed in time 2^{k+1} . That is because for one particular pair of subsets A and \bm{D} , we need constant time, and there are at most $2^k - 2$ different subsets that A can denote.
Thus, over all the $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets of size $k + 1$, this cost is $\binom{n}{k} 2^{k+1}$. Summing subsets of size $k + 1$, this cost is $\binom{n}{k}$ 2^{k+1} . Summing over all k from 1 to $n-1$ gives the binomial expansion of $((1 + x)$ $- x)$ with $x = 2$. Thus the total cost is less than 3 .

16.14 Show that, if only left-deep join trees are considered, as in the System R opti- $\ln 2\varepsilon$ i, the time taken to not the most emergin join order is around n . Assume that there is only one interesting sort order.

Answer:

The derivation of time taken is similar to the general case, except that instead of considering $2^{k+1} - 2$ subsets of size less than or equal to k for A, we only need to consider $k + 1$ subsets of size exactly equal to k. That is because the right-hand operand of the topmost join has to be a single relation. Therefore the total cost for finding the best join order for all subsets of size $k + 1$ is $\left(n \right)$ \sim (k ⁺ 1), whi
h is equal to n $n-1$ \sim . Summing over all k from 1 to n * 1 using the binomial expansion of $(1 + x)^{n-1}$ with $x = 1$ gives a total cost of less $\ln \alpha \ln n \angle$.

- 16.15 Consider the bank database of Figure 16.9, where the primary keys are underlined. Construct the following SQL queries for this relational database.
	- Write a nested query on the relation *account* to find, for each branch a. with name starting with B, all accounts with the maximum balance at the bran
	h.
	- b. Rewrite the pre
	eding query without using a nested subquery; in other words, decorrelate the query, but in SQL.
	- . Give a relational algebra expression using semijoin equivalent to the query.

d. Give a procedure (similar to that described in Section 16.4.4) for decorrelating su
h queries.

Answer:

a. The nested query is as follows:

b. The de
orrelated query is as follows:

reate table t ¹ as

. FILL IN

d. In general, onsider the queries of the form:

branch(branch_name, branch_city, assets) customer (customer_name, customer_street, customer_city) loan (loan_number, branch_name, amount) borrower (customer_name, loan_number) account (account_number, branch_name, balance) depositor (customer_name, account_number)

¹³⁰ Chapter ¹⁶ Query Optimization

```
select …
from L_1where P_1 and
          \overrightarrow{A_1} op
           (select f(A_2)from L_2where \tilde{P}_2)
```
where f is some aggregate function on attributes A_2 and op is some boolean binary operator. It an be rewritten as

****** FILL IN **** GIVE Relational algebra version *****

```

reate table t
1 as
           select f(A_2), V
            \cdots \cdots \cdotswhere r_2group by V
select
           \ddotscwhere P_1 and P_2 and
```
where P_5 contains predicates in P_2 without selections involving correlation variables, and P_2^2 introduces the selections involving the correlation variables. V contains all the attributes that are used in the selections involving orrelation variables in the nested query.

1: **1:** 1: 2

Transactions

Practice Exercises

17.1 Suppose that there is a database system that never fails. Is a recovery manager required for this system?

Answer:

CHAPTER $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$

Even in this case the recovery manager is needed to perform rollback of aborted transa
tions for ases where the transa
tion itself fails.

- 17.2 Consider a file system such as the one on your favorite operating system.
	- What are the steps involved in the creation and deletion of files and in a. writing data to a file?
	- b. Explain how the issues of atomicity and durability are relevant to the creation and deletion of files and to writing data to files.

Answer:

There are several steps in the creation of a file. A storage area is assigned to the file in the file system. (In UNIX, a unique i-number is given to the file and an i-node entry is inserted into the i-list.) Deletion of file involves exactly opposite steps. step. The contract of the contract of

For the file system user, durability is important for obvious reasons, but atomicity is not relevant generally as the file system doesn't support transactions. To the file system implementor, though, many of the internal file system actions need to have transaction semantics. All steps involved in creation/deletion of the file must be atomic, otherwise there will be unreferenceable files or unusable areas in the file system.

17.3 Database-system implementers have paid mu
h more attention to the ACID properties than have file-system implementers. Why might this be the case?

Answer:

¹³² Chapter ¹⁷ Transa
tions

Database systems usually perform crucial tasks whose effects need to be atomic and durable, and whose outcome affects the real world in a permanent manner. Examples of such tasks are monetary transactions, seat bookings etc. Hence the ACID properties have to be ensured. In contrast, most users of file systems would not be willing to pay the pri
e (monetary, disk spa
e, time) of supporting ACID properties.

17.4 What class or classes of storage can be used to ensure durability? Why?

Answer:

Only stable storage ensures true durability. Even nonvolatile storage is sus
eptible to data loss, albeit less so than volatile storage. Stable storage is only an abstra
tion. It is approximated by redundant use of nonvolatile storage in whi
h data are not only replicated but distributed phyically to reduce to near zero the han
e of a single event asuing data loss.

17.5 Since every conflict-serializable schedule is view serializable, why do we emphasize conflict serializability rather than view serializability?

Answer:

Most of the concurrency control protocols (protocols for ensuring that only serializable schedules are generated) used in practice are based on conflict serializability—they actually permit only a subset of conflict serializable schedules. The general form of view serializability is very expensive to test, and only a very restricted form of it is used for concurrency control.

17.6 Consider the pre
eden
e graph of Figure 17.16. Is the orresponding s
hedule conflict serializable? Explain your answer.

Answer:

Figure 17.16 Precedence graph for Practice Exercise 17.6.

There is a serializable schedule corresponding to the precedence graph since the graph is acyclic. A possible schedule is obtained by doing a topological sort, that is, T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 , T_5 .

17.7 What is a cascadeless schedule? Why is cascadelessness of schedules desirable? Are there any circumstances under which it would be desirable to allow non
as
adeless s
hedules? Explain your answer.

Answer:

A cascadeless schedule is one where, for each pair of transactions T_i and T_i such that T_i reads data items previously written by T_i , the commit operation of T_i appears before the read operation of $T_i.$ Cascadeless schedules are desirable be
ause the failure of a transa
tion does not lead to the aborting of any other transa
tion. Of ourse this omes at the ost of less on
urren
y. If failures occur rarely, so that we can pay the price of cascading aborts for the increased on
urren
y, non
as
adeless s
hedules might be desirable.

- **17.8** The lost update anomaly is said to occur if a transaction T_i reads a data item, then another transaction T_k writes the data item (possibly based on a previous read), after which T_j writes the data item. The update performed by T_k has been lost, since the update done by T_i ignored the value written by T_k .
	- a. Give an example of a s
	hedule showing the lost update anomaly.
	- b. Give an example schedule to show that the lost update anomaly is possible with the read ommitted isolation level.
	- . Explain why the lost update anomaly is not possible with the repeatable read isolation level.

Answer:

A schedule showing the lost update anomaly: a.

In the above schedule, the value written by the transaction T_2 is lost because of the write of the transaction T_1 .

b. Lost update anomaly in readommitted isolation level:

¹³⁴ Chapter ¹⁷ Transa
tions

The locking in the above schedule ensures the read-committed isolation level. The value written by transaction T_2 is lost due to T_1 's write.

- . Lost update anomaly is not possible in repeatable read isolation level. In repeatable read isolation level, a transaction T_1 reading a data item X holds a shared lock on X till the end. This makes it impossible for a newer transaction T_2 to write the value of X (which requires X-lock) until T_1 finishes. This forces the serialization order T_1 , T_2 , and thus the value written by T_2 is not lost.
- 17.9 Consider a database for a bank where the database system uses snapshot isolation. Describe a particular scenario in which a nonserializable execution ocurs that would present a problem for the bank.

Answer:

Suppose that the bank enfor
es the integrity onstraint that the sum of the balances in the checking and the savings account of a customer must not be negative. Suppose the he
king and savings balan
es for a ustomer are \$100 and \$200 respe
tively.

Suppose that transaction T_1 withdraws \$200 from the checking account after verifying the integrity onstraint by reading both the balan
es. Suppose that concurrent transaction T_2 withdraws \$200 from the checking account after verifying the integrity onstraint by reading both the balan
es.

Since each of the transactions checks the integrity constraints on its own snapshot, if they run concurrently, each will believe that the sum of the balan
es after the withdrawal is \$100, and therefore its withdrawal does not violate the integrity constraint. Since the two transactions update different data items, they do not have any update conflict, and under snapshot isolation both

of them an ommit. This is a nonserializable exe
ution whi
h results into a serious problem.

17.10 Consider a database for an airline where the database system uses snapshot isolation. Des
ribe a parti
ular s
enario in whi
h a nonserializable exe
ution occurs, but the airline may be willing to accept it in order to gain better overall performan
e.

Answer:

Consider a web-based airline reservation system. There could be many conurrent requests to see the list of available ights and available seats in ea
h flight and to book tickets. Suppose there are two users \vec{A} and \vec{B} concurrently accessing this web application, and only one seat is left on a flight.

Suppose that both user A and user B execute transactions to book a seat on the flight and suppose that each transaction checks the total number of seats booked on the flight, and inserts a new booking record if there are enough seats left. Let T_3 and T_4 be their respective booking transactions, which run concurrently. Now T_3 and T_4 will see from their snapshots that one ticket is available and will insert new booking re
ords. Sin
e the two transa
tions do not update any ommon data item (tuple), snapshot isolation allows both transa
tions to ommit. This results in an extra booking, beyond the number of seats available on the flight.

However, this situation is usually not very serious since cancellations of ten resolve the conflict; even if the conflict is present at the time the flight is to leave, the airline can arrange a different flight for one of the passengers on the flight, giving incentives to accept the change. Using snapshot isolation improves the overall performan
e in this ase sin
e the booking transa
tions read the data from their snapshots only and do not block other concurrent transactions.

17.11 The definition of a schedule assumes that operations can be totally ordered by time. Consider a database system that runs on a system with multiple pro cessors, where it is not always possible to establish an exact ordering between operations that executed on different processors. However, operations on a data item an be totally ordered.

Does this situation cause any problem for the definition of conflict serialized ability? Explain your answer.

Answer:

The given situation will not cause any problem for the definition of conflict serializability since the ordering of operations on each data item is necessary for conflict serializability, whereas the ordering of operations on different data items is not important.

¹³⁶ Chapter ¹⁷ Transa
tions

For the above schedule to be conflict serializable, the only ordering requirement is $read(B)$ > write(*B*). read(*A*) and read(*B*) can be in any order.

Therefore, as long as the operations on a data item can be totally ordered, the definition of conflict serializability should hold on the given multiprocessor system.

Concurrency Control

18.1 Show that the two-phase locking protocol ensures conflict serializability and that transactions can be serialized according to their lock points.

Answer:

Suppose two-phase locking does not ensure serializability. Then there exists a set of transactions T_0 , $T_1 \dots T_{n-1}$ which obey 2PL and which produce a nonserializable schedule. A nonserializable schedule implies a cycle in the precedence graph, and we shall show that 2PL cannot produce such cycles. Without loss of generality, assume the following cycle exists in the precedence graph: $T_0 \rightarrow$ $T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T_{n-1} \rightarrow T_0$. Let α_i be the time at which T_i obtains its last lock (i.e. T_i 's lock point). Then for all transactions such that $T_i \to T_i$, $\alpha_i ~<~ \alpha_i$. Then for the cycle we have

$$
\alpha_0 \ < \ \alpha_1 \ < \ \alpha_2 \ < \ \ldots \ < \ \alpha_{n-1} \ < \ \alpha_0
$$

Since $\alpha_0 < \alpha_0$ is a contradiction, no such cycle can exist. Hence 2PL cannot produ
e nonserializable s
hedules. Be
ause of the property that for all transactions such that $T_i \to T_j$, $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$, the lock point ordering of the transactions is also a topological sort ordering of the precedence graph. Thus transactions can be serialized according to their lock points.

18.2 Consider the following two transactions:

138 Chapter 18 Concurrency Control

$$
T_{34}: read(A);
$$

\n
$$
read(B);
$$

\n
$$
if A = 0 then B := B + 1;
$$

\n
$$
write(B).
$$

\n
$$
T_{35}: read(B);
$$

\n
$$
read(A);
$$

\n
$$
if B = 0 then A := A + 1;
$$

\n
$$
write(A).
$$

Add lock and unlock instructions to transactions T_{31} and T_{32} so that they observe the two-phase lo
king proto
ol. Can the exe
ution of these transa
tions result in a deadlo
k?

Answer:

```
a. Lock and unlock instructions:
```

T_{34} :	lock-S(A)
read(A)	
lock-X(B)	
read(B)	
if $A = 0$	
then $B := B + 1$	
write(B)	
unlock(A)	
unlock(B)	
T_{35} :	lock-S(B)
read(B)	
lock-X(A)	
read(A)	
if $B = 0$	
then $A := A + 1$	
write(A)	
unlock(B)	
unlock(A)	

b. Execution of these transactions can result in deadlock. For example, consider the following partial s
hedule:

The transactions are now deadlocked.

18.3 What benefit does rigorous two-phase locking provide? How does it compare with other forms of two-phase locking?

Answer:

Rigorous two-phase lo
king has the advantages of stri
t 2PL. In addition it has the property that for two conflicting transactions, their commit order is their serializability order. In some systems users might expect this behavior.

18.4 Consider a database organized in the form of a rooted tree. Suppose that we insert a dummy vertex between each pair of vertices. Show that, if we follow the tree protocol on the new tree, we get better concurrency than if we follow the tree proto
ol on the original tree.

Answer:

Consider two nodes A and B, where A is a parent of B. Let dummy vertex D be added between A and B. Consider a case where transaction T_2 has a lock on B, and T_1 , which has a lock on A wishes to lock B, and T_3 wishes to lock A. With the original tree, T_1 cannot release the lock on A until it gets the lock on B. With the modified tree, T_1 can get a lock on D and release the lock on A, which allows T_3 to proceed while T_1 waits for T_2 . Thus, the protocol allows locks on vertices to be released earlier to other transactions, instead of holding them when waiting for a lo
k on a hild.

A generalization of the idea based on edge locks is described in Buckley and Silberschatz, "Concurrency Control in Graph Protocols by Using Edge Locks," Proc. ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD Symposium on the Principles of Database Systems, 1984 .

18.5 Show by example that there are schedules possible under the tree protocol that are not possible under the two-phase locking protocol, and vice versa.

Answer:

Consider the tree-structured database graph given below.

140 Chapter 18 Concurrency Control

Schedule possible under tree protocol but not under 2PL:

S
hedule possible under 2PL but not under tree proto
ol:

18.6 Locking is not done explicitly in persistent programming languages. Rather, objects (or the corresponding pages) must be locked when the objects are accessed. Most modern operating systems allow the user to set access protections (no access, read, write) on pages, and memory access that violate the access protections result in a protection violation (see the Unix mprotect command, for example). Describe how the access-protection mechanism can be used for page-level lo
king in a persistent programming language.

Answer:

The access protection mechanism can be used to implement page-level locking. Consider reads first. A process is allowed to read a page only after it readlocks the page. This is implemented by using mprotect to initially turn off read permissions to all pages, for the process. When the process tries to access an address in a page, a protection violation occurs. The handler associated with prote
tion violation then requests a read lo
k on the page, and after the lo
k is acquired, it uses mprotect to allow read access to the page by the process, and finally allows the process to continue. Write access is handled similarly.

18.7 Consider a database system that includes an atomic **increment** operation, in addition to the read and write operations. Let V be the value of data item X . The operation

increment(X) by C

sets the value of X to $V + C$ in an atomic step. The value of X is not available to the transaction unless the latter executes a read (X) .

Assume that increment operations lock the item in increment mode using the ompatibility matrix in Figure 18.25.

- Show that, if all transactions lock the data that they access in the corresponding mode, then two-phase locking ensures serializability.
- b. Show that the inclusion of **increment** mode locks allows for increased concurrency.

Answer:

- a. Serializability an be shown by observing that if two transa
tions have an I mode lock on the same item, the increment operations can be swapped, just like read operations. However, any pair of conflicting operations must be serialized in the order of the lock points of the corresponding transa
tions, as shown in Exer
ise 15.1.
- b. The **increment** lock mode being compatible with itself allows multiple incrementing transactions to take the lock simultaneously, thereby improving the concurrency of the protocol. In the absence of this mode, an exclusive mode will have to be taken on a data item by each transaction that wants to increment the value of this data item. An exclusive lock being incompatible with itself adds to the lock waiting time and obstructs the overall progress of the on
urrent s
hedule.

In general, increasing the true entries in the compatibility matrix inreases the on
urren
y and improves the throughput.

The proof is in Korth, "Locking Primitives in a Database System," Journal of the ACM Volume 30, (1983).

18.8 In timestamp ordering, W-timestamp(Q) denotes the largest timestamp of any transaction that executed write (0) successfully. Suppose that, instead, we defined it to be the timestamp of the most recent transaction to execute write (Q)

142 Chapter 18 Concurrency Control

successfully. Would this change in wording make any difference? Explain your answer.

Answer:

It would make no difference. The write protocol is such that the most recent transa
tion to write an item is also the one with the largest timestamp to have

18.9 Use of multiple-granularity locking may require more or fewer locks than an equivalent system with a single lo
k granularity. Provide examples of both situations, and compare the relative amount of concurrency allowed.

Answer:

If a transaction needs to access a large set of items, multiple granularity locking requires fewer locks, whereas if only one item needs to be accessed, the single lock granularity system allows this with just one lock. Because all the desired data items are lo
ked and unlo
ked together in the multiple granularity scheme, the locking overhead is low, but concurrency is also reduced.

- 18.10 For each of the following protocols, describe aspects of practical applications that would lead you to suggest using the proto
ol, and aspe
ts that would suggest not using the proto
ol:
	- Two-phases in the case of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
	- Two-phase lo
	king with multiple-granularity lo
	king.
	- The tree proto
	ol
	- \bullet . Timestamp ordering
	- Validation
	- Multiversion timestamp ordering
	- Multiversion two-phase lo
	king

Answer:

- two-phases in simple and single simple applies where where a single granularity is acceptable. If there are large read-only transactions, multiversion protools would do better. Also, if deadlo
ks must be avoided at all osts, the tree proto
ol would be preferable.
- Two-phase locking with multiple granularity locking: Use for an application mix where some applications access individual records and others access whole relations or substantial parts thereof. The drawbacks of 2PL mentioned above also apply to this one.
- The tree protocol: Use if all applications tend to access data items in an order consistent with a particular partial order. This protocol is free of

deadlocks, but transactions will often have to lock unwanted nodes in order to access the desired nodes.

- Timestamp ordering: Use if the application demands a concurrent execution that is equivalent to a particular serial ordering (say, the order of arrival), rather than *any* serial ordering. But conflicts are handled by roll back of transactions rather than waiting, and schedules are not recoverable. To make them re
overable, additional overheads and in
reased response time have to be tolerated. Not suitable if there are long read-only transa
tions, sin
e they will starve. Deadlo
ks are absent.
- Validation: If the probability that two concurrently executing transactions conflict is low, this protocol can be used advantageously to get better conurren
y and good response times with low overheads. Not suitable under high ontention, when a lot of wasted work will be done.
- Multiversion timestamp ordering: Use if timestamp ordering is appropriate but it is desirable for read requests to never wait. Shares the other disadvantages of the timestamp ordering proto
ol.
- Multiversion two-phase locking: This protocol allows read-only transactions to always ommit without ever waiting. Update transa
tions follow 2PL, thus allowing re
overable s
hedules with oni
ts solved by waiting rather than roll back. But the problem of deadlocks comes back, though read-only transactions cannot get involved in them. Keeping multiple versions adds spa
e and time overheads though, therefore plain 2PL may be preferable in low-conflict situations.
- 18.11 Explain why the following technique for transaction execution may provide better performance than just using strict two-phase locking: First execute the transa
tion without a
quiring any lo
ks and without performing any writes to the database as in the validation-based techniques, but unlike the validation to the database as in the validation-based term of \mathbf{h} te
hniques do not perform either validation or writes on the database. Instead, rerun the transa
tion using stri
t two-phase lo
king. (Hint: Consider waits for disk I/O.)

Answer:

A transaction waits on (a) disk I/O and (b) lock acquisition. Transactions generally wait on disk reads and not on disk writes as disk writes are handled by the buffering mechanism in asynchronous fashion and transactions update only the in-memory opy of the disk blo
ks.

The te
hnique proposed essentially separates the waiting times into two phases. The first phase—where transaction is executed without acquiring any locks and without performing any writes to the database—accounts for almost all the waiting time on disk I/O as it reads all the data blo
ks it needs from

144 Chapter 18 Concurrency Control

disk if they are not already in memory. The second phase—the transaction reexecution with strict two-phase locking-accounts for all the waiting time on acquiring locks. The second phase may, though rarely, involve a small waiting time on disk I/O if a disk block that the transaction needs is flushed to memory (by buffer manager) before the second phase starts.

The technique may increase concurrency as transactions spend almost no time on disk I/O with locks held and hence locks are held for a shorter time. In the first phase, the transaction reads all the data items required—and not already in memory–from disk. The locks are acquired in the second phase and the transa
tion does almost no disk I/O in this phase. Thus the transa
tion avoids spending time in disk I/O with lo
ks held.

The technique may even increase disk throughput as the disk I/O is not stalled for want of a lock. Consider the following scenario with strict two-phase locking protocol: A transaction is waiting for a lock, the disk is idle, and there are some items to be read from disk. In su
h a situation, disk bandwidth is wasted. But in the proposed technique, the transaction will read all the required items from the disk without a
quiring any lo
k, and the disk bandwidth may be properly utilized.

Note that the proposed technique is most useful if the computation involved in the transa
tions is less and most of the time is spent in disk I/O and waiting on locks, as is usually the case in disk-resident databases. If the transaction is omputation intensive, there may be wasted work. An optimization is to save the updates of transactions in a temporary buffer, and instead of reexecuting the transaction, to compare the data values of items when they are locked with the values used earlier. If the two values are the same for all items, then the buffered updates of the transaction are executed, instead of reexecuting the entire transaction.

18.12 Consider the timestamp-ordering proto
ol, and two transa
tions, one that writes two data items p and q , and another that reads the same two data items. Give a s
hedule whereby the timestamp test for a write operation fails and causes the first transaction to be restarted, in turn causing a cascading abort of the other transa
tion. Show how this ould result in starvation of both transactions. (Such a situation, where two or more processes carry out actions, but are unable to omplete their task be
ause of intera
tion with the other pro cesses, is called a **livelock**.)

Answer:

Consider two transactions T_1 and T_2 shown below.

Let $TS(T_1) < TS(T_2)$, and let the timestamp test at each operation except write(q) be successful. When transaction T_1 does the timestamp test for write(q), it finds that $TS(T_1) < R$ -timestamp(q), since $TS(T_1) < TS(T_2)$ and R-timestamp(q) = TS(T₂). Hence the write operation fails, and transaction T_1 rolls back. The cascading results in transaction T_2 also being rolled back as it uses the value for item p that is written by transaction T_1 .

If this scenario is exactly repeated every time the transactions are restarted, this ould result in starvation of both transa
tions.

18.13 Devise a timestamp-based protocol that avoids the phantom phenomenon.

Answer:

In the text, we considered two approaches to dealing with the phantom phenomenon by means of locking. The coarser granularity approach obviously works for timestamps as well. The B^+ -tree index-based approach can be adapted to timestamping by treating index buckets as data items with timestamps associated with them, and requiring that all read accesses use an index. We now show that this simple method works. Suppose a transaction T_i wants to access all tuples with a particular range of search key values, using a B^+ tree index on that search key. T_i will need to read all the buckets in that index which have key values in that range. It can be seen that any delete or insert of a tuple with a key value in the same range will need to write one of the index buckets read by T_i . Thus the logical conflict is converted to a conflict on an index bu
ket, and the phantom phenomenon is avoided.

18.14 Suppose that we use the tree protocol of Section 18.1.5 to manage concurrent access to a B_1 -tree. Since a spiit may occur on an insert that allects the root, it appears that an insert operation cannot release any locks until it has completed the entire operation. Under what circumstances is it possible to release a lock earlier?

Answer:

Note: The tree protocol of Section Section 18.1.5 which is referred to in this question is different from the multigranularity protocol of Section 18.3 and the B^+ -tree concurrency protocol of Section 18.10.2.

One strategy for early lo
k releasing is given here. Going down the tree from the root, if the urrently visited node's hild is not full, release lo
ks held on all nodes except the current node, then request an X-lock on the child node.

146 Chapter 18 Concurrency Control

After getting it, release the lock on the current node, and then descend to the child. On the other hand, if the child is full, retain all locks held, request an X-lo
k on the hild, and des
end to it after getting the lo
k. On rea
hing the leaf node, start the insertion procedure. This strategy results in holding locks only on the full index tree nodes from the leaf upward, until and including the first non-full node.

An optimization to the above strategy is possible. Even if the urrent node's hild is full, we an still release the lo
ks on all nodes but the urrent one. But after getting the X-lock on the child node, we split it right away. Releasing the lock on the current node and retaining just the lock on the appropriate split hild, we des
end into it, making it the urrent node. With this optimization, at any time at most two lo
ks are held, of a parent and a hild node.

- 18.15 The snapshot isolation protocol uses a validation step which, before performing a write of a data item by transaction T , checks if a transaction concurrent with T has already written the data item.
	- a. A straightforward implementation uses a start timestamp and a ommit timestamp for each transaction, in addition to an *update set*, that, is the set of data items updated by the transaction. Explain how to perform validation for the first-committer wins scheme by using the transaction timestamps along with the update sets. You may assume that validation and other ommit pro
	essing steps are exe
	uted serially, that is, for one transa
	tion at a time,
	- b. Explain how the validation step an be implemented as part of ommit processing for the first-committer-wins scheme, using a modification of the above s
	heme, where instead of using update sets, ea
	h data item has a write timestamp associated with it. Again, you may assume that validation and other commit processing steps are executed serially.
	- c. The first-updater-wins scheme can be implemented using timestamps as des
	ribed above, ex
	ept that validation is done immediately after a
	quiring an ex
	lusive lo
	k, instead of being done at ommit time.
		- i . Explain how to assign write timestamps to data items to implement the first-updater-wins scheme.
		- ii. Show that as a result of locking, if the validation is repeated at commit time the result would not hange.
		- iii. Explain why there is no need to perform validation and other commit pro
		essing steps serially in this ase.

Answer:

a. Validation test for first-committer-wins scheme: Let StartTS (T_i) , CommitTS(T_i) and be the timestamps associated with a transaction T_i and the update set for T_i be update_set(T_i). Then for all transactions T_k with CommitTS(T_k) < CommitTS(T_i), one of the following two conditions must hold:

- If CommitTS(T_k) < StartTS(T_k), T_k completes its execution before T_i started, the serializability is maintained.
- StartTS(T_i) < CommitTS(T_k) < CommitTS(T_i), and update_set(T_i) and update_set(T_k) do not intersect
- b. Validation test for first-committer-wins scheme with W-timestamps for data items: If a transaction T_i writes a data item Q , then the Wtimestamp(Q) is set to CommitTS(T_i). For the validation test of a transaction T_i to pass, the following condition must hold:
	- For each data item Q written by T_i , W-timestamp(Q) < StartTS(T_i).
- . First-updater-wins s
heme:
	- i. For a data item Q written by T_i , the W-timestamp is assigned the timestamp when the write occurred in T_i
	- ii. Since the validation is done after acquiring the exclusive locks and the ex
	lusive lo
	ks are held till the end of the transa
	tion, the data item cannot be modified in between the lock acquisition and commit time. So, the result of the validation test for a transa
	tion would be the same at the ommit time as that at the update time.
	- iii. Because of the exclusive locking, at most one transaction can acquire the lo
	k on a data item at a time and do the validation testing. Thus, two or more transa
	tions annot do validation testing for the same data item simultaneously.
- 18.16 Consider functions insert_latchfree() and delete_latchfree(), shown in Figure 18 23
	- a. Explain how the ABA problem can occur if a deleted node is reinserted.
	- b. Suppose that adjacent to *head* we store a counter *cnt*. Also suppose that DCAS((head,cnt), (oldhead, oldcnt), (newhead, newcnt)) atomically performs a compare-and-swap on the 128 bit value (head, cnt). Modify the insert_latchfree() and delete_latchfree() to use the DCAS operation to avoid the ABA problem.
	- c. Since most processors use only 48 bits of a 64 bit address to actually address memory, explain how the other 16 bits an be used to implement a ounter, in ase the DCAS operation is not supported.

Answer:

a. Let the head of the list be pointer n1, and the next three elements be $n2$ and n3. Suppose process P1 which is performing a delete, reads pointer

148 Chapter 18 Concurrency Control

 $n1$ as head and $n2$ as *newhead*, but before it executes CAS(*head*, $n1$, $n2$), process $P2$ deletes n1, then deletes n2 and then inserts n1 back at the head.

The CAS would replace n_1 by a pointer to n_2 , since the head is still $n1$. However, node $n2$ has meanwhile been deleted and is garbage. Thus, the list is now in
onsistent.

b. The following ode

```
atomic-read(head, cnt) {
     repeat
          oldhead = head
          oldcnt = cntresult = DCAS((head, cnt), (oldhead, oldcnt), (oldhead, oldcnt))until (result == success)
     return (oldhead, oldcnt)
}
insert lat
hfree(head, value) {
     node = new node
     node->value = valuerepeat
          \text{(oldhead, oldcnt)} = atomic\_read(head, cnt)node->next = oldheadnewcnt = oldcnt + 1result = DCAS(head, (oldhead, oldcnt), (node, newcnt))until (result == success)
}
delete lat
hfree(head) {
     /* This function is not quite safe; see explanation in text. */repeat
          \text{(oldhead, oldcnt)} = atomic\_read(head, cnt)newhead = oldhead -\gt; nextnewcnt = oldcnt + 1result = DCAS(head, (oldhead, oldcnt), (newhead, newcnt))until (result == success)
}
```
The atomic_read function ensures that the 128 bit address, counter pair is read atomically, by using the DCAS instruction to ensure that the values are still same (the DCAS instruction stores the same values back if it succeeds, so there is no change in the value). If the DCAS fails, we may

have read an old pointer and a new value, or vi
e versa, requiring the values to be read again.

The ABA problem would be avoided by the modified code for *in*sert_latchfree() and delete_latchfree(), since although the reinsert of the $n1$ by P2 would result in the head having the same pointer $n1$ as earlier, counter cnt would be different from oldcnt, resulting in the CAS operation of P1 failing.

c. Most processors use only the last 48 bits of a 64 bit address to access memory (which can support 256 Terabytes of memory). The first 16 bits of a 64 bit value an then be used as a ounter, and the last 48 bits as the address, with the counter and the address extracted using bit-and operations before being used, and using bit-and and bit-or operations to reconstruct the 64 bit value from a pointer and a counter. If a hardware implementation does not support DCAS, this could be used as an alternative to a DCAS, although it still runs a the small risk of the counter wrapping around if there are exactly 64K other operations on the list between the read of the head and the CAS operation.

Recovery System

19.1 Explain why log records for transactions on the undo-list must be processed in reverse order, whereas redo is performed in a forward direction.

Answer:

Within a single transaction in undo-list, suppose a data item is updated more than once, say from 1 to 2, and then from 2 to 3. If the undo log records are processed in forward order, the final value of the data item will be incorrectly set to 2, whereas by pro
essing them in reverse order, the value is set to 1. The same logic also holds for data items updated by more than one transaction on undo-list.

Using the same example as above, but assuming the transaction committed, it is easy to see that if redo processing processes the records in forward order, the final value is set correctly to 3, but if done in reverse order, the final value is set incorrectly to 2.

- 19.2 Explain the purpose of the checkpoint mechanism. How often should checkpoints be performed? How does the frequency of checkpoints affect:
	- e when no failure of the whole performance of the system of the syst
	- The time it takes to recover from a system crash?
	- The time it takes to recover from a media (disk) failure?

Answer:

Checkpointing is done with log-based recovery schemes to reduce the time required for recovery after a crash. If there is no checkpointing, then the entire log must be searched after a crash, and all transactions must be undone/redone from the log. If checkpointing is performed, then most of the log records prior to the checkpoint can be ignored at the time of recovery.

Another reason to perform checkpoints is to clear log records from stable storage as it gets full.

¹⁵² Chapter ¹⁹ Re
overy System

Since checkpoints cause some loss in performance while they are being taken, their frequency should be reduced if fast recovery is not critical. If we need fast re
overy, he
kpointing frequen
y should be in
reased. If the amount of stable storage available is less, frequent he
kpointing is unavoidable.

Checkpoints have no effect on recovery from a disk crash; archival dumps are the equivalent of checkpoints for recovery from disk crashes.

19.3 Some database systems allow the administrator to hoose between two forms of logging: normal logging, used to recover from system crashes, and archival logging, used to recover from media (disk) failure. When can a log record be deleted, in each of these cases, using the recovery algorithm of Section 19.4?

Answer:

Normal logging: The following log records cannot be deleted, since they may be required for recovery:

- a. Any log record corresponding to a transaction which was active during the most recent checkpoint (i.e., which is part of the \leq checkpoint L> entry)
- b. Any log record corresponding to transactions started after the recent checkpoint

All other log records can be deleted. After each checkpoint, more records beome andidates for deletion as per the above rule.

Deleting a log record while retaining an earlier log record would result in gaps in the log and would require more omplex log pro
essing. Therefore in practice, systems find a point in the log where all earlier log records can be deleted, and they delete that part of the log. Often, the log is broken up into multiple files, and a file is deleted when all log records in the file can be deleted.

Archival logging: Archival logging retains log records that may be needed for recovery from media failure (such as disk crashes). Archival dumps are the equivalent of checkpoints for recovery from media failure. The preceding rules for deletion can be used for archival logs, but based on the last archival dump instead of the last checkpoint. The frequency of archival dumps would be less than he
kpointing, sin
e a lot of data have to be written. Thus more log records would need to be retained with archival logging.

19.4 Des
ribe how to modify the re
overy algorithm of Se
tion 19.4 to implement savepoints and to perform rollback to a savepoint. (Savepoints are described in Se
tion 19.9.3.)

Answer:

A savepoint an be performed as follows:

- a. Output onto stable storage all log records for that transaction which are urrently in main memory.
- b. Output onto stable storage a log record of the form \langle savepoint T_i , where TI is the transa
tion identier.

To roll back a currently executing transaction partially to a particular savepoint, execute undo processing for that transaction until the savepoint is reached. Redo log records are generated as usual during the undo phase above. It is possible to perform repeated undo to a single savepoint by writing a fresh savepoint record after rolling back to that savepoint. The above algorithm can be extended to support multiple savepoints for a single transa
tion by giving ea
h savepoint a name. However, on
e undo has rolled ba
k past a savepoint, it is no longer possible to undo up to that savepoint.

- 19.5 Suppose the deferred modification technique is used in a database.
	- a. Is the old value part of an update log re
	ord required any more? Why or why not?
	- b. If old values are not stored in update log re
	ords, transa
	tion undo is clearly not feasible. How would the redo phase of recovery have to be modified as a result?
	- c. Deferred modification can be implemented by keeping updated data items in local memory of transactions and reading data items that have not been updated directly from the database buffer. Suggest how to efficiently implement a data item read, ensuring that a transaction sees its own updates.
	- d. What problem would arise with the above te
	hnique if transa
	tions perform a large number of updates?

- The old-value part of an update log record is not required. If the transa. action has committed, then the old value is no longer necessary as there would be no need to undo the transaction. And if the transaction was a
tive when the system rashed, the old values are still safe in the stable storage because they haven't been modified yet.
- b. During the redo phase, the undo list need not be maintained any more, since the stable storage does not reflect updates due to any uncommitted transaction.
- c. A data item read will first issue a read request on the local memory of the transa
tion. If it is found there, it is returned. Otherwise, the item is

154 Chapter 19 Recovery System

loaded from the database buffer into the local memory of the transaction and then returned.

- d. If a single transa
tion performs a large number of updates, there is a possibility of the transa
tion running out of memory to store the lo
al opies of the data items.
- 19.6 The shadow-paging scheme requires the page table to be copied. Suppose the page table is represented as a **B**+uee.
	- Suggest how to share as many nodes as possible between the new copy and the shadow copy of the B+tree, assuming that updates are made only to leaf entries, with no insertions or deletions.
	- b. Even with the above optimization, logging is much cheaper than a shadow copy scheme, for transactions that perform small updates. Explain why.

Answer:

- a. To begin with, we start with the copy of just the root node pointing to the shadow copy. As modifications are made, the leaf entry where the modification is made and all the nodes in the path from that leaf node to the root are opied and updated. All other nodes are shared.
- b. For transa
tions that perform small updates, the shadow-paging s
heme would copy multiple pages for a single update, even with the above optimization. Logging, on the other hand, just requires small records to be created for every update; the log records are physically together in one page or a few pages, and thus only a few log page I/O operations are required to ommit a transa
tion. Furthermore, the log pages written out across subsequent transaction commits are likely to be adjacent physi
ally on disk, minimizing disk arm movement.
- 19.7 Suppose we (incorrectly) modify the recovery algorithm of Section 19.4 to note log a
tions taken during transa
tion rollba
k. When re
overing from a system crash, transactions that were rolled back earlier would then be included in undo-list and rolled back again. Give an example to show how actions taken during the undo phase of recovery could result in an incorrect database state. (Hint: Consider a data item updated by an aborted transa
tion and then updated by a transaction that commits.)

Answer:

Consider the following log records generated with the (incorrectly) modified recovery algorithm:

1. $\langle T_1 \text{ start} \rangle$

2. $, A, 1000, 900>$ 3. $\langle T_2 \rangle$ start> 4. $\langle T_2, A, 1000, 2000 \rangle$ 5. $\langle T_2 \rangle$ commit>

A rollba
k a
tually happened between steps 2 and 3, but there are no log records reflecting the same. Now, this log data is processed by the recovery algorithm. At the end of the redo phase, T_1 would get added to the undo-list, and the value of A would be 2000. During the undo phase, since T_1 is present in the undo-list, the recovery algorithm does an undo of statement 2, and A takes the value 1000. The update made by T_2 , though commited, is lost.

The orre
t sequen
e of logs is as follows:

1. $\langle T_1 \text{ start} \rangle$ 2. $\langle T_1, A, 1000, 900 \rangle$ $3. \leq T_1$, A, 1000> 4. $\langle T_1 \text{ abort} \rangle$ 5. $\langle T_2 \text{ start} \rangle$ 6. $\langle T_2, A, 1000, 2000 \rangle$ 7. $commit>$

This would make sure that T_1 would not get added to the undo-list after the redo phase.

19.8 Disk space allocated to a file as a result of a transaction should not be released even if the transaction is rolled back. Explain why, and explain how ARIES ensures that such actions are not rolled back.

Answer:

If a transa
tion allo
ates a page to a relation, even if the transa
tion is rolled ba
k, the page allo
ation should not be undone be
ause other transa
tions may have stored re
ords in the same page. Su
h operations that should not be undone are called nested top actions in ARIES. They can be modeled as operations whose undo a
tion does nothing. In ARIES su
h operations are implemented by creating a dummy CLR whose UndoNextLSN is set such that the transa
tion rollba
k skips the log re
ords generated by the operation.

- 19.9 Suppose a transa
tion deletes a re
ord, and the free spa
e generated thus is allocated to a record inserted by another transaction, even before the first transaction commits.
	- a. What problem can occur if the first transaction needs to be rolled back?
	- $_b$.</sub> Would this problem be an issue if page-level locking is used instead of tuple-level locking?

¹⁵⁶ Chapter ¹⁹ Re
overy System

 \mathbf{c} Suggest how to solve this problem while supporting tuple-level locking, by logging postommit a
tions in spe
ial log re
ords, and exe
uting them after ommit. Make sure your s
heme ensures that su
h a
tions are performed exactly once.

- a. If the first transaction needs to be rolled back, the tuple deleted by that transa
tion will have to be restored. If undo is performed in the usual physi
al manner using the old values of data items, the spa
e allo
ated to the new tuple would get overwritten by the transa
tion undo, damaging the new tuples, and asso
iated data stru
tures on the disk blo
k. This means that a logical undo operation has to be performed, i.e., an insert has to be performed to undo the delete, which complicates recovery. On a related note, if the se
ond transa
tion inserts with the same key, integrity onstraints might be violated on rollba
k.
- b. If page-level locking is used, the free space generated by the first transaction is not allocated to another transaction till the first one commits. So this problem will not be an issue if page-level locking is used.
- . The problem an be solved by deferring freeing of spa
e until after the transa
tion ommits. To ensure that spa
e will be freed even if there is a system crash immediately after commit, the commit log record can be modified to contain information about freeing of space (and other similar operations) which must be performed after commit. The execution of these operations can be performed as a transaction and log records generated, following by a post-commit log record which indicates that postommit pro
essing has been ompleted for the transa
tion.

During recovery, if a commit log record is found with post-commit actions, but no post-commit log record is found, the effects of any partial execution of post-commit operations are rolled back during recovery, and the post-commit operations are reexecuted at the end of recovery. If the postommit log re
ord is found, the postommit a
tions are not reexecuted. Thus, the actions are guaranteed to be executed exactly once.

The problem of clashes on primary key values can be solved by holding key-level locks so that no other transaction can use the key until the first transaction completes.

19.10 Explain the reasons why recovery of interactive transactions is more difficult to deal with than is recovery of batch transactions. Is there a simple way to deal with this difficulty? (Hint: Consider an automatic teller machine transaction in which cash is withdrawn.)

Interactive transactions are more difficult to recover from than batch transactions because some actions may be irrevocable. For example, an output (write) statement may have fired a missile or caused a bank machine to give money to a ustomer. The best way to deal with this is to try to do all output statements at the end of the transa
tion. That way if the transa
tion aborts in the middle, no harm will be have been done.

Output operations should ideally be done atomi
ally; for example, ATM ma
hines often ount out notes and deliver all the notes together instead of delivering notes one at a time. If output operations cannot be done atomically, a physical log of output operations, such as a disk log of events, or even a video log of what happened in the physi
al world an be maintained to allow perform recovery to be performed manually later, for example, by crediting cash back to a customer's account.

- 19.11 Sometimes a transa
tion has to be undone after it has ommitted be
ause it was erroneously executed—for example, because of erroneous input by a bank teller.
	- Give an example to show that using the normal transaction undo mecha.
	- $b.$ One way to handle this situation is to bring the whole database to a state prior to the commit of the erroneous transaction (called *point-in-time* recovery). Transactions that committed later have their effects rolled back with this s
	heme.

Suggest a modification to the recovery algorithm of Section 19.4 to implement point in time recovery using database dumps.

c. Later nonerroneous transactions can be reexecuted logically, if the updates are available in the form of SQL but annot be reexe
uted using their log records. Why?

Answer:

a. Consider the a bank account A with balance \$100. Consider two transactions T_1 and T_2 , each depositing \$10 in the account. Thus the balan
e would be \$120 after both these transa
tions are exe
uted. Let the transactions execute in sequence: T_1 first and then T_2 . The log records corresponding to the updates of A by transactions T_1 and T_2 would be T_1 , A, 100, 110 > and < T_2 , A, 110, 120 > respectively.

Say we wish to undo transaction T_1 . The normal transaction undo mechanism will replace the value in question $-A$ in this example —with the old-value field in the log record. Thus if we undo transaction T_1 using the normal transa
tion undo me
hanism, the resulting balan
e will be

158 Chapter 19 Recovery System

\$100 and we will, in effect, undo both transactions, whereas we intend to undo only transaction T_1 .

- b. Let the erroneous transa
tion be Te .
	- \mathcal{L} is a function of the local dump, say \mathcal{L} , before the log respectively \mathcal{L} START>. Restore the database using the dump.
	- Redo all log records starting from the dump D to the log record $\langle T_e, \text{ } \textit{COMMIT} \rangle$. Some transaction—apart from transaction T_e e l set of such transactions.
	- Roll back T_e and the transactions in the set S_1 . This completes pointin-time recovery.

In case logical redo is possible, later transactions can be rexecuted logically, assuming log records containing logical redo information were written for every transaction. To perform logical redo of later transa
tions, s
an the log further starting from the log record $< T_e$, COMMIT> to the end of the log. Note the transactions \mathbf{r} after the set of \mathbf{r} transactions be S_2 . Reexecute the transactions in set S_1 and S_2 logically.

c. Consider again an example from the first item. Let us assume that both transa
tions are undone and the balan
e is reverted ba
k to the original value \$100.

Now we wish to redo transaction T_2 . If we redo the log record $\lt T_2$, A, 110, 120 > corresponding to transaction T_2 , the balance will become \$120 and we will, in effect, redo both transactions, whereas we intend to redo only transaction T_2 .

- 19.12 The recovery techniques that we described assume that blocks are written atomi
ally to disk. However, a blo
k may be partially written when power fails, with some se
tors written, and others not yet written.
	- a. What problems can partial block writes cause?
	- Partial block writes can be detected using techniques similar to those b_{-} used to validate se
	tor reads. Explain how.
	- c. Explain how RAID 1 can be used to recover from a partially written blo
	k, restoring the blo
	k to either its old value or to its new value.

Answer:

FILL IN

19.13 The Oracle database system uses undo log records to provide a snapshot view of the database under snapshot isolation. The snapshot view seen by transa
 tion T_i reflects updates of all transactions that had committed when T_i started \mathbf{r} is the updates of all other transactions are not visible to Times are not visible to Times are not visible to Times and Times are not visible to Times are not visible to Times and Times are not visible to Times a

Describe a scheme for buffer handling whereby transactions are given a snapshot view of pages in the buffer. Include details of how to use the log to generate the snapshot view. You can assume that operations as well as their undo actions affect only one page.

Answer:

First, determine if a transaction is currently modifying the buffer. If not, then return the current contents of the buffer. Otherwise, examine the records in the undo log pertaining to this buffer. Make a copy of the buffer, then for each relevant operation in the undo log, apply the operation to the buffer copy starting with the most re
ent operation and working ba
kwards until the point at which the modifying transaction began. Finally, return the buffer copy as the snapshot buffer.

Database-System Architectures

20.1 Is a multiuser system necessarily a parallel system? Why or why not?

Answer:

No. A single processor with only one core can run multiple processes to manage mutiple users. Most modern systems are parallel, however.

20.2 Atomic instructions such as compare-and-swap and test-and-set also execute a memory fence as part of the instruction on many architectures. Explain what is the motivation for executing the memory fence, from the viewpoint of data in shared memory that is prote
ted by a mutex implemented by the atomi instru
tion. Also explain what a pro
ess should do before releasing a mutex.

Answer:

FILL IN MORE

The memory fence ensures that the process that gets the mutex will see all updates that happened before the instruction, as long as processes execute a fen
e before releasing the mutex. Thus, even if the data was updated on a different core, the process that acquires the mutex is guaranteed to see the

20.3 Instead of storing shared structures in shared memory, an alternative architecture would be to store them in the local memory of a special process and access the shared data by interprocess communication with the process. What would be the drawback of such an architecture?

Answer:

The drawba
ks would be that two interpro
ess messages would be required to acquire locks, one for the request and one to confirm grant. Interprocess communication is much more expensive than memory access, so the cost of locking would increase. The process storing the shared structures could also

¹⁶² Chapter 20 Database-System Ar
hite
tures

The benefit of this alternative is that the lock table is protected better from erroneous updates since only one process can access it.

20.4 Explain the distinction between a *latch* and a *lock* as used for transactional concurrency control.

Answer:

Latches are short-duration locks that manage access to internal system data structures. Locks taken by transactions are taken on database data items and are often held for a substantial fra
tion of the duration of the transa
tion. Latch acquisition and release are not covered by the two-phase locking protocol.

20.5 Suppose a transa
tion is written in C with embedded SQL, and about 80 per ent of the time is spent in the SQL ode, with the remaining 20 per
ent spent in C ode. How mu
h speedup an one hope to attain if parallelism is used only for the SQL ode? Explain.

Answer:

Since the part which cannot be parallelized takes 20% of the total running time, the best speedup we can hope for is 5. In Amdahl's law: $\frac{1}{(1-p)+(p/n)}$, $p = 4/5$ and *n* is arbitrarily large. So, $1 - p = 1/5$ and p/n aproaches zero.

20.6 Consider a pair of processes in a shared memory system such that process A updates a data structure, and then sets a flag to indicate that the update is completed. Process \hat{B} monitors the flag, and starts processing the data structure only after it finds the flag is set.

Explain the problems that could arise in a memory architecture where writes may be reordered, and explain how the sfence and Ifence instructions can be used to ensure the problem does not occur.

Answer:

The goal here is that the consumer process B should see the data structure state after all updates have been ompleted. But out of order writes to main memory an result in the onsumer pro
ess seeing some but not all the updates to the data structure, even after the flag has been set.

To avoid this problem, the producer process \vec{A} should issue an sfence after the updates, but before setting the flag. It can optionally issue an sfence after setting the flag, to push the update to memory with minimum delay. The consumer process B should correspondingly issue an Ifence after the flag has been found to be set, before accessing the datastructure.

20.7 In a shared-memory architecture, why might the time to access a memory location vary depending on the memory location being accessed?

In a NUMA architecture, a processor can access its own memory faster than it an a

ess shared memory asso
iated with another pro
essor due to the time taken to transfer data between pro
essors.

20.8 Most operating systems for parallel machines (i) allocate memory in a local memory area when a pro
ess requests memory, and (ii) avoid moving a pro ess from one ore to another. Why are these optimizations important with a NUMA architecture?

Answer:

In a NUMA architecture, a processor can access its own memory faster that it an a

ess shared memory asso
iated with another pro
essor due to the time taken to transfer data between pro
essors. Thus, if the data of a pro
ess resides in lo
al memory, the pro
ess exe
ution would be faster than if the memory is non-lo
al.

Further, if a process moves from one core to another, it may lose the benefits of local allocation of memory, and be forced to carry out many memory accesses from other cores. To avoid this problem, most operating systems avoid moving a process from one core to another wherever possible.

20.9 Some database operations such as joins can see a significant difference in speed when data (e.g., one of the relations involved in a join) fits in memory as compared to the situation where the data do not fit in memory. Show how this fact can explain the phenomenon of **superlinear speedup**, where an appli
ation sees a speedup greater than the amount of resour
es allo
ated to it.

Answer:

We illustrate this by an example. Suppose we double the amount of main memory and that as a result, one of the relations now fits entirely in main memory. We can now use a nested-loop join with the inner-loop relation entirely in main memory and incur disk accesses for reading the input relations only one time. With the original amount of main memory, the best join strategy may have had to read a relation in from disk more than on
e.

20.10 What is the key distinction between homogeneous and federated distributed database systems?

Answer:

The key diferen
e is the degree of ooperation among the systems and the degree of entralized ontrol. Homogeneous systems share a global s
hema, run the same database-system software and actively cooperate on query proessing. Federated systems may have distin
t s
hemas and software, and may ooperate in only a limited manner.

¹⁶⁴ Chapter 20 Database-System Ar
hite
tures

20.11 Why might a client choose to subscribe only to the basic infrastructure as aservice model rather than to the services offered by other cloud service models?

Answer:

A lient may wish to ontrol its own appli
ations and thus may not wish to subs
ribe to a software-as-a-servi
e model; or the lient might wish further to be able to hoose and manage its own database system and thus not wish to subscribe to a platform-as-a-service model.

20.12 Why do cloud-computing services support traditional database systems best by using a virtual machine, instead of running directly on the service provider's actual machine, assuming that data is on external storage?

Answer:

By using a virtual machine, if a physical machine fails, virtual machines running on that physi
al ma
hine an be restarted qui
kly on one or more other physical machines, improving availability. (Assuming of course that data remains accessible, either by storing multiple copies of data, or by storing data in an highly available external storage system.)

Parallel and Distributed Storage

Practice Exercises

21.1 In a range sele
tion on a range-partitioned attribute, it is possible that only one disk may need to be accessed. Describe the benefits and drawbacks of this property.

Answer:

If there are few tuples in the queried range, then each query can be processed qui
kly on a single disk. This allows parallel exe
ution of queries with redu
ed overhead of initiating queries on multiple disks.

On the other hand, if there are many tuples in the queried range, ea
h query takes a long time to execute as there is no parallelism within its execution. Also, some of the disks can become hot spots, further increasing response time.

Hybrid range partitioning, in which small ranges (a few blocks each) are partitioned in a round-robin fashion, provides the benefits of range partitioning without its drawbacks.

- 21.2 Recall that histograms are used for constructing load-balanced range parti-
	- Suppose you have a histogram where values are between 1 and 100, and a_{-} are partitioned into 10 ranges, $1 - 10$, $11 - 20$, \dots , 91 - 100, with frequencies $15, 5, 20, 10, 10, 5, 5, 20, 5,$ and 5, respectively. Give a load-balanced range partitioning function to divide the values into five partitions.
	- b. Write an algorithm for computing a balanced range partition with p partitions, given a histogram of frequency distributions containing n ranges.

Answer:

a. A partitioning vector which gives 5 partitions with 20 tuples in each partition is: [21, 31, 51, 76]. The 5 partitions obtained are $1-20$, $21-30$, $31 - 50$, $51 - 75$, and $76 - 100$. The assumption made in arriving at this

¹⁶⁶ Chapter ²¹ Parallel and Distributed Storage

partitioning vector is that within a histogram range, each value is equally likely.

 \mathbf{P} . Let the matrix \mathbf{P} and the partition \mathbf{P} , \math \mathbf{r} (1, p) . Let the frequency before the frequency of the frequency of the frequency of the histogram ranges before \mathbf{r} ^p n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_h . Each partition should contain N/p tuples, where $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i$.

ed communications to define the local politicial contracts, we have to determine the value of the κ_{τ} $_1$ tuple, the value of the κ_2 $2 \cdot \cdot$ and so on, and so one, and so one where \mathbf{r} is an \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{r} , and \mathbf{r} is an \mathbf{r} is an \mathbf{r} is an \mathbf{r} then be $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \ldots, \kappa_{n-1}$. The value of the κ_i^{\ldots} tuple is determined as folis well-known assuming the history $e^{2\pi i t}$ or $e^{2\pi i t}$ in which is falls. Assuming all values in a range are equally likely, the κ_i^+ value will be

$$
s_j + (e_j - s_j) * \frac{k_{ij}}{n_j}
$$

where

21.3 Histograms are traditionally constructed on the values of a specific attribute (or set of attributes) of a relation. Su
h histograms are good for avoiding data distribution skew but are not very useful for avoiding execution skew. Explain \cdots \cdots

Now suppose you have a workload of queries that perform point lookups. Explain how you can use the queries in the workload to come up with a partitioning scheme that avoids execution skew.

Answer:

FILL

- 21.4 Replication:
	- Give two reasons for replicating data across geographically distributed a. data enters.
	- b. Centralized databases support replication using log records. How is the replication in centralized databases different from that in parallel/distributed databases?

Answer:

a. By replicating across data centers, even if a data center fails, for example due to a power outage or a natural disaster, the data would still be available from another data enter. By keeping the data enters geographi ally separated, the han
es of a single natural disaster su
h as an earthquake or a storm affecting both the data centers at the same time are minimized.

b. Centralized databases typically support only full database replication using log records (although some support logical replication allowing repliation to be restri
ted to some relations). However, they do not support partitioning, or the ability to replicate different parts of the database at different nodes; the latter helps minimize the load increase at a replica when a node fails by spreading the load across multiple nodes.

21.5 Parallel indi
es:

- a. Secondary indices in a centralized database store the record identifier. A global se
ondary index too ould potentially store a partition number holding the re
ord, and a re
ord identier within the partition. Why would this be a bad idea?
- b. Global secondary indices are implemented in a way similar to local secondary indices that are used when records are stored in a B+tree me organization. Explain the similarities between the two s
enarios that result in a similar implementation of the secondary indices.

Answer:

- a. Any updated su
h as splitting or moving a partition, whi
h is required to balan
e load, would require a large number of updates to se
ondary indices.
- b. In both cases records may move (across nodes, or to a different location within the node) which would require a large number of updates to secondary indices if they stored direct pointers. The indirection through the lustering index key / partitioning key allows re
ord movement without any updates to the secondary index.
- 21.6 Parallel database systems store replicas of each data item (or partition) on more than one node.
	- a_{-} Why is it a good idea to distribute the copies of the data items allocated to a node across multiple other nodes, instead of storing all the copies in the same node (or set of nodes).
	- b. What are the benefits and drawbacks of using RAID storage instead of storing an extra copy of each data item?

¹⁶⁸ Chapter ²¹ Parallel and Distributed Storage

- \mathbf{a} The copies of the data items at a node should be partitioned across multiple other nodes, rather than stored in a single node, for the following reasons:
	- To better distribute the work whi
	h should have been done by the failed node, among the remaining nodes.
	- \bullet Even when there is no failure, this technique can to some extent deal with hot-spots created by read-only transactions.
- b. RAID level 0 itself stores an extra copy of each data item (mirroring). Thus this is similar to mirroring performed by the database itself, ex
ept that the database system does not have to bother about the details of performing the mirroring. It just issues the write to the RAID system, which automatically performs the mirroring.

RAID level 5 is less expensive than mirroring in terms of disk space requirement, but writes are more expensive, and rebuilding a rashed disk is more expensive.

- 21.7 Partitioning and replication.
	- a. Explain why range-partitioning gives better ontrol on tablet sizes than max partitioning. List an analogy between this case and the case of B_{\perp} tree indices versus hash indices.
	- b. Some systems first perform hashing on the key, and then use range partitioning on the hash values. What could be a motivation for this choice, and what are its drawbacks as compared to performing range partition direction on the key?
	- c. It is possible to horizontally partition data, and then perform vertical partitioning locally at each node. It is also possible to do the converse, where vertical partitioning is done first, and then each partition is then horizontally partitioned independently. What are are the benefits of the first option over the second one?

Answer:

a. Hash partitioning does not permit any ontrol on individual tablet sizes, unlike range partitioning whi
h allows overfull partitions to be split quite easily. B -tree indices use range partitioning, allowing a leaf node to be split if it is overfull. In contrast, it is not easy to split a hash bucket in a hash index if the bucket is overfull.

Some approaches similar to those used for dynamic hashing (such as linear hashing or extendable hashing) have been proposed to allow overfull hash buckets to be split while leaving other hash buckets untouched, but range partitioning provides a simpler solution.

- b. Hashing allows keys of various types to be mapped to a single data type, simplifying the job of partitioning the data. The drawba
k is that range queries annot be supported using hashing (without performing a full table scan), whereas direct range-partitioning allows efficient support for range queries.
- c. The first option allows reconstruction of records at a single node if a query only accesses records at that node. With the second option, the verti
al fragments orresponding to one re
ord may potentially be residing on different nodes, requiring extra communication to get the vertical fragments together.
- 21.8 In order to send a request to the master replica of a data item, a node must know whi
h repli
a is the master for that data item.
	- a. Suppose that between the time the node identifies which node is the master replica for a data item, and the time the request reaches the identified node, the mastership has changed, and a different node is now the master. How can such a situation be dealt with?
	- While the master replica could be chosen on a per-partition basis, some b_{\cdot} systems support a *per-record master replica*, where the records of a partition (or tablet) are replicated at some set of nodes, but each record's master replica can be on any of the nodes from within this set of nodes, independent of the master replica of other records. List two benefits of keeping tra
	k of master on a per-re
	ord basis.
	- c. Suggest how to keep track of the master replica for each record, when there are a large number of records.

- a. If a node receives a request for a data item when it is not the master, it can send an error reply with the reason for the error to the requesting node. The requesting node can then find the current master and resend the request to the current master. Alternatively, the old master can forward the message to the new master, whi
h an reply to the requesting node.
- b. Tracking mastership on a per-record basis allows the master to be located in a geographical region where most requests for the data item occur, for example the region where the user resides. Reads can then be satisfied without any communication with other regions, which is generally much slower due to speed-of-light delays. Further, writes an also be done lo ally, and repli
ated asyn
hronously to the other repli
as.
- c. Each record can have an extra hidden field that stores the master replica of that re
ord. In ase the information is outdated, all the repli
as of the

¹⁷⁰ Chapter ²¹ Parallel and Distributed Storage

data item can be accessed to find the nodes listed as masters for that data item; those nodes can be contacted to find the current master.

Parallel and Distributed Query **Processing**

Practice Exercises

- 22.1 What form of parallelism (interquery, interoperation, or intraoperation) is likely to be the most important for each of the following tasks?
	- a. Increasing the throughput of a system with many small queries
	- Increasing the throughput of a system with a few large queries when the b_{\cdot} number of disks and pro
	essors is large

- a. When there are many small queries, interquery parallelism gives good throughput. Parallelizing ea
h of these small queries would in
rease the initiation overhead, without any significant reduction in response time.
- b. With a few large queries, intraquery parallelism is essential to get fast response times. Given that there are large numbers of pro
essors and disks, only intraoperation parallelism can take advantage of the parallel hardware, for queries typically have few operations, but each one needs to pro
ess a large number of tuples.
- 22.2 Describe how partial aggregation can be implemented for the count and avg aggregate functions to reduce data transfer. tions to reduce the second terms to reduce the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the

```
Answer:
FILL
```
- 22.3 With pipelined parallelism, it is often a good idea to perform several operations in a pipeline on a single pro
essor, even when many pro
essors are available.
	- a. Explain why.

172 Chapter 22 Parallel and Distributed Query Processing

- b. Would the arguments you advanced in part a hold if the machine has a shared-memory architecture? Explain why or why not.
- c. Would the arguments in part a hold with independent parallelism? (That is, are there ases where, even if the operations are not pipelined and there are many pro
essors available, it is still a good idea to perform several operations on the same processor?)

Answer:

- a. The speedup obtained by parallelizing the operations would be offset by the data transfer overhead, as ea
h tuple produ
ed by an operator would have to be transferred to its consumer, which is running on a different pro
essor.
- In a shared-memory architecture, transferring the tuples is very efficient. \mathbf{b} . So the above argument does not hold to any significant degree.
- . Even if two operations are independent, it may be that they both supply their outputs to a ommon third operator. In that ase, running all three on the same processor may be better than transferring tuples across processors.
- 22.4 Consider join processing using symmetric fragment and replicate with range partitioning. How can you optimize the evaluation if the join condition is of the form $|r.A - s.B| \leq k$, where k is a small constant? Here, $|x|$ denotes the absolute value of x . A join with such a join condition is called a **band join**.

Answer:

Relation r is partitioned into *n* partitions, $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}$, and *s* is also partitioned into *n* partitions, $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$. The partitions are replicated and assigned to pro
essors as shown in ??

Each fragment is replicated on three processors only, unlike in the general case where it is replicated on *n* processors. The number of processors required is now approximately 3n, instead of n^2 in the general case. Therefore, given the same number of processors, we can partition the relations into more fragments with this optimization, thus making each local join faster.

22.5 Suppose relation r is stored partitioned and indexed on A , and s is stored partitioned and indexed on B. Consider the query:

 $r_{r,C} \gamma_{\text{count}(s,D)}$ ($\sigma_{A>5}(r)$) $\bowtie_{r,B=s,B} s$)

- a. Give a parallel query plan using the ex
hange operator, for omputing the subtree of the query involving only the sele
t and join operators.
- Now extend the above to compute the aggregate. Make sure to use pre b_{-} aggregation to minimize the data transfer.

Figure 22.101 The three levels of data abstraction.

. Skew during aggregation is a serious problem. Explain how preaggregation as above can also significantly reduce the effect of skew during aggregation.

Answer:

- a. This is a small variant of an example from the hapter.
- b. This one is very straightforward, since it is already the example in the hapter
- c. Pre-aggregation can greatly reduce the size of the data sent to the final aggregation step. So even if there is skew, the absolute data sizes are smaller, resulting in significant reduction in the impact of the skew.
- 22.6 Suppose relation r is stored partitioned and indexed on A , and s is stored partitioned and indexed on B. Consider the join $r \Join_{r,B=s,B} s$. Suppose s is relatively small, but not small enough to make asymmetric fragment-and-replicate join the best choice, and r is large, with most r tuples not matching any s tuple. A hash-join can be performed but with a semijoin filter used to reduce the data transfer. Explain how semijoin filtering using Bloom filters would work in this parallel join setting.

¹⁷⁴ Chapter ²² Parallel and Distributed Query Pro
essing

Since s is small, it makes sense to send a Bloom filter on $s.B$ to all partitions of r. Then we use the Bloom filter to find r tuples that may match some s tuple, and repartition the matching r tuples on $r.B$, sending them to the nodes containing s (which is already partitioned on $s.B$). Then the join can be performed at each site storing s tuples. The Bloom filter can significantly reduce the number of r tuples transferred.

Note that repartitioning s does not make sense since it is already partitioned on the join attribute, unlike r.

- 22.7 Suppose you want to compute $r \mathbb{M}_{r,A=s,A} s$.
	- a. Suppose s is a small relation, while r is stored partitioned on $r.B.$ Give an efficient parallel algorithm for computing the left outer join.
	- b. Now suppose that r is a small relation, and s is a large relation, stored partitioned on attribute $s.B.$ Give an efficient parallel algorithm for computing the above left outer join.

Answer:

- a. Replicating s to all nodes, and computing the left outerioin independently at each node would be a good option in this case.
- b. The best technique in this case is to replicate r to all nodes, and compute $r \bowtie s_i$ at each node *i*. Then, we send back the list of r tuples that had matches at site *i* back to a single node, which takes the union of the returned r tuples from each node i . Tuples in r that are absent in this union are then padded with nulls and added to the output.
- **22.8** Suppose you want to compute $_{AB}Y_{sum(C)}$ on a relation s which is stored partitioned on $s.B.$ Explain how you would do it efficiently, minimizing/avoiding repartitioning, if the number of distinct $s.B$ values is large, and the distribution of number of tuples with each $s.B$ value is relatively uniform.

Answer:

The aggregate can be computed locally at each node, with no repartitioning at all, sin
e partitioning on s:B implies partitioning on s:A, s:B. To understand why, partitioning on (A, B) requires that tuples with the same value for (A, B) must be in the same partition. Partitioning on just B , ignoring A , also satisfies this requirement.

Of course not partitioning at all also satisfies the requirement, but that defeats the purpose of parallel query pro
essing. As long as the number of distinct $s.B$ values is large enough and the number of tuples with each $s.B$ value are relatively uniform and not highly skewed, using the existing partitioning on s.*B* will give good performance.

22.9 MapReduce implementations provide fault tolerance, where you can reexecute only failed mappers or redu
ers. By default, a partitioned parallel join exe
ution would have to be rerun ompletely in ase of even one node failure. It is possible to modify a parallel partitioned join execution to add fault tolerance in a manner similar to MapRedu
e, so failure of a node does not require full reexecution of the query, but only actions related to that node. Explain what needs to be done at the time of partitioning at the sending node and receiving node to do this.

Answer: This is an application of ideas from MapReduce to join processing. There are two steps: first the data is repartitioned, and then join is performed, orresponding to the map and redu
e steps.

A failure during the repartition can be handled by reexecuting the work of the failed node. However, the destination must ensure that tuples are not processed twice. To do so, it can store all received tuples in local disk, and start pro
essing only after all tuples have been re
eived. If the sender fails meanwhile, and a new node takes over, the receivers can discard all tuples re
eived from the failed sender, and re
eive them again. This part is not too expensive.

Failures during the final join computation can be handled similar to redu
er failure, by getting the data again from the partitioners. However, in the MapReduce paradigm tuples to be sent to reducers are stored on disk at the mappers, so they can be resent if required. This can also be done with parallel joins, but there is now a significant extra cost of writing the tuples to disk.

Another option is to find the tuples to be sent to the failed join node by res
anning the input. But now, all partitioners have to reread their entire input, which makes the process very expensive, similar in cost to rerunning the join. As a result this is not viewed as useful.

22.10 If a parallel data-store is used to store two relations r and s and we need to join r and s, it may be useful to maintain the join as a materialized view. What are the benefits and overheads in terms of overall throughput, use of space, and response time to user queries?

Answer:

Performing a join on a cloud data-storage system can be very expensive, if either of the relations to be joined is partitioned on attributes other than the join attributes, sin
e a very large amount of data would need to be transferred to perform the join. However, if $r \bowtie s$ is maintained as a materialized view, it can be updated at a relatively low cost each time each time either r or s is updated, instead of incurring a very large cost when the query is executed. Thus, queries are benefitted at some cost to updates.

176 Chapter 22 Parallel and Distributed Query Processing

With the materialized view, overall throughput will be mu
h better if the join query is exe
uted reasonably often relative to updates, but may be worse if the join is rarely used, but updates are frequent.

The materialized view will certainly require extra space, but given that disk capacities are very high relative to IO (seek) operations and transfer rates, the extra spa
e is likely to not be an major overhead.

The materialized view will obviously be very useful to evaluate join queries, reducing time greatly by reducing data transfer across machines.

- 22.11 Explain how each of the following join algorithms can be implemented using the MapRedu
e framework:
	- a. Broad
	ast join (also known as asymmetri fragment-and-repli
	ate join).
	- b. Indexed nested loop join, where the inner relation is stored in a parallel data-store.
	- . Partitioned join.

Answer: FILL

Parallel and Distributed **Transaction Processing**

Practice Exercises

23.1 What are the key differences between a local-area network and a wide-area network, that affect the design of a distributed database?

Answer:

Data transfer is much faster, and communication latency is much lower on a local-area network (LAN) than on a wide-area network (WAN). Protocols that require multiple rounds of communication maybe acceptable in a local area network, but distributed databases designed for wide-area networks try to minimize the number of such rounds of communication.

Replication to a local node for reducing latency is quite important in a widearea network, but less so in a local area network.

Network link failure and network partition are also more likely in a wide-area network than in a local area network, where systems can be designed with more redundancy to deal with failures. Protocols designed for wide-area networks should handle such failures without creating any inconsistencies in the

- 23.2 To build a highly available distributed system, you must know what kinds of failures can occur.
	- a. List possible types of failure in a distributed system.
	- Which items in your list from part a are also applicable to a centralized $_b$.</sub> system?

- The types of failure that can occur in a distributed system include \mathbf{a}
	- i. Site failure.

178 Chapter 23 Parallel and Distributed Transaction Processing

- ii. Disk failure.
- iii. Communication failure, leading to disconnection of one or more sites from the network.
- b. The first two failure types can also occur on centralized systems.
- 23.3 Consider a failure that occurs during 2PC for a transaction. For each possible failure that you listed in Exercise 23.2a, explain how 2PC ensures transaction atomicity despite the failure.

Answer:

A proof that 2PC guarantees atomic commits/aborts in spite of site and link failures follows. The main idea is that after all sites reply with a \langle ready $T \rangle$ message, only the coordinator of a transaction can make a commit or abort decision. Any subsequent commit or abort by a site can happen only after it ascertains the coordinator's decision, either directly from the coordinator or indirectly from some other site. Let us enumerate the cases for a site aborting, and then for a site committing. and the then for a site and the site of the site o

- a. A site can abort a transaction T (by writing an \lt abort T > log record) only under the following circumstances:
	- i. It has not yet written a \langle ready T log record. In this case, the coordinator could not have got, and will not get, a \langle ready T > or \langle commit T message from this site. Therefore, only an abort decision can be made by the oordinator.
	- ii. It has written the \langle ready T log record, but on inquiry it found out that some other site has an \lt abort T log record. In this case it is correct for it to abort, because that other site would have ascertained the coordinator's decision (either directly or indirectly) before actually aborting. ally aborting.
	- iii. It is itself the coordinator. In this case also no site could have committed, or will commit in the future, because commit decisions can be made only by the coordinator.
- b. A site can commit a transaction T (by writing a \lt commit T log record) only under the following circumstances:
	- i. It has written the \langle ready T log record, and on inquiry it found out that some other site has a \lt commit T log record. In this case it is correct for it to commit, because that other site would have ascertained the coordinator's decision (either directly or indirectly) before actually committing.
- ii. It is itself the coordinator. In this case no other participating site can abort or would have aborted because abort decisions are made only by the oordinator.
- 23.4 Consider a distributed system with two sites, A and B. Can site A distinguish among the following?
	- B goes down.
	- The link between A and B goes down.
	- B is extremely overloaded and response time is 100 times longer than normal.

What implications does your answer have for recovery in distributed systems?

Answer:

Site A cannot distinguish between the three cases until communication has resumed with site B . The action which it performs while B is inaccessible must be correct irrespective of which of these situations has actually occurred, and it must be such that B can re-integrate consistently into the distributed system on
e ommuni
ation is restored.

23.5 The persistent messaging scheme described in this chapter depends on timestamps. A drawback is that they can discard received messages only if they are too old, and may need to keep track of a large number of received messages. Suggest an alternative s
heme based on sequen
e numbers instead of timestamps, that can discard messages more rapidly.

Answer:

We can have a scheme based on sequence numbers similar to the scheme based on timestamps. We tag ea
h message with a sequen
e number that is unique for the (sending site, receiving site) pair. The number is increased by 1 for each new message sent from the sending site to the receiving site.

The receiving site stores and acknowledges a received message only if it has reeived all lower-numbered messages also; the message is stored in the re
eivedmessages relation.

The sending site retransmits a message until it has re
eived an a
k from the re
eiving site ontaining the sequen
e number of the transmitted message or a higher sequence number. Once the acknowledgment is received, it can delete the message from its send queue.

The receiving site discards all messages it receives that have a lower sequence number than the latest stored message from the sending site. The receiving site discards from received-messages all but the (number of the) most recent message from ea
h sending site (message an be dis
arded only after being pro
essed lo
ally).

180 Chapter 23 Parallel and Distributed Transaction Processing

Note that this scheme requires a fixed (and small) overhead at the receiving site for each sending site, regardless of the number of messages received. In ontrast, the timestamp s
heme requires extra spa
e for every message. The timestamp s
heme would have lower storage overhead if the number of messages re
eived within the timeout interval is small ompared to the number of sites, whereas the sequence number scheme would have lower overhead otherwise.

23.6 Explain the difference between data replication in a distributed system and the maintenan
e of a remote ba
kup site.

Answer:

In remote ba
kup systems, all transa
tions are performed at the primary site and the entire database is replicated at the remote backup site. The remote ba
kup site is kept syn
hronized with the updates at the primary site by sending all log records. Whenever the primary site fails, the remote backup site takes over pro
essing.

The distributed systems offer greater availability by having multiple copies of the data at different sites, whereas the remote backup systems offer lesser availability at lower cost and execution overhead. Different data items may be replicated at different nodes.

In a distributed system, transa
tion ode an run at all the sites, whereas in a remote ba
kup system it runs only at the primary site. The distributed system transactions needs to follow two-phase commit or other consensus protocols to keep the data in onsistent state, whereas a remote ba
kup system does not follow two-phase ommit and avoids related overhead.

23.7 Give an example where lazy replication can lead to an inconsistent database state even when updates get an exclusive lock on the primary (master) copy if data were read from a node other than the master.

Answer:

Consider the balance in an account, replicated at N sites. Let the current balance be \$100 – consistent across all sites. Consider two transactions T_1 and T_2 each depositing \$10 in the account. Thus the balance would be \$120 after both these transactions are executed. Let the transactions execute in sequence: T_1 first and then T_2 . Suppose the copy of the balance at one of the sites, say s, is not consistent - due to lazy replication strategy - with the primary copy after transaction T_1 is executed, and let transaction T_2 read this copy of the balance. One can see that the balance at the primary site would be \$110 at the end.

23.8 Consider the following deadlock-detection algorithm. When transaction T_{i} , at site S_1 , requests a resource from T_j , at site S_3 , a request message with timestamp *n* is sent. The edge (T_j, T_j, n) is inserted in the local wait for graph of
S_1 . The edge (T_j, T_j, n) is inserted in the local wait for graph of S_3 only if T_j has received the request message and cannot immediately grant the requested resource. A request from T_i to T_j in the same site is handled in the usual manner; no umestamps are associated with the edge (T_j , T_j). A central coordinator invokes the detection algorithm by sending an initiating message to each site in the system.

On receiving this message, a site sends its local wait-for graph to the coordinator. Note that su
h a graph ontains all the lo
al information that the site has about the state of the real graph. The wait-for graph reflects an instantaneous state of the site, but it is not syn
hronized with respe
t to any other site.

When the controller has received a reply from each site, it constructs a graph as follows:

- tion graphs continued a vertex force for every transaction in the system.
- The graph has an edge (T_j, T_j) if and only if.
	- There is an edge (T_j, T_j) in one of the wait for graphs.
	- $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{e} \left(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, n \right)$ (for some n) appears in more than one wait for graph.

Show that, if there is a cycle in the constructed graph, then the system is in a deadlock state, and that, if there is no cycle in the constructed graph, then the system was not in a deadlock state when the execution of the algorithm began.

Answer:

Let us say a cycle $T_i \rightarrow T_j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_m \rightarrow T_i$ exists in the graph built by the controller. The edges in the graph will either be local edgem (T_k, T_l) or distributed edges of the form (T_k, T_l, n) . Each local edge (T_k, T_l) definitely implies that T_k is waiting for T_l , since a distributed edge (T_k , T_l , n_j is inserted into the graph only if T_k 's request has reached T_l and T_l cannot immediately refease the lock, T_k is indeed waiting for T_l . Therefore every edge in the cycle indeed represents a transa
tion waiting for another. For a detailed proof that this implies a deadlock, refer to Stuart et al. [1984].

We now prove the converse implication. As soon as it is discovered that T_k is waiting for I_i .

- a. A local edge (T_k, T_l) is added if both are on the same site.
- ϕ . The edge (T_k, T_l, n) is added in both the sites, if T_k and T_l are on different sites.

Therefore, if the algorithm were able to collect all the local wait-for graphs at the same instant, it would definitely discover a cycle in the constructed graph, in case there is a circular wait at that instant. If there is a circular wait at the instant when the algorithm began execution, none of the edges participating in

182 Chapter 23 Parallel and Distributed Transaction Processing

that cycle can disappear until the algorithm finishes. Therefore, even though the algorithm cannot collect all the local graphs at the same instant, any cycle which existed just before it started will be detected.

- 23.9 Consider the chain-replication protocol, described in Section 23.4.3.2, which is a variant of the primary-copy protocol.
	- a. If locking is used for concurrency control, what is the earliest point when a pro
	ess an release an ex
	lusive lo
	k after updating a data item?
	- b. While each data item could have its own chain, give two reasons it would be preferable to have a chain defined at a higher level, such as for each partition or tablet.
	- c. How can consensus protocols be used to ensure that the chain is uniquely determined at any point in time?

Answer:

- a. The lock can be released only after the update has been recorded at the tail of the chain, since further reads will read the tail. Two phase locking may also have to be respe
ted.
- b. The overhead of recording chains per data item would be high. Even more so, in case of failures, chains have to be updated, which would have an even greater overhead if done per item.
- c. All nodes in the chain have to agree on the chain membership and order. Consensus an be used to ensure that updates to the hain are done in a fault-tolerant manner. A fault-tolerant coordination service such as ZooKeeper or Chubby could be used to ensure this consensus, by updating metadata that is replicated using consensus; the coordination service hides the details of consensus, and allows storage and update of (a limited amount of) metadata.
- 23.10 If the primary copy scheme is used for replication, and the primary gets disonne
ted from the rest of the system, a new node may get ele
ted as primary. But the old primary may not realize it has got dis
onne
ted, and may get re onne
ted subsequently without realizing that there is a new primary.
	- What problems can arise if the old primary does not realize that a new a one has taken over?
	- b. How an leases be used to avoid these problems?
	- c. Would such a situation, where a participant node gets disconnected and then re
	onne
	ted without realizing it was dis
	onne
	ted, ause any problem with the majority or quorum protocols?

Answer:

- The old primary may receive read requests and reply to them, serving a . old data that is missing subsequent updates.
- b. Leases an be used so that at the end of the lease, the primary knows that it if it did not successfuly renew the lease, it should stop serving requests. If it is dis
onne
ted, it would be unable to renew the lease.
- c. This situation would not cause a problem with the majority protocol sin
e the write set (or write quorum) and the read set (read quorum) must have at least one node in common, which would serve the latest value.
- 23.11 Consider a federated database system in which it is guaranteed that at most one global transaction is active at any time, and every local site ensures local serializability.
	- a. Suggest ways in which the federated database system can ensure that there is at most one active global transaction at any time.
	- b. Show by example that it is possible for a nonserializable global s
	hedule to result despite the assumptions.

Answer:

- a. We can have a special data item at some site on which a lock will have to be obtained before starting a global transa
tion. The lo
k should be released after the transaction completes. This ensures the single active global transaction requirement. To reduce dependency on that particular site being up, we an generalize the solution by having an ele
tion scheme to choose one of the currently up sites to be the coordinator and requiring that the lo
k be requested on the data item whi
h resides on the currently elected coordinator.
- b. The following schedule involves two sites and four transactions. T_1 and T_2 are local transactions, running at site 1 and site 2 respectively. T_{G1} and T_{G2} are global transactions running at both sites. X_1 , Y_1 are data items at site 1, and X_2 , Y_2 are at site 2.

184 Chapter 23 Parallel and Distributed Transaction Processing

In this schedule, T_{G2} starts only after T_{G1} finishes. Within each site, there is local serializability. In site 1, $T_{G2} \rightarrow T_{1} \rightarrow T_{G1}$ is a serializability order. In site 2, $T_{G1} \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_{G2}$ is a serializability order. Tet the global schedule schedule is nonserializable.

- 23.12 Consider a federated database system in which every local site ensures local serializability, and all global transa
tions are read only.
	- a. Show by example that nonserializable executions may result in such a system.
	- b. Show how you could use a ticket scheme to ensure global serializability.

Answer:

a. The same system as in the answer to Exer
ise 23.11 is assumed, ex
ept that now both the global transa
tions are read-only. Consider the following s
hedule:

Though there is local serializability in both sites, the global schedule is not serializable.

b. Since local serializability is guaranteed, any cycle in the systemwide precedence graph must involve at least two different sites and two different global transactions. The ticket scheme ensures that whenever two

global transactions access data at a site, they conflict on a data item (the ticket) at that site. The global transaction manager controls ticket access in su
h a manner that the global transa
tions exe
ute with the same serializability order in all the sites. Thus the chance of their participating in a cycle in the systemwide precedence graph is eliminated.

- **23.13** Suppose you have a large relation $r(A, B, C)$ and a materialized view $v = A t \text{ sum}(B)$ (*t*). Yet maintenance can be performed as part of each transa
tion that updates r, on a parallel/distributed storage system that supports transactions across multiple nodes. Suppose the system uses two-phase commit along with a consensus protocol such as Paxos, across geographically distributed data centers.
	- a. Explain why it is not a good idea to perform view maintenan
	e as part of the update transaction, if some values of attribute Λ are "hot" at certain points in time, that is, many updates pertain to those values of A.
	- b. Explain how operation locking (if supported) could solve this problem.
	- c. Explain the tradeoffs of using asynchronous view maintenance in this context.

Answer:

This is a very bad idea from the viewpoint of throughput. Most transa
tions would now update a few aggregate re
ords, and updates would get serialized on the lo
k. The problem that due to Paxos delays plus 2PC delays, ommit pro
essing will take a long time (hundreds of millise
onds) and there would be very high contention on the lock. Transaction throughput would decrease to tens of transactions per second, even if transactions do not conflict on any

If the storage system supported operation locking, that could be an alternative to improve concurrency, since view maintenance can be done using operation locks that do not conflict with each other. Transaction throughput would be greatly increased.

Asyn
hronous view maintenan
e would avoid the bottlene
k and lead to mu
h better throughput, but at the risk of reads of the view seeing stale data.